arXiv:0912.5259v3 [math.AG] 16 Jan 2011

RATIONALITY PROBLEM OF THREE-DIMENSIONAL MONOMIAL GROUP ACTIONS

AKINARI HOSHI, HIDETAKA KITAYAMA, AND AIICHI YAMASAKI

Abstract. Let K be a field of characteristic not two and $K(x,y,z)$ the rational function field over K with three variables x,y,z. Let G be a finite group acting on $K(x,y,z)$ by monomial K-automorphisms. We consider the rationality problem of the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^G$ under the action of G, namely whether $K(x,y,z)^G$ is rational (that is, purely transcendental) over K or not. We may assume that G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$ and the problem is determined up to conjugacy in $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$. There are 73 conjugacy classes of G in $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$. By results of Endo-Miyata, Voskresenskiĭ, Lenstra, Saltman, Hajja, Kang and Yamasaki, 8 conjugacy classes of 2-groups in $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$ have negative answers to the problem under certain monomial actions over some base field K, and the necessary and sufficient condition for the rationality of $K(x,y,z)^G$ over K is given. In this paper, we show that the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^G$ under monomial action of G is rational over K except for possibly negative 8 cases of 2-groups and unknown one case of the alternating group of degree four. Moreover we give explicit transcendental bases of the fixed fields over K. For the unknown case, we obtain an affirmative solution to the problem under some conditions. In particular, we show that if K is quadratically closed field then $K(x,y,z)^G$ is rational over K. We also give an application of the result to 4-dimensional linear Noether's problem.

1. Introduction

Let K be a field of char $K \neq 2$ and $K(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ the rational function field over K with n variables $x_1,\ldots,x_n$. A K-automorphism σ of $K(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ is called *monomial* if

$$\sigma(x_j) = c_j(\sigma)\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{a_{i,j}}, \quad 1 \le j \le n \tag{1}$$

where $[a_{i,j}]_{1\le i,j\le n} \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ and $c_j(\sigma) \in K^\times := K \setminus \{0\}$. If $c_j(\sigma)=1$ for any $1 \le j \le n$ then σ is called *purely monomial*. A group action on $K(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ by monomial K-automorphisms is called monomial.

Let G be a finite group acting on $K(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ by monomial K-automorphisms. The aim of this paper is to investigate the rationality problem of $K(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ under 3-dimensional monomial actions. Namely we consider whether the fixed field $K(x_1,x_2,x_3)^G$ under monomial action of G is rational (that is, purely transcendental) over K or not. We write $K(x,y,z) := K(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ for simplicity. For $n=2,3$, the following theorems are known:

Theorem 1.1 (Hajja [Haj83], [Haj87]). *Let K be any field and G a finite group acting on $K(x,y)$ by monomial K-automorphisms. Then the fixed field $K(x,y)^G$ under monomial action of G is rational over K.*

Theorem 1.2 (Hajja-Kang [HK92], [HK94], Hoshi-Rikuna [HR08]). *Let K be any field and G a finite group acting on $K(x,y,z)$ by purely monomial K-automorphisms. Then the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^G$ under the purely monomial action of G is rational over K.*

However, for 3-dimensional monomial $\mathbb{Q}$-automorphism $\sigma : x \mapsto y \mapsto z \mapsto -1/(xyz)$, the fixed field $\mathbb{Q}(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma\rangle}$ is not rational over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see [Haj83, page 249]). This phenomenon comes

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 12F20, 13A50, 14E08.

from the negative answer to Noether's problem for the cyclic group of order 8 (cf. Endo-Miyata [EM73], Voskresenskiĭ [Vos73], Lenstra [Len74], Saltman [Sal82]).

Let G be a finite group acting on $K(x,y,z)$ by monomial K-automorphisms. There is a group homomorphism $p: G \to \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$, $\sigma \mapsto [a_{i,j}]_{1\le i,j\le 3}$ where $[a_{i,j}]_{1\le i,j\le 3}$ is given as in (1). Then there is a normal subgroup H of G such that (i) $K(x,y,z)^H = K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$, (ii) the group G/H acts on $K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ by monomial K-automorphisms and (iii) the associated group homomorphism $p: G/H \to \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$ is injective. Hence we may assume that $p: G \to \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$ is injective[1]. Therefore we treat the case where G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$.

Let G be a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$. The rationality problem is determined up to conjugacy in $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$ since a conjugate of G corresponds to some base change of $K(x,y,z)$. There are 73 conjugacy classes $[G]$ of finite subgroups G in $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$ which are classified into 7 crystal systems as in Table 1 of [BBNWZ78]. We denote by $[G_{i,j,k}]$, $1 \le i \le 7$, the finite group of the k-th $\mathbb{Z}$-class of the j-th $\mathbb{Q}$-class of the i-th crystal system of dimension 3, and we take a representative $G_{i,j,k} \subset \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$ of each $\mathbb{Z}$-class as in Table 1 of [BBNWZ78] (cf. also Section 2 in the present paper).

Let $I_n \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ be the $n \times n$ identity matrix. In the case of $G_{1,2,1} = \langle -I_3 \rangle$, Saltman [Sal00] showed that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{1,2,1}}$ is not rational over K for some field K and choice of $c_j(\sigma)$, $(\sigma \in G_{1,2,1})$ where $c_j(\sigma)$ is given as in (1):

Theorem 1.3 (Saltman [Sal00, Theorem 0.1]). *Let K be a field of* char $K \neq 2$ *and $G_{1,2,1} = \langle -I_3 \rangle$ act on $K(x,y,z)$ by*

$$-I_3 : x \ \mapsto\ \frac{a_1}{x},\ y \ \mapsto\ \frac{a_2}{y},\ z \ \mapsto\ \frac{a_3}{z}, \quad a_i \in K^\times,\ 1 \le i \le 3.$$

If $[K(\sqrt{a_1},\sqrt{a_2},\sqrt{a_3}) : K] = 8$ then the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^{G_{1,2,1}}$ is not retract rational over K, and hence not rational over K.

The following generalization of Saltman's result was given by Kang [Kan05].

Theorem 1.4 (Kang [Kan05, Theorem 4.4]). *Let K be a field of* char $K \neq 2$ *and $n \ge 3$. If $-I_n \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $K(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ by $-I_n : x_i \mapsto a_i/x_i$, $a_i \in K^\times$, then the fixed field $K(x_1,\ldots,x_n)^{\langle -I_n \rangle}$ is not rational over K if and only if $[K(\sqrt{a_1},\ldots,\sqrt{a_n}) : K] \ge 8$. If $K(x_1,\ldots,x_n)^{\langle -I_n \rangle}$ is not rational over K then it is not retract rational over K.*

Kang [Kan04] also established the necessary and sufficient condition for the rationality of $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,2,2}}$ over any field K:

Theorem 1.5 (Kang [Kan04, Theorem 1.8]). *Let K be any field and $G_{4,2,2} = \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} \rangle$ act on $K(x,y,z)$ by*

$$-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} : x \ \mapsto\ y \ \mapsto\ z \ \mapsto\ \frac{c}{xyz} \ \mapsto\ x, \quad c \in K^\times.$$

Then $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,2,2}}$ is rational over K if and only if at least one of the following conditions is satisfied, (i) char $K = 2$; (ii) $c \in K^2$; (iii) $-4c \in K^4$; (iv) $-1 \in K^2$. *If $K(x,y,z)^{\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} \rangle}$ is not rational over K then it is not retract rational over K.*

We put

$$\mathcal{N} := \big\{[G_{1,2,1}], [G_{2,3,1}], [G_{3,1,1}], [G_{3,3,1}], [G_{4,2,1}], [G_{4,2,2}], [G_{4,3,1}], [G_{4,4,1}]\big\}. \tag{2}$$

---

[1] The referee informed the authors of the importance of this assumption. More precisely, see [Haj87, page 46] and [KP10, Lemma 2.8].

Yamasaki [Yam] showed that if $G \in \mathcal{N}$ then the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^G$ under monomial action is not rational over K for some field K and choice of coefficients $c_j(\sigma)$, $(\sigma \in G)$ where $c_j(\sigma)$ is given as in (1). For $G \in \mathcal{N}$, moreover, the necessary and sufficient condition for the rationality of $K(x,y,z)^G$ over a field K of char $K \neq 2$ was given in [Yam] by using ideas of Lenstra's paper [Len74] and Saltman's paper [Sal00] (cf. Theorem 1.3).

In the present paper, we treat the cases $G \notin \mathcal{N}$ and the main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.6. *Let K be a field of* char $K \neq 2$ *and G a finite subgroup of* $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$ *which is not in $\mathcal{N}$. Then the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^G$ under monomial action of G is rational over K except for the case $G \in [G_{7,1,1}]$.*

Moreover a method of this paper enables us to construct transcendental bases of the fixed fields $K(x,y,z)^G$ over K explicitly, and also gives another proof to Theorem 1.2 which is the case of purely monomial actions.

In the exceptional case $G_{7,1,1} = \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3B} \rangle \cong \mathcal{A}_4$, the problem may be reduced to the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_1 : x \mapsto \frac{a}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1 a}{y},\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_1 z, \qquad \lambda_1 : x \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1 a}{x},\ y \mapsto \varepsilon_1 y,\ z \mapsto \frac{a}{z}, \\ &\sigma_{3B} : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto z,\ z \mapsto x \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $a \in K^\times$, $\varepsilon_1 = \pm 1$.

For $G_{7,1,1}$, we prove the following theorem in Section 12:

Theorem 1.7. *Let K be a field of* char $K \neq 2$.
(i) *If $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ then $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}}$ is rational over K;*
(ii) *If $\varepsilon_1 = -1$ and $[K(\sqrt{a},\sqrt{-1}) : K] \leq 2$ then $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}}$ is rational over K.*

However we do not know whether the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}}$ is rational over K or not in the case where $\varepsilon_1 = -1$ and $[K(\sqrt{a},\sqrt{-1}) : K] = 4$. When $\varepsilon_1 = -1$, we remark that the group $G_{7,1,1}$ has a normal subgroup $G_{3,1,1} = \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{N}$ as in (2) and the corresponding cubic extension field $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,1,1}}$ is rational over K if and only if $[K(\sqrt{a},\sqrt{-1}) : K] \leq 2$ by [Yam, Theorem 7].

By a result of [Yam], Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7, we have the following:

Theorem 1.8. *Let K be a field of* char $K \neq 2$ *and G a finite group acting on $K(x,y,z)$ by monomial K-automorphisms. Then there exists $L = K(\sqrt{a})$ where $a \in K^\times$ such that the fixed field $L(x,y,z)^G$ under the action of monomial L-automorphisms of G is rational over L.*

We give an application of the main results of the rationality problem under monomial group actions to linear Noether's problem. For Noether's problem and rationality problem, see e.g. [Swa83], [CS07].

Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a 4-dimensional linear representation of a finite group G. Then G acts on $K(V) = K(w_1,w_2,w_3,w_4)$ linearly via ρ, where $\{w_1,w_2,w_3,w_4\}$ is a basis of the dual space $V^* = \mathrm{Hom}_K(V,K)$. Now we assume that ρ is monomial, i.e. an induced representation from a 1-dimensional representation of a subgroup of G. Then for any $g \in G$, the corresponding matrix representation of g has exactly one nonzero entry in each row and each column, that is, a monomial matrix. Hence G acts on $K(V)_0 := K(x,y,z)$ with $x = w_1/w_4$, $y = w_2/w_4$, $z = w_3/w_4$, by monomial action. It is well-known that $K(V)^G$ is rational over $K(V)_0^G$ (see e.g. [Miy71], [Kem96], [AHK00], [JLY02]). Therefore, by using Theorems 1.6, 1.7 and 1.8, we may obtain the rationality of $K(V)^G$ under the 4-dimensional linear action of G via the rationality of $K(V)_0^G = K(x,y,z)^G$ under the induced 3-dimensional monomial action.

Corollary 1.9. *Let K be a field of* char $K \neq 2$ *and* $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ *a 4-dimensional monomial linear representation. Then there exists* $L = K(\sqrt{a})$ *where* $a \in K^\times$ *such that the fixed fields* $L(V)_0^G$ *and* $L(V)^G$ *under the induced monomial L-automorphisms of G are rational over L.*

For $K = L = \mathbb{C}$, Corollary 1.9 is obtained by Prokhorov [Pro10, Theorem 5.1]. He solved the case $G = G_{7,1,1}$ with $\varepsilon_1 = -1$ via the Segre embedding (see Case Γ_9^{12} in [Pro10, page 265]). For $K = \mathbb{Q}$, see [KY09], [Kit10], [Yam10][2].

In Section 2, we give some group information of finite subgroups $G = G_{i,j,k}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$. The generators of the groups $G_{i,j,k}$ which we will use in the present paper are available in GAP [GAP07] and Table 1 of [BBNWZ78].

In Section 3, we recall known results and give some theorems and lemmas which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.6.

In Sections 4 to 14, we give the proof of Theorem 1.6. In Section 4 (resp. 5), we consider the cases of $G_{2,j,k}$ (resp. $G_{3,j,k}$) which are abelian groups of exponent 2. In Section 6 (resp. 7), we study the case (4A) (resp. (4B)) of abelian groups $G_{4,j,k}$ which contain $\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}$ (resp. $\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$) of order 4. In Section 8 (resp. 9), we treat the case (5A) (resp. (5B)) of $G_{5,j,k}$ which have a normal subgroup $\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}\rangle$ (resp. $\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\rangle$) of order 3. In Section 10, we treat the cases of $G_{6,j,k}$ which have a normal subgroup $\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}\rangle$. In the cases of (5A) and $G_{6,j,k}$, we may assume that $c = 1$, where c will be given in (9), without loss of generality except for the five groups $G_{5,1,2}$, $G_{5,3,2}$, $G_{5,3,3}$, $G_{6,1,1}$ and $G_{6,4,1}$. In Section 11, we consider the case $c \neq 1$ for the exceptional five cases. These cases occur only over a field $K \ni \sqrt{-3}$ and some difficulties appear because $\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}\rangle$ acts on both $K(x, y)$ and $K(z)$ faithfully. Finally we treat the cases of $G_{7,j,1}$, $G_{7,j,2}$ and $G_{7,j,3}$ which have a non-normal subgroup $\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\rangle$ in Sections 12, 13 and 14, respectively.

2. NOTATION

Let $\mathcal{S}_n$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_n$, $\mathcal{D}_n$, $\mathcal{C}_n$) be the symmetric group (resp. the alternating group, the dihedral group, the cyclic group) of degree n of order $n!$ (resp. $n!/2$, $2n$, n).

The generators of the groups $G_{i,j,k} \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ below are available in Table 1 of [BBNWZ78] and in GAP [GAP07] via the command `GeneratorsOfGroup(MatGroupZClass(3,i,j,k))`.

There are 2 generators $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}$ and $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$ of $G_{i,j,k}$ of order 3 and 4 generators $\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}$, $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}$, $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$, $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$ of $G_{i,j,k}$ of order 4:

$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let I_3 be the 3×3 identity matrix. For ρ_1, $\rho_2 \in G_{i,j,k}$, we denote the commutator of ρ_1 and ρ_2 by $[\rho_1, \rho_2] = \rho_1^{-1}\rho_2^{-1}\rho_1\rho_2$.

The 2 groups $G_{1,j,k}$ of the 1st crystal system in dimension 3 are:

$$G_{1,1,1} = \{I_3\}, \qquad \mathcal{N} \ni G_{1,2,1} = \langle -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2.$$

---

[2] See also the recent paper by Kang and Zhou [KZ].

The 6 groups $G_{2,j,k}$ of the 2nd crystal system in dimension 3 are abelian groups of exponent 2 which are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{2,1,1} &= \langle \lambda_1 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{2,1,2} &= \langle -\alpha \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2,\\
G_{2,2,1} &= \langle -\lambda_1 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{2,2,2} &= \langle \alpha \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2,\\
\mathcal{N} \ni G_{2,3,1} &= \langle \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{2,3,2} &= \langle -\alpha, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad -I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The 13 groups $G_{3,j,k}$ of the 3rd crystal system in dimension 3 are abelian groups of exponent 2 which are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N} \ni G_{3,1,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,1,2} &= \langle \tau_1, -\alpha \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2,\\
G_{3,1,3} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,1,4} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2,\\
G_{3,2,1} &= \langle \tau_1, -\lambda_1 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,2,2} &= \langle \tau_1, \alpha \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2,\\
G_{3,2,3} &= \langle -\alpha, \beta \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,2,4} &= \langle \tau_2, -\lambda_2 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2,\\
G_{3,2,5} &= \langle \tau_3, -\lambda_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & &\\
\mathcal{N} \ni G_{3,3,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,3,2} &= \langle \tau_1, -\alpha, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2,\\
G_{3,3,3} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,3,4} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & &\tau_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, & &\tau_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \\
&\lambda_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, & &\lambda_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & &\lambda_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
&\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & &\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & &-I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The 16 groups $G_{4,j,k}$ of the 4th crystal system in dimension 3 contain an element $\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}$, $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}$, $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$ or $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$ of order 4 which are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{4,1,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{A}} \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_4, & G_{4,1,2} &= \langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_4,\\
\mathcal{N} \ni G_{4,2,1} &= \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}} \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_4, & \mathcal{N} \ni G_{4,2,2} &= \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_4,\\
\mathcal{N} \ni G_{4,3,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{4,3,2} &= \langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2,\\
\mathcal{N} \ni G_{4,4,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, \lambda_1 \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_4, & G_{4,4,2} &= \langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}, \lambda_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_4,\\
G_{4,5,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, -\lambda_1 \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_4, & G_{4,5,2} &= \langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}, -\lambda_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_4,\\
G_{4,6,1} &= \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, \lambda_1 \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_4, & G_{4,6,2} &= \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, -\lambda_1 \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_4,\\
G_{4,6,3} &= \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}, -\lambda_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_4, & G_{4,6,4} &= \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}, \lambda_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_4,\\
G_{4,7,1} &= \langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{4,7,2} &= \langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}, \lambda_3, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda_1=\begin{bmatrix}-1&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&-1\end{bmatrix},\qquad \lambda_3=\begin{bmatrix}0&-1&1\\0&-1&0\\1&-1&0\end{bmatrix}.$$

The generators $\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}$, $\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$, $\pm\lambda_1$, $\pm\lambda_3$ and $-I_3$ satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{gathered}(\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}})^4=(\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}})^4=(\pm\lambda_1)^2=(\pm\lambda_3)^2=(-I_3)^2=I_3,\\ [\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}},\lambda_1]=[\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}},-\lambda_1]=\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}^2,\quad [\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},\lambda_3]=[\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},-\lambda_3]=\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}^2,\\ [\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}},-I_3]=[\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},-I_3]=[\pm\alpha,-I_3]=[\pm\beta,-I_3]=I_3.\end{gathered}\tag{5}$$

Note that $(\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}})^2=\tau_1$ and $(\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}})^2=\tau_3$ where τ_1 and τ_3 are given as in (4)

The 13 groups $G_{5,j,k}$ of the 5th crystal system in dimension 3 have a normal subgroup $\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}\rangle$ or $\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\rangle$ of order 3, and are defined as

$$\begin{array}{lll}G_{5,1,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_3, & G_{5,1,2}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_3, & \\ G_{5,2,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},-I_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_6, & G_{5,2,2}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},-I_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_6, & \\ G_{5,3,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},-\alpha\rangle\cong\mathcal{S}_3, & G_{5,3,2}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},-\alpha\rangle\cong\mathcal{S}_3, & G_{5,3,3}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},-\beta\rangle\cong\mathcal{S}_3,\\ G_{5,4,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},\alpha\rangle\cong\mathcal{S}_3, & G_{5,4,2}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},\beta\rangle\cong\mathcal{S}_3, & G_{5,4,3}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},\alpha\rangle\cong\mathcal{S}_3,\\ G_{5,5,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},-\alpha,-I_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_6, & G_{5,5,2}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},-\alpha,-I_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_6, & G_{5,5,3}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},-\beta,-I_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_6\end{array}$$

where

$$\alpha=\begin{bmatrix}0&1&0\\1&0&0\\0&0&1\end{bmatrix},\qquad \beta=\begin{bmatrix}0&-1&0\\-1&0&0\\0&0&1\end{bmatrix}.$$

The generators $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}$, $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $\pm\alpha$, $\pm\beta$ and $-I_3$ satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{gathered}\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^3=\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}^3=(\pm\alpha)^2=(\pm\beta)^2=(-I_3)^2=I_3,\\ [\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},\pm\alpha]=[\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},\pm\beta]=\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},\quad [\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},\pm\alpha]=\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},\\ [\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},-I_3]=[\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},-I_3]=[\pm\alpha,-I_3]=[\pm\beta,-I_3]=I_3.\end{gathered}\tag{6}$$

The 8 groups $G_{6,j,1}$, $1\le j\le 7$, and $G_{6,6,2}$ of the 6th crystal system in dimension 3 have a normal subgroup $\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}\rangle$ of order 3, and are defined as

$$\begin{array}{ll}G_{6,1,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},\tau_1\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_6, & G_{6,2,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},-\tau_1\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_6,\\ G_{6,3,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},\tau_1,-I_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_6\times\mathcal{C}_2, & \\ G_{6,4,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},\tau_1,-\beta\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_6, & G_{6,5,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},\tau_1,\beta\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_6,\\ G_{6,6,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},-\tau_1,\beta\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_6, & G_{6,6,2}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},-\tau_1,-\beta\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_6,\\ G_{6,7,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},\tau_1,-\beta,-I_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_6\times\mathcal{C}_2 & \end{array}$$

where

$$\tau_1=\begin{bmatrix}-1&0&0\\0&-1&0\\0&0&1\end{bmatrix},\qquad \beta=\begin{bmatrix}0&-1&0\\-1&0&0\\0&0&1\end{bmatrix}.$$

The generators $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}$, $\pm\tau_1$, $\pm\beta$ and $-I_3$ satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{gathered}\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^3=(\pm\tau_1)^2=(\pm\beta)^2=(-I_3)^2=I_3,\\ [\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},\pm\tau_1]=I_3,\quad [\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},\pm\beta]=\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},\quad [\tau_1,\pm\beta]=[-\tau_1,\pm\beta]=I_3,\\ [\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}},-I_3]=[\pm\tau_1,-I_3]=[\pm\beta,-I_3]=I_3.\end{gathered}\tag{7}$$

Note that $\tau_1 = (-\alpha)(-\beta) = \alpha\beta$.

The 15 groups $G_{7,j,k}$, $1 \leq j \leq 5$, $1 \leq k \leq 3$, of the 7th crystal system in dimension 3 have a non-normal subgroup $\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle$ of order 3 and a normal subgroup $\langle \tau_k, \lambda_k \rangle$ of order 4. They are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{7,1,k} &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle && \cong \mathcal{A}_4 && \cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{C}_3,\\
G_{7,2,k} &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -I_3 \rangle && \cong \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2 && \cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{C}_3,\\
G_{7,3,k} &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -\beta_k \rangle && \cong \mathcal{S}_4 && \cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3,\\
G_{7,4,k} &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \beta_k \rangle && \cong \mathcal{S}_4 && \cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3,\\
G_{7,5,k} &= \langle \tau_k, \lambda_k, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \beta_k, -I_3 \rangle && \cong \mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2 && \cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tau_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \lambda_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \beta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\tau_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \lambda_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \beta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\tau_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \lambda_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \beta_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the generators τ_k, λ_k, $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $\pm\beta_k$, $1 \leq k \leq 3$, and $-I_3$ satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_k^2 = \lambda_k^2 = \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}^3 = (\pm\beta_k)^2 = (-I_3)^2 = I_3,\\
&[\tau_k, \lambda_k] = I_3, \quad [\tau_k, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}] = \lambda_k\tau_k, \quad [\lambda_k, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}] = \tau_k,\\
&[\tau_k, \pm\beta_k] = I_3, \quad [\lambda_k, \pm\beta_k] = \tau_k, \quad [\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \pm\beta_k] = \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\lambda_k,\\
&[\tau_k, -I_3] = [\lambda_k, -I_3] = [\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -I_3] = [\pm\beta_k, -I_3] = I_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We modified the generators of $G_{7,5,k}$. The original generators in [BBNWZ78] are obtained by just replacing β_k by $-\beta_k = \beta_k(-I_3)$.

3. Preliminaries

We prepare some lemmas, theorems and known results which we will use in the proof of Theorem 1.6.

3.1. **Rationality criterion and reduction to low degree.**

Theorem 3.1 ([AHK00, Theorem 3.1]). *Let $L(z)$ be the rational function field over a field L with one variable z and G a group acting on $L(z)$. Suppose that, for any $\tau \in G$, $\tau(L) \subset L$ and $\tau(z) = a_\tau z + b_\tau$ for some $a_\tau \in L^\times$ and $b_\tau \in L$. Then $L(z)^G = L^G$ or $L(z)^G = L^G(\Theta)$ for some polynomial $\Theta(z) \in L[z]$ with positive degree. In particular, if L^G is rational over some subfield M, so is $L(z)^G$ over M.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let K be a field of* char $K \neq 2$ *and τ a K-automorphism of $K(x, y)$ defined by*

$$\tau(x) = -x, \quad \tau(y) = f(x^2)\mathrm{N}_\tau(g(x))/y \quad \textit{with} \quad f(x), g(x) \in K[x]$$

where N_τ *is the norm map under the action of* τ*. Then the fixed field* $K(x,y)^{\langle\tau\rangle}$ *is given by*

$$K(x,y)^{\langle\tau\rangle}=K(X,Y,Z)\quad \textit{with}\quad X^2-ZY^2=f(Z)$$

where $X=(y'+f(x^2)/y')/2$, $Y=(y'-f(x^2)/y')/(2x)$ *with* $y'=y/g(x)$ *and* $Z=x^2$*. Moreover we get:*
(I) *When* $f(x^2)$ *is a constant of* K *or quadratic in* x*, i.e.* $f(x^2)=ax^2+b$ *for* $a,b\in K$ *with* $a\neq 0$*, then* $f(Z)=aZ+b$ *and the fixed field* $K(x,y)^{\langle\tau\rangle}=K(X,Y)$ *is rational over* K*;*
(II) *When* $f(x^2)$ *is biquadratic in* x*, i.e.* $f(x^2)=ax^4+bx^2+c$ *for* $a,b,c\in K$ *with* $a\neq 0$*, then* $f(Z)=aZ^2+bZ+c$ *and the fixed field* $K(x,y)^{\langle\tau\rangle}$ *is given by*

$$K(x,y)^{\langle\tau\rangle}=K(X,Y,Z)\quad \textit{with}\quad X^2-ZY^2=aZ^2+bZ+c.$$

In particular,
(II-i) *if* $a=d^2$ *is square in* K *then* $K(x,y)^{\langle\tau\rangle}=K(X+dZ,Y)$ *is rational over* K*;*
(II-ii) *if* $c=e^2$ *is square in* K *then* $K(x,y)^{\langle\tau\rangle}$ *is also rational over* K*.*

Proof. Put $y':=y/g(x)$. Then $K(x,y)=K(x,y')$ and the action of τ is given by $\tau(\delta)=-\delta$, $\tau(y')=f(x^2)/y'$. It follows from $[K(x,y'):K(X,Z)]=4$ and $Y\notin K(X,Z)$ that $K(x,y')^{\langle\tau\rangle}=K(X,Y,Z)$. The equality $X^2-ZY^2=f(Z)$ can be obtained by the definition of X, Y and Z directly.

(I) If $f(Z)=aZ+b$ then $Z=(X^2-b)/(Y^2+a)$ and hence $K(x,y)^{\langle\tau\rangle}=K(X,Y,Z)=K(X,Y)$.

(II-i) If $a=d^2$ then $X^2-aZ^2=(b+Y^2)Z+c$ is rewritten as $st=(b+Y^2)\frac{s-t}{2d}+c$ where $s=X+dZ$, $t=X-dZ$, so that $t\in K(s,Y)$ and $K(x,y)^{\langle\tau\rangle}=K(X,Y,Z)=K(s,t,Y)=K(s,Y)=K(X+dZ,Y)$.

(II-ii) If $c=e^2$ then by putting $p:=1/x$, $q:=y'/x^2$, the action of τ on $K(p,q)=K(x,y')=K(x,y)$ is given by $\tau:p\mapsto -p$, $q\mapsto (cp^4+bp^2+a)/q$. Hence the assertion follows from (II-i). □

3.2. **Explicit transcendental bases.**

Theorem 3.3 ([HK94, Lemma 2.7], [Kan04, Theorem 2.4]). *Let* K *be any field. Let* $-I_2\in\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ *act on* $K(x,y)$ *by*

$$-I_2\,:\,x\ \mapsto\ \frac{a}{x},\ y\ \mapsto\ \frac{b}{y},\quad a\in K^\times$$

where $b=c(x+(a/x))+d$ *such that* $c,d\in K$ *and at least one of* c *and* d *is non-zero. Then* $K(x,y)^{\langle\tau\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2)$ *where*

$$u_1=\frac{x-\frac{a}{x}}{xy-\frac{ab}{xy}}=\frac{y(x^2-a)}{x^2y^2-ab},\quad u_2=\frac{y-\frac{b}{y}}{xy-\frac{ab}{xy}}=\frac{x(y^2-b)}{x^2y^2-ab}.$$

Lemma 3.4 ([HHR08, page 1176]). *Let* K *be a field of* char $K\neq 2$ *and* $-I_2\in\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ *act on* $K(x,y)$ *by*

$$-I_2\,:\,x\ \mapsto\ \frac{a}{x},\ y\ \mapsto\ \frac{a}{y},\quad a\in K^\times.$$

Then $K(x,y)^{\langle\tau\rangle}=K(t_1,t_2)$ *where*

$$t_1=\frac{xy+a}{x+y},\quad t_2=\frac{xy-a}{x-y}.$$

A non-2-group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$ has a subgroup either $G_{5,1,2} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}} \rangle$ or $G_{5,1,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle$ of order 3, and the corresponding actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}$ and of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given respectively by

$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}} \,:\, x \,\mapsto\, ay,\ y \mapsto\, \frac{b}{xy},\ z \mapsto\, cz, \quad a,b,c \in K^{\times},$$
$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \,:\, x \mapsto ay,\ y \mapsto bz,\ z \mapsto cx, \quad a,b,c \in K^{\times}.$$

For $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}$ (resp. $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$), we may assume that $a = 1$ (resp. $a = b = c = 1$) after replacing ay by y (resp. (ay, abz) by (y, z)). In the case of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}$, we also see $c^3 = 1$ because $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^3 = I_3$.

In order to investigate the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^G$ with $G_{5,1,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle \subset G$, we recall the following formula which is given by Masuda [Mas55] when char $K \neq 3$ (this formula is valid also for the case of char $K = 3$, see e.g. [HK10], [Kun55]).

Lemma 3.5. *Let K be any field and $G_{5,1,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle$ act on $K(x,y,z)$ by*

$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \,:\, x \,\mapsto\, y \,\mapsto\, z \,\mapsto\, x.$$

Then $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,1,1}} = K(x,y,z)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle} = K(s_1,u,v)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &:= s_1(x,y,z) \,=\, x+y+z, \\ u &:= u(x,y,z) \,=\, \frac{xy^2+yz^2+zx^2-3xyz}{x^2+y^2+z^2-xy-yz-zx}, \\ v &:= v(x,y,z) \,=\, \frac{x^2y+y^2z+z^2x-3xyz}{x^2+y^2+z^2-xy-yz-zx}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $u(y,x,z) = v(x,y,z)$. In order to study the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^G$ with $G_{5,1,2} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}} \rangle \subset G$, we prepare the following lemma using Lemma 3.5:

Lemma 3.6. *Let K be any field and σ a K-automorphism on $K(x,y)$ of order 3 defined by*

$$\sigma \,:\, x \,\mapsto\, y \,\mapsto\, \frac{b}{xy} \,\mapsto\, x, \quad b \in K^{\times}.$$

Then $K(x,y)^{\langle \sigma \rangle} = K(\widetilde{u}(b), \widetilde{v}(b))$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{u}(b) &= \frac{y\,(y^3x^3+bx^3-3byx^2+b^2)}{y^2x^4-y^3x^3+y^4x^2-byx^2-by^2x+b^2}, \\ \widetilde{v}(b) &= \frac{x\,(x^3y^3+by^3-3bxy^2+b^2)}{y^2x^4-y^3x^3+y^4x^2-byx^2-by^2x+b^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Put

$$\widetilde{s_1}(b) := s_1\Big(x,y,\frac{b}{xy}\Big) \,=\, \frac{yx^2+y^2x+b}{xy}, \quad \widetilde{u}(b) := u\Big(x,y,\frac{b}{xy}\Big), \quad \widetilde{v}(b) := v\Big(x,y,\frac{b}{xy}\Big)$$

where $s_1(x,y,z)$, $u(x,y,z)$ and $v(x,y,z)$ are given as in Lemma 3.5. We write $(\widetilde{s_1}, \widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}) = (\widetilde{s_1}(b), \widetilde{u}(b), \widetilde{v}(b))$ for simplicity. Then $\widetilde{s_1}, \widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}$ are σ-invariants and hence $K(x,y)^{\langle \sigma \rangle} \supset K(\widetilde{s_1}, \widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v})$. We also see $[K(x,y) \,:\, K(\widetilde{s_1}, \widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v})] \leq 3$ from the equalities

$$\frac{y^3-\widetilde{s_1}y^2-b}{y} = -\frac{\widetilde{s_1}^{\,2}\big(\widetilde{u}+\widetilde{v}\big)+9b}{\widetilde{s_1}+3\big(\widetilde{u}+\widetilde{v}\big)}, \quad x = \frac{y^2-\widetilde{s_1}y-2\widetilde{u}y+\widetilde{v}y+\widetilde{s_1}\widetilde{u}}{\widetilde{u}+\widetilde{v}-2y}.$$

Hence $K(x,y)^{\langle \sigma \rangle} = K(\widetilde{s_1}, \widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v})$. The assertion follows from $\widetilde{s_1} = (\widetilde{u}^3+\widetilde{v}^3+b)/(\widetilde{u}\,\widetilde{v})$. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let K be any field. Let τ and λ be K-automorphisms on $K(x,y,z,w)$ defined by*

$$\tau \,:\, x \,\mapsto\, y \,\mapsto\, x,\ z \,\mapsto\, w \,\mapsto z,$$
$$\lambda \,:\, x \mapsto\, z \,\mapsto\, x,\ y \,\mapsto\, w \,\mapsto y.$$

Then $K(x,y,z,w)^{\langle\tau,\lambda\rangle}=K(v_0,v_1,v_2,v_3)$ *where*

$$v_0=x+y+z+w,$$
$$v_1=\frac{x+y-z-w}{xy-zw},\quad v_2=\frac{x-y-z+w}{xw-yz},\quad v_3=\frac{x-y+z-w}{xz-yw}.$$

Proof. We put $(u_0,u_1,u_2,u_3):=(v_0,1/v_1,1/v_2,1/v_3)$. We should show that $K(x,y,z,w)^{\langle\tau,\lambda\rangle}=K(u_0,u_1,u_2,u_3)$. It follows from the definition that $K(x,y,z,w)^{\langle\tau,\lambda\rangle}\supset K(u_0,u_1,u_2,u_3)$. Take the elementary symmetric functions s_1, s_2, s_3 in u_1, u_2, u_3:

$$(s_1,s_2,s_3):=(u_1+u_2+u_3,u_1u_2+u_2u_3+u_1u_3,u_1u_2u_3).$$

Then the elementary symmetric functions r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 in x, y, z, w are written as

$$r_1=u_0,\ r_2=s_1u_0-2s_2,\ r_3=s_2u_0-8s_3,\ r_4=s_2^2-4s_1s_3+s_3u_0,$$

so that $K(r_1,r_2,r_3,r_4)\subset K(u_0,s_1,s_2,s_3)$. Since $[K(x,y,z,w):K(r_1,r_2,r_3,r_4)]=24$ and $[K(u_0,u_1,u_2,u_3):K(u_0,s_1,s_2,s_3)]=6$, we get $[K(x,y,z,w):K(u_0,u_1,u_2,u_3)\le 4$. ☐

Lemma 3.8. *Let K be any field and $G_{3,1,4}=\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3\rangle$ act on $K(x,y,z)$ by*

$$\tau_3\ :\ x\mapsto\ y\ \mapsto x,\ z\mapsto\ \frac{c}{xyz}\ \mapsto z,$$
$$\lambda_3\ :\ x\mapsto\ z\ \mapsto x,\ y\mapsto\ \frac{c}{xyz}\ \mapsto y,\quad c\in K^\times.$$

Define $w=c/(xyz)$. Then $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,1,4}}=K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3\rangle}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}v_1&=\frac{x+y-z-w}{xy-zw}=\frac{c-xyz(x+y-z)}{z(c-x^2y^2)},\\ v_2&=\frac{x-y-z+w}{xw-yz}=\frac{c-xyz(-x+y+z)}{x(c-y^2z^2)},\\ v_3&=\frac{x-y+z-w}{xz-yw}=\frac{c-xyz(x-y+z)}{y(c-x^2z^2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, we see $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3\rangle}=K(x,y,z,w)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3\rangle}=K(v_0,v_1,v_2,v_3)$ where $v_0=x+y+z+w$. By the definition of v_0,v_1,v_2,v_3 and the equality $xyzw=c$, we get

$$v_0=\frac{2(v_1v_2+v_2v_3+v_1v_3)-(v_1^2+v_2^2+v_3^2)+cv_1^2v_2^2v_3^2}{v_1v_2v_3}.$$

Hence the assertion follows. ☐

We need suitable generators $K(x,y,z)^G$ over K not only for $G_{3,1,4}=\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3\rangle$ but also for $G=\langle\tau_3\rangle$. We note that $\langle\tau_3\rangle$ is conjugate to $G_{2,1,2}=\langle-\alpha\rangle$ in $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let K be a field of* char $K\neq 2$ *and $\langle\tau_3\rangle$ act on $K(x,y,z)$ by*

$$\tau_3\ :\ x\ \mapsto\ y\ \mapsto\ x,\quad z\ \mapsto\ \frac{c}{xyz}\ \mapsto\ z,\quad c\in K^\times.$$

Then $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3\rangle}=K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ where

$$t_1=\frac{xy}{x+y},\quad t_2=\frac{xyz+\frac{c}{z}}{x+y},\quad t_3=\frac{xyz-\frac{c}{z}}{x-y}.$$

Proof. Put

$$X:=\frac{c}{yz},\quad Y:=xz,\quad Z:=\frac{1}{x}.$$

Then $K(x,y,z)=K(X,Y,Z)$ and the action of τ_3 on $K(X,Y,Z)$ is given by

$$\tau_3\ :\ X\ \mapsto\ \frac{c}{X},\ Y\ \mapsto\ \frac{c}{Y},\ Z\ \mapsto\ \frac{XYZ}{c}.$$

Using Lemma 3.4, we see $K(X,Y,Z)^{\langle\tau_3\rangle}=K(X,Y,t_3')^{\langle\tau_3\rangle}=K(X,Y)^{\langle\tau_3\rangle}(t_3')=K(t_1',t_2',t_3')$ where

$$t_1':=\frac{XY+c}{X+Y},\quad t_2':=\frac{XY-c}{X-Y},\quad t_3':=Z+\frac{XYZ}{c}.$$

It is easy to see that $t_1'=1/t_2$, $t_2'=1/t_3$, $t_3'=1/t_1$. $\square$

Theorem 3.10 ([HK97, Theorem 3.2]). *Let K be a field of* char $K\neq 2$. *If $\mathcal{S}_n$ acts on $K(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ by either*

$$\text{(I)}\quad \tau(x_i):=\begin{cases}x_{\tau(i)}, & \text{if } \tau\in\mathcal{A}_n,\\ 1/x_{\tau(i)}, & \text{if } \tau\in\mathcal{S}_n\backslash\mathcal{A}_n,\end{cases}\qquad\text{or}\qquad \text{(II)}\quad \tau(x_i):=\begin{cases}x_{\tau(i)}, & \text{if } \tau\in\mathcal{A}_n,\\ -x_{\tau(i)}, & \text{if } \tau\in\mathcal{S}_n\backslash\mathcal{A}_n,\end{cases}$$

then the fixed field $K(x_1,\ldots,x_n)^{\mathcal{S}_n}$ is rational over K.

Theorem 3.11 ([HK10, Theorem 1.2]). *Let K be any field and $G_{5,3,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},-\alpha\rangle\cong\mathcal{S}_3$ act on $K(x,y,z)$ by*

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\ &:\ x\ \mapsto\ y,\ y\mapsto\ z,\ z\ \mapsto\ x,\\ -\alpha\ &:\ x\ \mapsto\ \frac{a}{y},\ y\mapsto\ \frac{a}{x},\ z\ \mapsto\ \frac{a}{z},\quad a\in K^\times.\end{aligned}$$

Then the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},-\alpha\rangle}$ is rational over K. Moreover we have

$$K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},-\alpha\rangle}=K\Big(\frac{U(s_3^2-a^3)}{u(s_3^2U-a^4)},\frac{s_3(U-a)}{s_3^2U-a^4},\frac{v}{u}\Big)$$

where $s_3=xyz$, $U=u^2-uv+v^2$ and u,v are given as in Lemma 3.5.

Theorem 3.12 ([HK10, Theorem 2.4]). *Let K be a field of* char $K\neq 2$. *Let σ and τ be K-automorphisms of $K(x,y,z)$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma\ &:\ x\ \mapsto\ y,\ y\mapsto\ z,\ z\mapsto\ x,\\ \tau\ &:\ x\ \mapsto\ \frac{-x+y+z}{ayz},\ y\mapsto\ \frac{x+y-z}{axy},\ z\ \mapsto\ \frac{x-y+z}{axz},\quad a\in K^\times.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle\cong\mathcal{S}_3$ and the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle}$ is rational over K.

Theorem 3.13. *Let K be a field of* char $K\neq 2$ *and $G_{1,2,1}=\langle -I_3\rangle$ act on $K(x,y,z)$ by*

$$-I_3\ :\ x\mapsto\ \frac{a}{x},\ y\mapsto\ \frac{a}{y},\ z\ \mapsto\ \frac{a}{z},\quad a\in K^\times.$$

Then the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^{G_{1,2,1}}=K(x,y,z)^{\langle -I_3\rangle}$ is rational over K. In particular, an explicit transcendental basis of $K(x,y,z)^{\langle -I_3\rangle}=K(k_1,k_2,k_3)$ over K is given by

$$k_1=\frac{xy+a}{x+y},\quad k_2=\frac{yz+a}{y+z},\quad k_3=\frac{xz+a}{x+z}.$$

Proof. We take $L:=K(\sqrt{a})$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)=\langle\rho\rangle$ where $\rho(\sqrt{a})=-\sqrt{a}$. We extend the action of $-I_3$ to $L(x,y,z)$ with trivial action on L. Then we have $K(x,y,z)^{\langle -I_3\rangle}=L^{\langle\rho\rangle}(x,y,z)^{\langle -I_3\rangle}=L(x,y,z)^{\langle -I_3,\rho\rangle}$ because the actions of ρ on $K(x,y,z)$ and $-I_3$ on L are trivial respectively. Put

$$X:=\frac{x+\sqrt{a}}{x-\sqrt{a}},\quad Y:=\frac{y+\sqrt{a}}{y-\sqrt{a}},\quad Z:=\frac{z+\sqrt{a}}{z-\sqrt{a}}.$$

Then $L(x,y,z)=K(\sqrt{a})(X,Y,Z)$ and the actions of $-I_3$ and ρ on $K(\sqrt{a})(X,Y,Z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} -I_3\ &:\ \sqrt{a}\ \mapsto\ \sqrt{a},\ X\ \mapsto\ -X,\ Y\mapsto\ -Y,\ Z\mapsto\ -Z,\\ \rho\ &:\ \sqrt{a}\ \mapsto\ -\sqrt{a},\ X\ \mapsto\ \frac{1}{X},\ Y\mapsto\ \frac{1}{Y},\ Z\mapsto\ \frac{1}{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $L(x,y,z)^{\langle -I_3\rangle}=L(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ where

$$u_1:=XY,\quad u_2:=YZ,\quad u_3:=XZ.$$

It follows that $K(x,y,z)^{\langle -I_3\rangle}=L^{\langle\rho\rangle}(x,y,z)^{\langle -I_3\rangle}=(L(x,y,z)^{\langle -I_3\rangle})^{\langle\rho\rangle}=L(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle\rho\rangle}$. The action of ρ on $L(u_1,u_2,u_3)=K(\sqrt{a})(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ is given by

$$\rho\ :\ \sqrt{a}\ \mapsto\ -\sqrt{a},\ u_1\ \mapsto\ \frac{1}{u_1},\ u_2\ \mapsto\ \frac{1}{u_2},\ u_3\ \mapsto\ \frac{1}{u_3}.$$

Hence we also put

$$k_1':=\frac{u_1+1}{u_1-1},\quad k_2':=\frac{u_2+1}{u_2-1},\quad k_3':=\frac{u_3+1}{u_3-1}.$$

Then $L(u_1,u_2,u_3)=L(k_1',k_2',k_3')$ and the action of ρ on $L(k_1',k_2',k_3')$ is given by $\rho\ :\ \sqrt{a}\mapsto-\sqrt{a}$, $k_i'\mapsto -k_i'$ for $1\le i\le 3$. Therefore we get

$$K(x,y,z)^{\langle -I_3\rangle}=L(k_1',k_2',k_3')^{\langle\rho\rangle}=L^{\langle\rho\rangle}(\sqrt{a}\,k_1',\sqrt{a}\,k_2',\sqrt{a}\,k_3')=K(\sqrt{a}\,k_1',\sqrt{a}\,k_2',\sqrt{a}\,k_3').$$

It can be evaluated from the definition that $\sqrt{a}\,k_i'=k_i$ for $1\le i\le 3$. □

Remark 3.14. (i) Theorem 3.13 is also valid for a field K of char $K=2$.
(ii) For general $n\ge 2$, we can get an explicit transcendental basis of $K(x_1,\ldots,x_n)^{\langle -I_n\rangle}$ over K under the action of $-I_n : x_i\mapsto a/x_i$, $1\le i\le n$ by the same manner as above. Indeed we see

$$\begin{aligned} K(x_1,\ldots,x_n)^{\langle -I_n\rangle}&=K\Big(\frac{x_ix_n+a}{x_i+x_n},\frac{x_n^2+a}{x_n}\,\Big|\,1\le i\le n-1\Big)\\ &=\begin{cases} K\Big(\dfrac{x_ix_{i+1}+a}{x_i+x_{i+1}},\dfrac{x_nx_1+a}{x_n+x_1}\,\Big|\,1\le i\le n-1\Big), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd},\\ K\Big(\dfrac{x_ix_{i+1}+a}{x_i+x_{i+1}},\dfrac{x_nx_1-a}{x_n-x_1}\,\Big|\,1\le i\le n-1\Big), & \text{if } n \text{ is even}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.15 ([Yam, Theorem 6])**.** *Let K be a field of* char $K\ne 2$ *and $\langle -\tau_1,\tau_1\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_2\times\mathcal{C}_2$ act on $K(x,y,z)$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} -\tau_1\ &:\ x\ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_1x,\ y\mapsto\ \varepsilon_2y,\ z\ \mapsto\ \frac{c}{z},\\ \tau_1\ &:\ x\ \mapsto\ \frac{a}{x},\ y\mapsto\ \frac{b}{y},\ z\ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_3z \end{aligned}$$

where $a,b,c\in K^\times$ and $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2,\varepsilon_3=\pm1$. If $\varepsilon_3=1$ then $K(x,y,z)^{\langle -\tau_1,\tau_1\rangle}$ is rational over K. In particular, an explicit transcendental basis of $K(x,y,z)^{\langle -\tau_1,\tau_1\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ over K is given by

$$u_1=\begin{cases} t_1, & \text{if } \varepsilon_2=1,\\ t_1\Big(z-\dfrac{c}{z}\Big), & \text{if } \varepsilon_2=-1, \end{cases}\qquad u_2=\begin{cases} t_2, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=1,\\ t_2\Big(z-\dfrac{c}{z}\Big), & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=-1, \end{cases}\qquad u_3:=z+\frac{c}{z}$$

where

$$t_1=\frac{x-\frac{a}{x}}{xy-\frac{ab}{xy}}=\frac{y(x^2-a)}{x^2y^2-ab},\quad t_2=\frac{y-\frac{b}{y}}{xy-\frac{ab}{xy}}=\frac{x(y^2-b)}{x^2y^2-ab}.$$

Theorem 3.16. *Let K be a field of* char $K \neq 2$ *and $G_{3,1,1} = \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle$ act on $K(x,y,z)$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 \ &: \ x \ \mapsto \ \frac{a}{x}, \ y \ \mapsto \ \frac{a}{y}, \ z \ \mapsto \ z, \\ \lambda_1 \ &: \ x \ \mapsto \ \frac{a}{x}, \ y \ \mapsto \ y, \ z \ \mapsto \ \frac{a}{z}, \quad a \in K^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Then the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,1,1}}$ is rational over K. In particular, an explicit transcendental basis of $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ over K is given by

$$v_1 = \frac{a(-x+y+z)-xyz}{a-xy-xz+yz}, \quad v_2 = \frac{a(x-y+z)-xyz}{a-xy+xz-yz}, \quad v_3 = \frac{a(x+y-z)-xyz}{a+xy-xz-yz}.$$

Proof. We take $L := K(\sqrt{a})$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) = \langle \rho \rangle$ where $\rho(\sqrt{a}) = -\sqrt{a}$. We extend the action of $\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle$ to $L(x,y,z)$ with trivial action on L. Then we have $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle} = L^{\langle \rho \rangle}(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle} = L(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \rho \rangle}$ because the actions of ρ on $K(x,y,z)$ and τ_1, λ_1 on L are trivial respectively. Put

$$X := \frac{x+\sqrt{a}}{x-\sqrt{a}}, \quad Y := \frac{y+\sqrt{a}}{y-\sqrt{a}}, \quad Z := \frac{z+\sqrt{a}}{z-\sqrt{a}}.$$

Then $L(x,y,z) = K(\sqrt{a})(X,Y,Z)$ and the actions of τ_1, λ_1 and ρ on $K(\sqrt{a})(X,Y,Z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 \ &: \ \sqrt{a} \ \mapsto \ \sqrt{a}, \ X \ \mapsto \ -X, \ Y \mapsto \ -Y, \ Z \mapsto \ Z, \\ \lambda_1 \ &: \ \sqrt{a} \ \mapsto \ \sqrt{a}, \ X \ \mapsto \ -X, \ Y \mapsto \ Y, \ Z \mapsto \ -Z, \\ \rho \ &: \ \sqrt{a} \ \mapsto \ -\sqrt{a}, \ X \ \mapsto \ \frac{1}{X}, \ Y \mapsto \ \frac{1}{Y}, \ Z \mapsto \ \frac{1}{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $L(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle} = L(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ where

$$u_1 := \frac{YZ}{X}, \quad u_2 := \frac{XZ}{Y}, \quad u_3 := \frac{XY}{Z}.$$

It follows that $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle} = L^{\langle \rho \rangle}(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle} = (L(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle})^{\langle \rho \rangle} = L(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle \rho \rangle}$. The action of ρ on $L(u_1,u_2,u_3) = K(\sqrt{a})(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ is given by

$$\rho \ : \ \sqrt{a} \ \mapsto \ -\sqrt{a}, \ u_1 \ \mapsto \ \frac{1}{u_1}, \ u_2 \ \mapsto \ \frac{1}{u_2}, \ u_3 \ \mapsto \ \frac{1}{u_3}.$$

Hence we also put

$$v_1' := \frac{u_1+1}{u_1-1}, \quad v_2' := \frac{u_2+1}{u_2-1}, \quad v_3' := \frac{u_3+1}{u_3-1}.$$

Then $L(u_1,u_2,u_3) = L(v_1',v_2',v_3')$ and the action of ρ on $L(v_1',v_2',v_3')$ is given by $\rho : \sqrt{a} \mapsto -\sqrt{a}$, $v_i' \mapsto -v_i'$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Therefore we get

$$K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle} = L(v_1',v_2',v_3')^{\langle \rho \rangle} = L^{\langle \rho \rangle}(\sqrt{a}\,v_1', \sqrt{a}\,v_2', \sqrt{a}\,v_3') = K(\sqrt{a}\,v_1', \sqrt{a}\,v_2', \sqrt{a}\,v_3').$$

It is easy to see that $\sqrt{a}v_i' = v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. □

4. The case of $G_{2,j,k}$

We treat the following six groups $G_{2,j,k}$ of the 2nd crystal system in dimension 3. They are abelian groups of exponent 2 which are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2,1,1} &= \langle \lambda_1 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{2,1,2} &= \langle -\alpha \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2, \\ G_{2,2,1} &= \langle -\lambda_1 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{2,2,2} &= \langle \alpha \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2, \\ \mathcal{N} \ni G_{2,3,1} &= \langle \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{2,3,2} &= \langle -\alpha, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2. \end{aligned}$$

The rationality problem for $G_{2,3,1} \in \mathcal{N}$ is already solved by Yamasaki [Yam] (see Section 1). The actions of λ_1, $-\alpha$, $-\lambda_1$ and α on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_1 : x \mapsto \frac{a}{x},\ y \mapsto by,\ z \mapsto \frac{c}{z}, && -\alpha : x \mapsto \frac{d}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{e}{x},\ z \mapsto \frac{f}{z},\\ &-\lambda_1 : x \mapsto gx,\ y \mapsto \frac{h}{y},\ z \mapsto iz, && \alpha : x \mapsto jy,\ y \mapsto kx,\ z \mapsto lz. \end{aligned}$$

We may assume that $j = k = 1$ by replacing jy by y and the other coefficients.

By the equalities $\lambda_1^2 = (-\alpha)^2 = (-\lambda_1)^2 = \alpha^2 = I_3$, we have $b^2 = g^2 = i^2 = l^2 = 1$ and $d = e$. Hence the problem may be reduced to the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_1 : x \mapsto \frac{a}{x},\ y \mapsto \varepsilon_1 y,\ z \mapsto \frac{c}{z}, && -\alpha : x \mapsto \frac{d}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{d}{x},\ z \mapsto \frac{f}{z},\\ &-\lambda_1 : x \mapsto \varepsilon_2 x,\ y \mapsto \frac{h}{y},\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_3 z, && \alpha : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto x,\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_4 z \end{aligned}$$

where $a, c, d, f, h \in K^\times$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 = \pm 1$.

By Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 3.1, we see that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{2,1,1}} = K(x,y,z)^{\langle \lambda_1 \rangle}$, $K(x,y,z)^{G_{2,2,1}} = K(x,y,z)^{\langle -\lambda_1 \rangle}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{2,2,2}} = K(x,y,z)^{\langle \alpha \rangle}$ are rational over K. Replacing d/y by y, we also see that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{2,1,2}} = K(x,y,z)^{\langle -\alpha \rangle}$ is rational over K. For

$$G_{2,3,2} = \langle -\alpha, -I_3 \rangle = \langle -\alpha, \alpha \rangle,$$

we put

$$t_1 := \frac{x-y}{x+y}, \quad t_2 := \frac{2}{x+y}, \quad t_3 := z.$$

Then the generators $-\alpha$ and α of $G_{2,3,2}$ act on $K(t_1,t_2,t_3) = K(x,y,z)$ respectively by

$$-\alpha : t_1 \mapsto t_1,\ t_2 \mapsto \frac{1-t_1^2}{dt_2},\ t_3 \mapsto \frac{f}{t_3}, \quad \alpha : t_1 \mapsto -t_1,\ t_2 \mapsto t_2,\ t_3 \mapsto \varepsilon_4 t_3.$$

We take

$$\begin{cases} u_1 := t_1^2, \quad u_2 := t_2, \quad u_3 := t_3, \text{ if } \varepsilon_4 = 1,\\ u_1 := t_1^2, \quad u_2 := t_2, \quad u_3 := t_1 t_3, \text{ if } \varepsilon_4 = -1. \end{cases}$$

Then $K(t_1,t_2,t_3)^{\langle \alpha \rangle} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ and the action of $-\alpha$ on $K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ is given by

$$-\alpha : \begin{cases} u_1 \mapsto u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto \dfrac{1-u_1}{du_2},\ u_3 \mapsto \dfrac{f}{u_3}, \text{ if } \varepsilon_4 = 1,\\ u_1 \mapsto u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto \dfrac{1-u_1}{du_2},\ u_3 \mapsto \dfrac{fu_1}{u_3}, \text{ if } \varepsilon_4 = -1. \end{cases}$$

Hence it follows from Theorem 3.3 that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{2,3,2}} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle -\alpha \rangle}$ is rational over K.

5. The case of $G_{3,j,k}$

We treat the following 13 groups $G = G_{3,1,k},\ 1 \le k \le 4$, $G = G_{3,2,k},\ 1 \le k \le 5$, and $G = G_{3,3,k},\ 1 \le k \le 4$ of the 3rd crystal system in dimension 3:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N} \ni G_{3,1,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,1,2} &= \langle \tau_1, -\alpha\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2,\\
G_{3,1,3} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,1,4} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2,\\
G_{3,2,1} &= \langle \tau_1, -\lambda_1\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,2,2} &= \langle \tau_1, \alpha\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2,\\
G_{3,2,3} &= \langle -\alpha, \beta\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,2,4} &= \langle \tau_2, -\lambda_2\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2,\\
G_{3,2,5} &= \langle \tau_3, -\lambda_3\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & &\\
\mathcal{N} \ni G_{3,3,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, -I_3\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,3,2} &= \langle \tau_1, -\alpha, -I_3\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2,\\
G_{3,3,3} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, -I_3\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2, & G_{3,3,4} &= \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, -I_3\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

5.1. The cases of $G_{3,2,1}$ and $G_{3,2,2}$.

We treat the cases of

$$G_{3,2,1} = \langle \tau_1, -\lambda_1\rangle, \quad G_{3,2,2} = \langle \tau_1, \alpha\rangle.$$

The actions of τ_1, $-\lambda_1$ and α on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_1 : x \mapsto \frac{a}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{b}{y},\ z \mapsto cz, && -\lambda_1 : x \mapsto dx,\ y \mapsto \frac{e}{y},\ z \mapsto fz,\\
&\alpha : x \mapsto gy,\ y \mapsto hx,\ z \mapsto iz.
\end{aligned}$$

We may assume that $g = h = 1$ by replacing gy by y and the other coefficients.

By the equalities $\tau_1^2 = (-\lambda_1)^2 = \alpha^2 = I_3$, we have $c^2 = d^2 = f^2 = i^2 = 1$. Hence the problem may be reduced to the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_1 : x \mapsto \frac{a}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{b}{y},\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_1 z, && -\lambda_1 : x \mapsto \varepsilon_2 x,\ y \mapsto \frac{e}{y},\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_3 z,\\
&\alpha : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto x,\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_4 z
\end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, e \in K^\times$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 = \pm 1$.

Using Theorem 3.1, the rationality problems of $G_{3,2,1}$ and $G_{3,2,2}$ may be reduced to the 2-dimensional cases of $K(x,y)^{G_{3,2,1}}$ and $K(x,y)^{G_{3,2,2}}$ respectively. Hence $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,2,1}}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,2,2}}$ are rational over K.

5.2. The case of $G_{3,2,3}$.

We consider the case of

$$G_{3,2,3} = \langle -\alpha, \beta\rangle.$$

The actions of $-\alpha$ and β on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$-\alpha : x \mapsto \frac{a}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{b}{x},\ z \mapsto \frac{c}{z}, \qquad \beta : x \mapsto \frac{d}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{e}{x},\ z \mapsto fz.$$

By the equalities $(-\alpha)^2 = \beta^2 = I_3$ and $(-\alpha)\beta = \beta(-\alpha)$, we have $b = a$, $d = e = \varepsilon_1 a$ and $f = \varepsilon_2$ where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$. Hence the actions of $-\alpha$ and β on $K(x,y,z)$ may be reduced to the following:

$$-\alpha : x \mapsto \frac{a}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{a}{x},\ z \mapsto \frac{c}{z}, \qquad \beta : x \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1 a}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1 a}{x},\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_2 z$$

where $a, c \in K^\times$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$. By putting $x' := x/y$, we have

$$-\alpha : x' \mapsto x',\ y \mapsto \frac{a}{x'y},\ z \mapsto \frac{c}{z}, \qquad \beta : x' \mapsto x',\ y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1 a}{x'y},\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_2 z.$$

Hence the problem of $G_{3,2,3}$ may be reduced to the 2-dimensional case of $K(x')(y,z)^{G_{3,2,3}}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,2,3}}$ is rational over K.

5.3. **The cases of $G_{3,2,4}$ and $G_{3,2,5}$.** We consider the cases of

$$G_{3,2,4} = \langle \tau_2, -\lambda_2 \rangle, \quad G_{3,2,5} = \langle \tau_3, -\lambda_3 \rangle.$$

We may replace $G_{3,2,4}$ and $G_{3,2,5}$ by new representatives which contain $-\beta$ as

$$G'_{3,2,4} := \langle -\beta, -\varphi_2 \rangle \in [G_{3,2,4}], \quad G'_{3,2,5} := \langle -\beta, -\varphi_3 \rangle \in [G_{3,2,5}]$$

with $-\beta = R_1^{-1}\tau_2 R_1 = R_2^{-1}\tau_3 R_2$ where

$$R_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_2 := {}^t(R_1^{-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\varphi_2 := R_1^{-1}\lambda_2\tau_2 R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \varphi_3 := R_2^{-1}\lambda_3\tau_3 R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The actions of $-\beta$, $-\varphi_2$ and $-\varphi_3$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &-\beta : x \mapsto ay,\ y \mapsto bx,\ z \mapsto \frac{c}{z}, \\ &-\varphi_2 : x \mapsto dx,\ y \mapsto ey,\ z \mapsto \frac{fy}{xz}, \qquad -\varphi_3 : x \mapsto \frac{gx}{z},\ y \mapsto hyz,\ z \mapsto \frac{i}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the equalities $(-\beta)^2 = (-\varphi_2)^2 = I_3$ that $ab = d^2 = 1$ and $e = d$. Hence we may assume that the actions of $-\beta$ and $-\varphi_2$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given as

$$-\beta : x \mapsto ay,\ y \mapsto \frac{x}{a},\ z \mapsto \frac{c}{z}, \qquad -\varphi_2 : x \mapsto \varepsilon x,\ y \mapsto \varepsilon y,\ z \mapsto \frac{fy}{xz}$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. By putting $x' := x/y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-\beta : x' \mapsto \frac{a^2}{x'},\ y \mapsto \frac{x'y}{a}, z \mapsto \frac{c}{z}, \\ &-\varphi_2 : x' \mapsto x',\ y \mapsto \varepsilon y, z \mapsto \frac{f}{x'z}, \qquad -\varphi_3 : x' \mapsto \frac{gx'}{hz^2},\ y \mapsto hyz,\ z \mapsto \frac{i}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1, the rationality problem of $G'_{3,2,4} = \langle -\beta, -\varphi_2 \rangle$ and $G'_{3,2,5} = \langle -\beta, -\varphi_3 \rangle$ may be reduced to the 2-dimensional case of $K(x',z)^{G'_{3,2,4}}$ and $K(x',z)^{G'_{3,2,5}}$ respectively. Hence $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,2,4}}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,2,5}}$ are rational over K.

In Subsection 7.2, we will also give an explicit transcendental basis of $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,2,5}}$ over K in order to show the rationality of $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,6,3}}$ over K.

5.4. **The cases of $G_{3,1,2}$ and $G_{3,3,2}$.** We treat the cases of

$$G_{3,1,2} = \langle \tau_1, -\alpha \rangle, \quad G_{3,3,2} = \langle \tau_1, -\alpha, -I_3 \rangle.$$

We first see

$$G_{3,1,2} = \langle \tau_1, -\beta \rangle, \quad G_{3,3,2} = \langle \tau_1, -\beta, \alpha \rangle,$$

because $\tau_1(-\alpha) = -\beta$ and $(-\alpha)(-I_3) = \alpha$. The actions of τ_1, $-\beta$ and α on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_1 : x \mapsto \frac{a}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{b}{y},\ z \mapsto cz, \qquad -\beta : x \mapsto dy,\ y \mapsto ex,\ z \mapsto \frac{f}{z}, \\ &\alpha : x \mapsto gy,\ y \mapsto hx,\ z \mapsto iz. \end{aligned}$$

We may assume that $d = e = 1$ by replacing dy by y and the other coefficients.

By the equalities $\tau_1^2=\alpha^2=[\tau_1,-\beta]=[\tau_1,-I_3]=[-\beta,-I_3]=I_3$, we have $a=b$, $gh=1$ and $c^2=g^2=i^2=1$. Hence the problem may be reduced to the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_1 : x \ \mapsto\ \frac{a}{x},\ y \ \mapsto\ \frac{a}{y},\ z \ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_1 z, && -\beta : x \ \mapsto\ y,\ y \ \mapsto\ x,\ z \ \mapsto\ \frac{f}{z},\\
&\alpha : x \ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_2 y,\ y \ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_2 x,\ z \ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_3 z
\end{aligned}$$

where $a,f\in K^\times$ and $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2,\varepsilon_3=\pm 1$. Now we put

$$t_1:=\frac{x-y}{x+y},\quad t_2:=\frac{2}{x+y},\quad t_3:=z.$$

Then $K(x,y,z)=K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ and the actions of τ_1, $-\beta$ and α on $K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_1 : t_1 \ \mapsto\ -t_1,\ t_2 \ \mapsto\ -\frac{t_1^2-1}{at_2},\ t_3 \ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_1 t_3, && -\beta : t_1 \ \mapsto\ -t_1,\ t_2 \ \mapsto\ t_2,\ t_3 \ \mapsto\ \frac{f}{t_3},\\
&\alpha : t_1 \ \mapsto\ -t_1,\ t_2 \ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_2 t_2,\ t_3 \ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_3 t_3.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2 (I), we have $K(x,y,z)^{\langle -\beta\rangle}=K(t_1,t_2,t_3)^{\langle -\beta\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3')$ where

$$u_1:=t_3+\frac{f}{t_3},\quad u_2:=\Big(t_3-\frac{f}{t_3}\Big)\Big/t_1,\quad u_3':=t_2.$$

We also put $u_3:=u_3'u_2$ then $K(x,y,z)^{\langle -\beta\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ and the actions of τ_1 and α on $K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_1 : u_1 \ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_1 u_1,\ u_2 \ \mapsto\ -\varepsilon_1 u_2,\ u_3 \ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_1\frac{u_1^2-u_2^2-4f}{au_3},\\
&\alpha : u_1 \ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_3 u_1,\ u_2 \ \mapsto\ -\varepsilon_3 u_2,\ u_3 \ \mapsto\ -\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3 u_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 3.2 (I), we have

$$K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,1,2}}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle\tau_1\rangle}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$$

where

$$\begin{cases}
v_1:=u_3+\dfrac{u_1^2-u_2^2-4f}{au_3},\ v_2:=\Big(u_3-\dfrac{u_1^2-u_2^2-4f}{au_3}\Big)\Big/u_2,\ v_3:=u_1, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=1,\\
v_1:=u_3-\dfrac{u_1^2-u_2^2-4f}{au_3},\ v_2:=\Big(u_3+\dfrac{u_1^2-u_2^2-4f}{au_3}\Big)\Big/u_1,\ v_3:=u_2, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=-1.
\end{cases}$$

Hence $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,1,2}}=K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_1,-\beta\rangle}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ is rational over K. The action of α on $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,1,2}}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ is given by

$$\alpha : \begin{cases}
v_1 \ \mapsto\ -\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3 v_1,\ v_2 \ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_2 v_2,\ v_3 \ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_3 v_3, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=1,\\
v_1 \ \mapsto\ -\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3 v_1,\ v_2 \ \mapsto\ -\varepsilon_2 v_2,\ v_3 \ \mapsto\ -\varepsilon_3 v_3, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=-1.
\end{cases}$$

Therefore $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,3,2}}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle\alpha\rangle}$ is rational over K.

5.5. **The cases of $G_{3,1,3}$ and $G_{3,3,3}$.** We treat the cases of

$$G_{3,1,3}=\langle\tau_2,\lambda_2\rangle,\quad G_{3,3,3}=\langle\tau_2,\lambda_2,-I_3\rangle.$$

We will use the following representatives:

$$G_{3,1,3}'=\langle-\beta,\varphi_2\rangle\in[G_{3,1,3}],\quad G_{3,3,3}'=\langle-\beta,\varphi_2,\beta\varphi_2\rangle\in[G_{3,3,3}]$$

where φ_2 is given as in subsection 5.3.

The monomial actions of $-\beta$, φ_2 and $\beta\varphi_2$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta : x &\mapsto ay,\ y \mapsto bx,\ z \mapsto \frac{c}{z}, & \varphi_2 : x &\mapsto \frac{d}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{e}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{fxz}{y},\\ \beta\varphi_2 : x &\mapsto gy,\ y \mapsto hx,\ z \mapsto \frac{ixz}{y}. \end{aligned}$$

We may assume that $a=b=1$ by replacing ay by y and the other coefficients. It follows from the equalities $[-\beta,\varphi_2]=[-\beta,\beta\varphi_2]=[\varphi_2,\beta\varphi_2]=I_3$ that $d=e$, $g=h$ and $f^2=h^2=i^2=1$. Hence the actions of $-\beta$, φ_2 and $\beta\varphi_2$ on $K(x,y,z)$ may be reduced to the case

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta : x &\mapsto y,\ y \mapsto x,\ z \mapsto \frac{c}{z}, & \varphi_2 : x &\mapsto \frac{d}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{d}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1 xz}{y},\\ \beta\varphi_2 : x &\mapsto \varepsilon_2 y,\ y \mapsto \varepsilon_2 x,\ z \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_3 xz}{y} \end{aligned}$$

where $c,d\in K^\times$ and $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2,\varepsilon_3=\pm 1$.

We first consider the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\varphi_2\rangle}$. By applying Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$K(x,y,z)^{\langle\varphi_2\rangle}=K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$$

where

$$t_1:=\frac{xy+\varepsilon_1 d}{x+\varepsilon_1 y},\quad t_2:=\frac{xy-\varepsilon_1 d}{x-\varepsilon_1 y},\quad t_3:=\frac{t_1+\varepsilon_1 t_2}{2}\Big(1+\varepsilon_1\frac{x}{y}\Big)z.$$

Then the actions of $-\beta$ and $\beta\varphi_2$ on $K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta : t_1 &\mapsto \varepsilon_1 t_1,\ t_2 \mapsto -\varepsilon_1 t_2,\ t_3 \mapsto -\varepsilon_1\frac{c(t_2^2-d)}{t_3},\\ \beta\varphi_2 : t_1 &\mapsto \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 t_1,\ t_2 \mapsto -\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 t_2,\ t_3 \mapsto \varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3\frac{t_1-\varepsilon_1 t_2}{t_1+\varepsilon_1 t_2}t_3. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 3.2 (I) that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,1,3}}=K(t_1,t_2,t_3)^{\langle-\beta\rangle}$ is rational over K. Indeed we get $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,1,3}}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ where

$$\begin{cases} u_1:=t_3-\dfrac{c(t_2^2-d)}{t_3},\ u_2:=\Big(t_3+\dfrac{c(t_2^2-d)}{t_3}\Big)\Big/t_2,\ u_3:=t_1, \text{ if } \varepsilon_1=1,\\ u_1:=t_3+\dfrac{c(t_2^2-d)}{t_3},\ u_2:=\Big(t_3-\dfrac{c(t_2^2-d)}{t_3}\Big)\Big/t_1,\ u_3:=t_2, \text{ if } \varepsilon_1=-1. \end{cases}$$

In order to get $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,3,3}}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle\beta\varphi_2\rangle}$, we take

$$v_1:=u_1-\varepsilon_1 u_2u_3,\ v_2:=u_1^2-(u_2^2-\varepsilon_1 4c)u_3^2-\varepsilon_1 4cd,\ v_3:=u_3.$$

Then we have $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,1,3}}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ because

$$u_1=\frac{v_1^2+v_2-\varepsilon_1 4c(v_3^2-d)}{2v_1},\quad u_2=\frac{-\varepsilon_1(v_1^2-v_2)-4c(v_3^2-d)}{2v_1v_3},\quad u_3=v_3.$$

The action of $\beta\varphi_2$ on $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,1,3}}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ may be evaluated as

$$\beta\varphi_2 : \begin{cases} v_1 \mapsto -\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3 v_1,\ v_2 \mapsto \dfrac{v_1^4-8c(v_3^2+d)v_1^2+16c^2(v_3^2-d)^2}{v_2},\ v_3\mapsto \varepsilon_2 v_3, \text{ if } \varepsilon_1=1,\\ v_1 \mapsto \varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3 v_1,\ v_2 \mapsto \dfrac{(v_1^2-4c(v_3^2-d))^2}{v_2},\ v_3\mapsto \varepsilon_2 v_3, \text{ if } \varepsilon_1=-1. \end{cases}$$

When $\varepsilon_1=-1$, if we put

$$v_2':=\frac{v_1^2-4c(v_3^2-d)+v_2}{v_1^2-4c(v_3^2-d)-v_2}$$

then the action of $\beta\varphi_2$ on $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,1,3}} = K(v_1, v_2', v_3)$ is given by

$$\beta\varphi_2 : v_1 \ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3 v_1,\ v_2' \ \mapsto\ -v_2',\ v_3 \mapsto\ \varepsilon_2 v_3.$$

Hence $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,3,3}} = K(v_1, v_2', v_3)^{\langle\beta\varphi_2\rangle}$ is rational over K.

When $\varepsilon_1 = 1$, we note that the numerator of $\beta\varphi_2(v_2)$ is a monic quartic polynomial in v_1 and is also a quartic polynomial in v_3 with square constant term:

$$v_1^4 - 8c(v_3^2+d)v_1^2 + 16c^2(v_3^2-d)^2 = 16c^2v_3^4 - 8c(v_1^2+4cd)v_3^2 + (v_1^2-4cd)^2.$$

By applying Theorem 3.2 (II), we conclude that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,3,3}} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle\beta\varphi_2\rangle}$ is rational over K.

5.6. **The cases of $G_{3,1,4}$ and $G_{3,3,4}$.** We treat the cases of

$$G_{3,1,4} = \langle\lambda_3, \tau_3\rangle, \quad G_{3,3,4} = \langle\lambda_3, \tau_3, -I_3\rangle.$$

The monomial actions of λ_3, τ_3 and $-I_3$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$\lambda_3 : x \ \mapsto\ az,\ y \ \mapsto\ \frac{b}{xyz},\ z \ \mapsto\ cx, \qquad \tau_3 : x \ \mapsto\ dy,\ y \ \mapsto\ ex,\ z \ \mapsto\ \frac{f}{xyz},$$
$$-I_3 : x \ \mapsto\ \frac{g}{x},\ y \ \mapsto\ \frac{h}{y},\ z \ \mapsto\ \frac{i}{z}.$$

We may assume that $a = d = 1$ by replacing (dy, az) by (y, z) and the other coefficients. By the equality $\lambda_3^2 = \tau_3^2 = I_3$ (resp. $[\lambda_3, \tau_3] = I_3$), we see $c = e = 1$ (resp. $b = f$). For $G_{3,3,4}$, it follows from $[\lambda_3, -I_3] = [\tau_3, -I_3] = I_3$ that $g = h = i$ and $b^2 = g^4$. So the actions of λ_3, τ_3 and $-I_3$ on $K(x,y,z)$ may be reduced to the following cases:

$$\lambda_3 : x \ \mapsto\ z,\ y \ \mapsto\ \frac{b}{xyz},\ z \ \mapsto\ x, \qquad \tau_3 : x \ \mapsto\ y,\ y \ \mapsto\ x,\ z \ \mapsto\ \frac{b}{xyz},$$
$$-I_3 : x \ \mapsto\ \frac{g}{x},\ y \ \mapsto\ \frac{g}{y},\ z \ \mapsto\ \frac{g}{z},$$

with $b = \varepsilon\cdot g^2 \in K^\times$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ for the case of $G_{3,3,4}$. Put

$$w := \frac{b}{xyz} = \frac{\varepsilon\cdot g^2}{xyz}.$$

Then $K(x,y,z) = K(x,y,z,w)$ and the actions of τ_3, λ_3 and $-I_3$ on $K(x,y,z,w)$ are:

$$\tau_3 : x \ \mapsto\ y,\ y \ \mapsto\ x,\ z \ \mapsto\ w,\ w \ \mapsto\ z,$$
$$\lambda_3 : x \ \mapsto\ z,\ y \ \mapsto\ w,\ z \ \mapsto\ x,\ w \ \mapsto\ y,$$
$$-I_3 : x \ \mapsto\ \frac{g}{x},\ y \ \mapsto\ \frac{g}{y},\ z \ \mapsto\ \frac{g}{z},\ w \ \mapsto\ \frac{g}{w}.$$

By Lemma 3.8, we have $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,1,4}} = K(x,y,z,w)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3\rangle} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ where

$$v_1 \ :=\ \frac{x+y-z-w}{xy-zw}, \quad v_2 \ :=\ \frac{x-y-z+w}{xw-yz}, \quad v_3 \ :=\ \frac{x-y+z-w}{xz-yw}$$

with $w = b/(xyz)$. Thus $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,1,4}}$ is rational over K. The action of $-I_3$ on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3\rangle} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ is given by

$$-I_3 : v_1 \ \mapsto\ \frac{-v_1+v_2+v_3}{g\,v_2v_3},\ v_2 \ \mapsto\ \frac{v_1-v_2+v_3}{g\,v_1v_3},\ v_3 \ \mapsto\ \frac{v_1+v_2-v_3}{g\,v_1v_2}.$$

We see that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,3,4}} = K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3,-I_3\rangle}$ is rational over K by the following lemma:

Lemma 5.1. *We have* $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3,-I_3\rangle}=K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ *where*

$$\begin{aligned}t_1&:=v_1+(-I_3)(v_1)=\frac{-v_1+v_2+v_3+g\,v_1v_2v_3}{g\,v_2v_3}\\&=\frac{g(x+y-z-w)+xy(z+w)-zw(x+y)}{g(xy-zw)},\\t_2&:=v_2+(-I_3)(v_2),\ t_3:=v_3+(-I_3)(v_3)\quad\text{with}\quad w=b/(xyz).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We omit to explain how to get suitable generators t_1, t_2 and t_3, and only verify the assertion. From the definition of the t_i's, we see $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3,-I_3\rangle}\supset K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$. We also get

$$\begin{aligned}&g(g\,t_1t_2-4)(v_3^2-t_3v_3)-4g\,t_3(t_1+t_2-t_3)=0,\\&v_2=\frac{v_3(g\,t_1t_2-4)-2(t_2-t_3)}{g\,t_1t_3-4},\quad v_1=\frac{v_3^2(g\,t_1t_2-2)+v_3(t_3-g\,t_1t_3v_2)+t_3v_2}{t_3-2v_3}.\end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows from $K(v_1,v_2,v_3)=K(t_1,t_2,t_3)(v_3)$ and $[K(v_1,v_2,v_3):K(t_1,t_2,t_3)]\le 2$. □

6. THE CASE (4A): $\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}\in G_{4,j,k}$

We treat the following eight groups $G=G_{4,j,1}$ $(1\le j\le 7)$ and $G=G_{4,6,2}$ of the 4th crystal system in dimension 3 which contain $\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}$ or $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}&G_{4,1,1}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_4, && \mathcal{N}\ni G_{4,2,1}=\langle-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_4,\\ \mathcal{N}\ni\ &G_{4,3,1}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}},-I_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_4\times\mathcal{C}_2,\\ \mathcal{N}\ni\ &G_{4,4,1}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}},\lambda_1\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_4, && G_{4,5,1}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}},-\lambda_1\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_4,\\ &G_{4,6,1}=\langle-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}},\lambda_1\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_4, && G_{4,6,2}=\langle-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}},-\lambda_1\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_4,\\ &G_{4,7,1}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}},\lambda_1,-I_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_4\times\mathcal{C}_2.\end{aligned}$$

6.1. The case (4A$^+$): $\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}\in G_{4,j,k}$.

We treat the cases of

$$G_{4,1,1}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}\rangle,\quad G_{4,5,1}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}},-\lambda_1\rangle$$

which have a normal subgroup $\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}\rangle$ of order 4. We also see

$$G_{4,5,1}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}},\alpha\rangle$$

where $\alpha=\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}(-\lambda_1)$ is given as in the previous section. By the equalities $\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}^4=\alpha^2=I_3$ and $[\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}},\alpha]=\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}^2$, we may assume that the actions of $\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}$ and of α on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}:x\ \mapsto\ y,\ y\ \mapsto\ \frac{b}{x},\ z\ \mapsto\ cz,\qquad\quad \alpha:x\ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_2y,\ y\ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_2x,\ z\ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_3z$$

where $b,c\in K^\times$ with $c^4=1$ and $\varepsilon_2,\varepsilon_3=\pm1$. For $G_{4,5,1}$, we also see $c=\varepsilon_1=\pm1$. By Theorem 3.1, the rationality problems for $G=G_{4,1,1}$, $G_{4,5,1}$ may be reduced to the 2-dimensional monomial case $K(x,y)^G(\Theta)$ and hence $K(x,y,z)^G$ is rational over K. Indeed we may obtain a $G_{4,5,1}$-invariant Θ which satisfies $K(x,y,z)=K(x,y,\Theta)$. For example, if $(c,\varepsilon_2,\varepsilon_3)=(-1,-1,-1)$ then we obtain

$$\Theta=\frac{(x+y)(xy+b)z}{(x^2-b)(y^2-b)},$$

and if $(c,\varepsilon_2,\varepsilon_3)=(-1,1,-1)$ then we get

$$\Theta=\mathrm{Tr}_{G_{4,1,1}}(xz)=xz-yz+\frac{bz}{x}-\frac{bz}{y}=-\frac{(x-y)(b-xy)z}{xy}$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}_{G_{4,1,1}}$ is the trace map under the action of $G_{4,1,1}$.

For the convenience of the readers, we shall give the generators of $K(x,y)^G$. The action of $\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}^2 = \tau_1$ on $K(x,y)$ is

$$\tau_1 : x \mapsto \frac{b}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{b}{y},$$

so that by Lemma 3.4 we have $K(x,y)^{\langle\tau_1\rangle} = K(t_1,t_2)$ where

$$t_1 := \frac{xy+b}{x+y}, \quad t_2 := \frac{xy-b}{x-y}.$$

The actions of $\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}$ and α on $K(x,y)^{\langle\tau_1\rangle} = K(t_1,t_2)$ are given by

$$\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}} : t_1 \mapsto \frac{b}{t_1},\ t_2 \mapsto -\frac{b}{t_2}, \quad \alpha : t_1 \mapsto \varepsilon_2 t_1,\ t_2 \mapsto -\varepsilon_2 t_2.$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.3, we get $K(x,y)^{G_{4,1,1}} = K(t_1,t_2)^{\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}\rangle} = K(u_1,u_2)$ where

$$u_1 := \frac{t_2(t_1^2-b)}{t_1^2t_2^2+b^2}, \quad u_2 := \frac{t_2(t_1^2+b)}{t_1^2t_2^2+b^2}.$$

Because the action of α on $K(u_1,u_2)$ is given by

$$\alpha : u_1 \mapsto -\varepsilon_2 u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto \varepsilon_2 u_2,$$

we get $K(x,y)^{G_{4,5,1}} = K(u_1,u_2)^{\langle\alpha\rangle} = K(u_1^2,u_2)$ (resp. $K(u_1,u_2^2)$) when $\varepsilon_2 = 1$ (resp. $\varepsilon_2 = -1$).

6.2. **The case (4A$^-$): $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}} \in G_{4,j,k}$.** We treat the cases of

$$G_{4,6,1} = \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, \lambda_1\rangle, \quad G_{4,6,2} = \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, -\lambda_1\rangle, \quad G_{4,7,1} = \langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, \lambda_1, -I_3\rangle$$

which have a normal subgroup $\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}\rangle$ of order 4. We change the generators of the groups by

$$G_{4,6,1} = \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, \alpha\rangle, \quad G_{4,6,2} = \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, -\beta\rangle, \quad G_{4,7,1} = \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, \alpha, -\beta\rangle$$

where α and $-\beta$ are given as in the previous section which satisfy

$$\alpha = (-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}})\lambda_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad -\beta = (-\lambda_1)(-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The monomial actions of $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}$, α and $-\beta$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}} : x \mapsto \frac{a}{y},\ y \mapsto bx,\ z \mapsto \frac{c}{z},$$

$$\alpha : x \mapsto dy,\ y \mapsto ex,\ z \mapsto fz, \qquad -\beta : x \mapsto gy,\ y \mapsto hx,\ z \mapsto \frac{i}{z}.$$

We may assume that $b = 1$ by replacing bx by x and the other coefficients. By the equality $\alpha^2 = (-\beta)^2 = I_3$, we get $de = f^2 = gh = 1$. It also follows from $[-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, \alpha] = [-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, -\beta] = \sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}^2$ and $[\alpha, -\beta] = I_3$ that the actions of $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}$, α and $-\beta$ may be reduced to the following case:

$$-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}} : x \mapsto \frac{a}{y},\ y \mapsto x,\ z \mapsto \frac{c}{z},$$

$$\alpha : x \mapsto \varepsilon_1 y,\ y \mapsto \varepsilon_1 x,\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_2 z, \qquad -\beta : x \mapsto \varepsilon_3 y,\ y \mapsto \varepsilon_3 x,\ z \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_4 c}{z}$$

where $a, c \in K^\times$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 = \pm 1$.

Note that $(-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}})^2 = \tau_1$ where τ_1 is given as in the previous section. The action of τ_1 on $K(x,y,z)$ is given by

$$\tau_1 : x \mapsto \frac{a}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{a}{y},\ z \mapsto z.$$

Although the groups $G_{4,6,1}$, $G_{4,6,2}$ and $G_{4,7,1}$ have a normal subgroup $\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}\rangle$, we do not consider $K(x,y,z)^{\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}\rangle}$ but $K(x,y,z)^{\langle (-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}})^2\rangle} = K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1\rangle}$ because $\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}\rangle = G_{4,2,1}$ is in $\mathcal{N}$. By Lemma 3.4, we have

$$K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1\rangle} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$$

where

$$u_1 := \frac{xy+a}{x+y}, \quad u_2 := \frac{xy-a}{x-y}, \quad u_3 := z.$$

The actions of $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}$, α and $-\beta$ on $K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ are

$$-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}} : u_1 \mapsto \frac{a}{u_1},\ u_2 \mapsto -\frac{a}{u_2},\ u_3 \mapsto \frac{c}{u_3},$$

$$\alpha : u_1 \mapsto \varepsilon_1 u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto -\varepsilon_1 u_2,\ u_3 \mapsto \varepsilon_2 u_3, \quad -\beta : u_1 \mapsto \varepsilon_3 u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto -\varepsilon_3 u_2,\ u_3 \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_4 c}{u_3}.$$

For $G_{4,6,1} = \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, \alpha\rangle$ and $G_{4,7,1} = \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, \alpha, -\beta\rangle$, we consider the fixed field

$$K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1,\alpha\rangle} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle \alpha\rangle} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$$

where v_1, v_2, v_3 are given by the following table:

$(\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2)$	v_1	v_2	v_3
$(1,1)$	u_2^2/a	u_1	u_3
$(-1,1)$	u_1^2/a	u_2	u_3
$(1,-1)$	cu_2/u_3	u_1	u_2u_3
$(-1,-1)$	cu_1/u_3	u_2	u_1u_3

Thus we have $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,6,1}} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}\rangle}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,7,1}} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, -\beta\rangle}$. The actions of $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}$ and of $-\beta$ on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1,\alpha\rangle} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ are given as follows:

$(\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2)$	$-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}(v_1)$	$-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}(v_2)$	$-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}(v_3)$	$-\beta(v_1)$	$-\beta(v_2)$	$-\beta(v_3)$
$(1,1)$	$1/v_1$	a/v_2	c/v_3	v_1	$\varepsilon_3 v_2$	$\varepsilon_4 c/v_3$
$(-1,1)$	$1/v_1$	$-a/v_2$	c/v_3	v_1	$-\varepsilon_3 v_2$	$\varepsilon_4 c/v_3$
$(1,-1)$	$-ac/v_1$	a/v_2	$-ac/v_3$	$-\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 v_3$	$\varepsilon_3 v_2$	$-\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 v_1$
$(-1,-1)$	ac/v_1	$-a/v_2$	ac/v_3	$\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 v_3$	$-\varepsilon_3 v_2$	$\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 v_1$

When $\varepsilon_2 = 1$, we put

$$v_1' := \frac{v_1+1}{v_1-1}$$

then $K(v_1,v_2,v_3) = K(v_1',v_2,v_3)$ and $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}(v_1') = -v_1'$ and $-\beta(v_1') = v_1'$. Hence, by Theorem 3.1, the rationality problem of $G_{4,6,1}$ and of $G_{4,7,1}$ may be reduced to the 2-dimensional cases $K(v_2,v_3)^{G_{4,6,1}}$ and $K(v_2,v_3)^{G_{4,7,1}}$ under monomial actions respectively.

When $\varepsilon_2 = -1$, the action of $\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}\rangle$ (resp. $\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, -\beta\rangle$) on $K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ is given as an affirmative case of $G_{1,2,1} = \langle -I_3\rangle$ (resp. a case of $G_{2,3,2} = \langle -\alpha, -I_3\rangle = \langle \alpha, -I_3\rangle$).

We conclude that, in both cases $\varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$, the fixed fields $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,6,1}} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}\rangle}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,7,1}} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}}, -\beta\rangle}$ are rational over K. We may also get explicit transcendental bases of the fixed fields by using Lemma 3.4.

Remark 6.1. (i) When $\varepsilon_2 = -1$, the action of $G_{4,6,1}$ on $K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ is a special case of monomial actions of $G_{1,2,1} \in \mathcal{N}$, which is an affirmative case of Saltman's result (cf. Theorem 1.3).
(ii) When $\varepsilon_2 = 1$, the action of $G_{4,7,1}$ on $K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ is the special case of $G_{2,3,1} \in \mathcal{N}$, which is an affirmative case as seen in [Yam, Theorem 6] (see also Theorem 3.15), although in the case of $\varepsilon_2 = -1$, the action of $G_{4,7,1}$ on $K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ is equivalent to a monomial action of $G_{2,3,2} = \langle -\alpha, -I_3\rangle$.

For $G_{4,6,2}=\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}},-\beta\rangle$, we first note that

$$G_{4,6,2}=\langle -\beta,-\lambda_1\rangle$$

because $(-\sigma_{4\mathrm{A}})(-\beta)=(-\lambda_1)$. The actions of $-\beta$ and of $-\lambda_1$ on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_1\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ are given by

$$-\beta: u_1\ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_3u_1,\ u_2\ \mapsto\ -\varepsilon_3u_2,\ u_3\ \mapsto\ \frac{\varepsilon_4c}{u_3},\quad -\lambda_1: u_1\ \mapsto\ \frac{\varepsilon_3a}{u_1},\ u_2\ \mapsto\ \frac{\varepsilon_3a}{u_2},\ u_3\ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_4u_3.$$

Hence the rationality problem of $G_{4,6,2}$ may be reduced to the problem of $\langle -\tau_1,\tau_1\rangle\in\mathcal{N}$ which is conjugate to $G_{2,3,1}=\langle\lambda_1,-I_3\rangle$ in $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$.

However this is an affirmative case of $G_{2,3,1}$. We shall give the transcendental basis over K as follows. When $\varepsilon_4=1$, by Theorem 3.15, $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,6,2}}$ is rational over K and we get an explicit transcendental basis over K.

When $\varepsilon_4=-1$, we also get an explicit transcendental basis of $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,6,2}}$ over K as follows: First we may assume that $\varepsilon_3=1$ by interchanging u_1, u_2 and a, $-a$. Put

$$u_1':=u_3,\quad u_2':=\frac{u_1+u_2}{u_1-u_2},\quad u_3':=u_1,\quad \Big(u_2=\frac{(u_2'-1)u_3'}{u_2'+1}\Big).$$

Then $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_1\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)=K(u_1',u_2',u_3')$ and the actions of $-\lambda_1$ and of $-\beta$ on $K(u_1',u_2',u_3')$ are given by

$$-\lambda_1: u_1'\ \mapsto\ -u_1',\ u_2'\ \mapsto\ -u_2',\ u_3'\ \mapsto\ \frac{a}{u_3'},\qquad -\beta: u_1'\ \mapsto\ -\frac{c}{u_1'},\ u_2'\ \mapsto\ \frac{1}{u_2'},\ u_3'\ \mapsto\ u_3'.$$

Therefore we can also apply Theorem 3.15 to the case $\varepsilon_4=-1$.

7. The case (4B): $\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\in G_{4,j,k}$

We treat the following eight groups $G=G_{4,j,2}$ $(1\le j\le 5,\ j=7)$ and $G=G_{4,6,k}$ $(3\le k\le 4)$ of the 4th crystal system in dimension 3 which contain $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$ or $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&G_{4,1,2}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_4, && \mathcal{N}\ni G_{4,2,2}=\langle-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_4,\\
&G_{4,3,2}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},-I_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_4\times\mathcal{C}_2, &&\\
&G_{4,4,2}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},\lambda_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_4, && G_{4,5,2}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},-\lambda_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_4,\\
&G_{4,6,3}=\langle-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},-\lambda_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_4, && G_{4,6,4}=\langle-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},\lambda_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_4,\\
&G_{4,7,2}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},\lambda_3,-I_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_4\times\mathcal{C}_2. &&
\end{aligned}$$

7.1. **The case (4B$^+$):** $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\in G_{4,j,k}$**.** We treat the cases of

$$\begin{aligned}
&G_{4,1,2}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_4, && G_{4,3,2}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},-I_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{C}_4\times\mathcal{C}_2, && G_{4,4,2}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},\lambda_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_4,\\
&G_{4,5,2}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},-\lambda_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_4, && G_{4,7,2}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},\lambda_3,-I_3\rangle\cong\mathcal{D}_4\times\mathcal{C}_2 &&
\end{aligned}$$

which have a normal subgroup $\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle$. The actions of $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$, $-I_3$, λ_3 and $-\lambda_3$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}: x\ \mapsto\ \frac{a}{z},\ y\ \mapsto\ bxyz,\ z\ \mapsto\ \frac{c}{y}, && -I_3: x\ \mapsto\ \frac{d}{x},\ y\ \mapsto\ \frac{e}{y},\ z\ \mapsto\ \frac{f}{z},\\
&\lambda_3: x\ \mapsto\ gz,\ y\ \mapsto\ \frac{h}{xyz},\ z\ \mapsto\ ix, && -\lambda_3: x\ \mapsto\ \frac{j}{z},\ y\ \mapsto\ kxyz,\ z\ \mapsto\ \frac{l}{x}.
\end{aligned}$$

By replacing ay/c and z/a by y and z respectively and the other coefficients, the action of $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$ on $K(x,y,z)$ is given by $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}: x\ \mapsto\ 1/z,\ y\ \mapsto\ abxyz,\ z\ \mapsto\ 1/y$. Also by replacing ab by b, we may assume that the action of $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$ on $K(x,y,z)$ is given by

$$\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} : x \mapsto \frac{1}{z},\ y \mapsto bxyz,\ z \mapsto \frac{1}{y},$$

i.e. $a=c=1$. By the equalities $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}^4 = (\pm\lambda_3)^2 = I_3$, we have $b^2 = gi = j^2k^2 = 1$ and $j = l$.

By the relations of the generators of the G's as in (5), the problem reduces to the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} &: x \mapsto \frac{1}{z},\ y \mapsto \varepsilon_1 xyz,\ z \mapsto \frac{1}{y}, & -I_3 &: x \mapsto \frac{1}{fx},\ y \mapsto \frac{1}{fy},\ z \mapsto \frac{f}{z},\\ \lambda_3 &: x \mapsto gz,\ y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1 g}{xyz},\ z \mapsto \frac{x}{g}, & -\lambda_3 &: x \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_2}{z},\ y \mapsto \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 xyz,\ z \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_2}{x} \end{aligned}$$

with $f, g \in K^\times$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$. Note that $fg = \varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$ for $G_{4,7,2}$. Put

$$X := \frac{1}{yz}, \quad Y := xz, \quad Z := \frac{1}{x}.$$

Then $K(x,y,z) = K(X,Y,Z)$ and the actions of $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$, $-I_3$, λ_3 and $-\lambda_3$ on $K(X,Y,Z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} &: X \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1}{Y},\ Y \mapsto X,\ Z \mapsto YZ, & -I_3 &: X \mapsto \frac{1}{X},\ Y \mapsto \frac{1}{Y},\ Z \mapsto \frac{f}{Z},\\ \lambda_3 &: X \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1}{X},\ Y \mapsto Y,\ Z \mapsto \frac{1}{gYZ}, & -\lambda_3 &: X \mapsto \varepsilon_1 X,\ Y \mapsto \frac{1}{Y},\ Z \mapsto \varepsilon_2 YZ. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,1,2}}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,5,2}}$ are rational over K. Indeed we get explicit transcendental bases of $K(X,Y,Z)^{G_{4,1,2}}$ and of $K(X,Y,Z)^{G_{4,5,2}}$ over K respectively as follows: First we take a $G_{4,1,2}$-invariant Θ as

$$\Theta := \mathrm{Tr}_{\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle}(\varepsilon_1 XZ) = (\varepsilon_1 XZ + Z + YZ + XYZ) = Z(XY + \varepsilon_1 X + Y + 1)$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}_{\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle}$ is the trace map under the action of $\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle$. Then we have $K(X,Y,Z) = K(X,Y,\Theta)$ and the action of $\tau_3 = \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}^2$ on $K(X,Y,\Theta)$ is given by

$$\tau_3 = \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}^2 \ :\ X \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1}{X},\ Y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1}{Y},\ \Theta \mapsto \Theta.$$

By using Lemma 3.4, we get $K(X,Y,Z)^{\langle\tau_3\rangle} = K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ where

$$t_1 := \frac{XY+1}{X+\varepsilon_1 Y}, \quad t_2 := \frac{XY-1}{X-\varepsilon_1 Y}, \quad t_3 := \Theta.$$

Then the actions of $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$, $-I_3$, λ_3 and $-\lambda_3$ on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3\rangle} = K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} &: t_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{t_1},\ t_2 \mapsto \frac{-1}{t_2},\ t_3 \mapsto t_3,\\ -I_3 &: t_1 \mapsto \varepsilon_1 t_1,\ t_2 \mapsto \varepsilon_1 t_2,\ t_3 \mapsto -\varepsilon_1\frac{4f(t_1+1)(t_1+\varepsilon_1)(t_2^2-\varepsilon_1)}{(t_1^2-t_2^2)t_3},\\ \lambda_3 &: t_1 \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1}{t_1},\ t_2 \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1}{t_2},\ t_3 \mapsto -\varepsilon_1\frac{4(t_1+1)(t_1+\varepsilon_1)(t_2^2-\varepsilon_1)}{g(t_1^2-t_2^2)t_3},\\ -\lambda_3 &: t_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{t_1},\ t_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{t_2},\ t_3 \mapsto \varepsilon_2 t_3. \end{aligned}$$

Now we put $t_1' := t_1/t_2$ then the action of $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$ on $K(t_1,t_2,t_3) = K(t_1',t_2,t_3)$ is given by

$$\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} : t_1' \mapsto \frac{-1}{t_1'},\ t_2 \mapsto \frac{-1}{t_2},\ t_3 \mapsto t_3.$$

Applying Lemma 3.4 again, we have $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle}=K(t_1',t_2,t_3)^{\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ where

$$u_1:=\frac{t_1't_2-1}{t_1'+t_2}=\frac{t_1-1}{(t_1/t_2)+t_2},\quad u_2:=\frac{t_1't_2+1}{t_1'-t_2}=\frac{t_1+1}{(t_1/t_2)-t_2},\quad u_3:=t_3.$$

Thus the set $\{u_1,u_2,u_3\}$ becomes a transcendental basis of $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,1,2}}$ over K and hence $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,1,2}}=K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle}$ is rational over K.

The actions of $-I_3$, λ_3 and $-\lambda_3$ on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
-I_3&:\begin{cases} u_1\mapsto u_1,\ u_2\mapsto u_2,\ u_3\mapsto -\dfrac{16fu_2^2(u_1^2+1)(u_1u_2-1)}{(u_1+u_2)^2(u_1u_2+1)u_3}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=1,\\ u_1\mapsto -u_2,\ u_2\mapsto -u_1,\ u_3\mapsto \dfrac{16fu_1u_2(u_1^2+1)(u_2^2+1)}{(u_1+u_2)^2(u_1u_2+1)u_3}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=-1,\end{cases}\\
\lambda_3&:\begin{cases} u_1\mapsto -u_1,\ u_2\mapsto -u_2,\ u_3\mapsto -\dfrac{16u_2^2(u_1^2+1)(u_1u_2-1)}{g(u_1+u_2)^2(u_1u_2+1)u_3}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=1,\\ u_1\mapsto u_2,\ u_2\mapsto u_1,\ u_3\mapsto \dfrac{16u_1u_2(u_1^2+1)(u_2^2+1)}{g(u_1+u_2)^2(u_1u_2+1)u_3}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=-1,\end{cases}\\
-\lambda_3&:\quad u_1\mapsto -u_1,\ u_2\mapsto -u_2,\ u_3\mapsto \varepsilon_2u_3
\end{aligned}$$

where $fg=\varepsilon_2=\pm1$. Hence $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,5,2}}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle-\lambda_3\rangle}$ is rational over K and we get an explicit transcendental basis of $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,5,2}}$ over K.

Next we consider the remaining three cases $G_{4,3,2}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},-I_3\rangle$, $G_{4,4,2}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},\lambda_3\rangle$ and $G_{4,7,2}=\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},\lambda_3,-\lambda_3\rangle$. We put

$$\begin{cases} v_1:=u_1u_2,\quad v_2:=u_1,\quad v_3:=\dfrac{(u_1+u_2)(u_1u_2+1)u_3}{4u_2}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=1,\\ v_1:=u_1+u_2,\quad v_2:=u_1-u_2,\quad v_3:=\dfrac{8u_1(u_1^2+1)}{(u_1+u_2)u_3}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=-1.\end{cases}$$

Then $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ and the actions of $-I_3$, λ_3 and $-\lambda_3$ on $K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
-I_3&:\begin{cases} v_1\mapsto v_1,\ v_2\mapsto v_2,\ v_3\mapsto -\dfrac{f(v_1^2-1)(v_2^2+1)}{v_3}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=1,\\ v_1\mapsto -v_1,\ v_2\mapsto v_2,\ v_3\mapsto \dfrac{v_1^2-v_2^2+4}{fv_3}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=-1,\end{cases}\\
\lambda_3&:\begin{cases} v_1\mapsto v_1,\ v_2\mapsto -v_2,\ v_3\mapsto -\dfrac{(v_1^2-1)(v_2^2+1)}{gv_3}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=1,\\ v_1\mapsto v_1,\ v_2\mapsto -v_2,\ v_3\mapsto \dfrac{g(v_1^2-v_2^2+4)}{v_3}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=-1,\end{cases}\\
-\lambda_3&:\quad v_1\mapsto \varepsilon_1v_1,\ v_2\mapsto -v_2,\ v_3\mapsto \varepsilon_2v_3
\end{aligned}$$

where $fg=\varepsilon_2=\pm1$. It follows from Theorem 3.2 (I) that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,3,2}}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle-I_3\rangle}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,4,2}}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle\lambda_3\rangle}$ are rational over K.

Indeed we have $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,4,2}}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle\lambda_3\rangle}=K(w_1,w_2,w_3)$ where

$$\begin{cases} w_1:=v_1,\ w_2:=v_3-\dfrac{(v_1^2-1)(v_2^2+1)}{gv_3},\ w_3:=\Big(v_3+\dfrac{(v_1^2-1)(v_2^2+1)}{gv_3}\Big)\Big/v_2, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=1,\\ w_1:=v_1,\ w_2:=v_3+\dfrac{g(v_1^2-v_2^2+4)}{v_3},\ w_3:=\Big(v_3-\dfrac{g(v_1^2-v_2^2+4)}{v_3}\Big)\Big/v_2, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=-1.\end{cases}$$

The action of $-\lambda_3$ on $K(w_1,w_2,w_3)$ is given by

$$-\lambda_3: w_1\mapsto \varepsilon_1w_1,\ w_2\mapsto \varepsilon_2w_2,\ w_3\mapsto -\varepsilon_2w_3.$$

Hence $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,7,2}}=K(w_1,w_2,w_3)^{\langle -\lambda_3\rangle}$ is rational over K.

7.2. **The case (4B$^-$) (i): $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\in G_{4,6,3}$.** We treat the case of

$$G_{4,6,3}=\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},-\lambda_3\rangle.$$

The monomial actions of $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$ and of $-\lambda_3$ are given by

$$-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}: x\ \mapsto az,\ y\ \mapsto\ \frac{b}{xyz},\ z\ \mapsto\ cy,\qquad -\lambda_3: x\ \mapsto\ \frac{d}{z},\ y\ \mapsto\ exyz,\ z\ \mapsto\ \frac{f}{x}.$$

By replacing (acy,az) by (y,z), we may assume that $a=c=1$. From $(-\lambda_3)^2=I_3$, we see $d=f$ and $d^2e^2=1$. By the equality $[-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},-\lambda]=(-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}})^2$, the actions of $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$ and of $-\lambda_3$ on $K(x,y,z)$ may be reduced to the following cases:

$$-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}: x\ \mapsto z,\ y\ \mapsto\ \frac{b}{xyz},\ z\ \mapsto\ y,\qquad -\lambda_3: x\ \mapsto\ \frac{d}{z},\ y\ \mapsto\ \frac{xyz}{\varepsilon_1 d},\ z\ \mapsto\ \frac{d}{x}$$

where $b=\varepsilon_1 d^2\in K^\times$ and $\varepsilon_1=\pm 1$. The action of $\tau_3=(-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}})^2$ on $K(x,y,z)$ is given by

$$\tau_3: x\ \mapsto y,\ y\ \mapsto\ x,\ z\ \mapsto\ \frac{b}{xyz}.$$

By Lemma 3.9, we get $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3\rangle}=K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ where

$$t_1:=\frac{xy}{d(x+y)},\quad t_2:=\frac{xyz+\frac{b}{z}}{d(x+y)},\quad t_3:=\frac{xyz-\frac{b}{z}}{d(x-y)}.$$

The actions of $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$ and of $-\lambda_3$ on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3\rangle}=K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ are given by

$$-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}: t_1\ \mapsto -\frac{\varepsilon_1(t_2^2-t_3^2)}{4dt_1t_2(t_3^2-\varepsilon_1)},\ t_2\ \mapsto\ \frac{\varepsilon_1}{t_2},\ t_3\ \mapsto\ -\frac{\varepsilon_1}{t_3},\quad -\lambda_3: t_1\ \mapsto\ \frac{t_1}{t_2},\ t_2\ \mapsto\ \frac{1}{t_2},\ t_3\ \mapsto\ \frac{1}{t_3}$$

where $\varepsilon_1=\pm 1$. We put

$$u_1:=\frac{t_2+t_3}{2t_1(t_3-1)},\quad u_2:=\frac{t_2+1}{t_2-1},\quad u_3:=\frac{t_3+1}{t_3-1}.$$

Then $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3\rangle}=K(t_1,t_2,t_3)=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ and the actions of $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$ and of $-\lambda_3$ on $K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ are given by

$$-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}:\begin{cases} u_1\ \mapsto -\dfrac{d}{u_1},\ u_2\ \mapsto\ -u_2,\ u_3\ \mapsto\ -\dfrac{1}{u_3}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=1,\\ u_1\ \mapsto -\dfrac{d(u_3^2+1)}{2u_1},\ u_2\ \mapsto\ -\dfrac{1}{u_2},\ u_3\ \mapsto\ -u_3, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=-1,\end{cases}$$
$$-\lambda_3: u_1\ \mapsto\ -u_1,\ u_2\ \mapsto\ -u_2,\ u_3\ \mapsto\ -u_3.$$

Because $G_{4,2,2}=\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle\in\mathcal{N}$, we consider the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3,-\lambda_3\rangle}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ where

$$v_1:=u_1u_3,\quad v_2:=u_2u_3,\quad v_3:=u_3^2.$$

Then the action of $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$ on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3,-\lambda_3\rangle}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ is given by

$$-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}:\begin{cases} v_1\ \mapsto \dfrac{d}{v_1},\ v_2\ \mapsto\ \dfrac{v_2}{v_3},\ v_3\ \mapsto\ \dfrac{1}{v_3}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=1,\\ v_1\ \mapsto \dfrac{dv_3(v_3+1)}{2v_1},\ v_2\ \mapsto\ \dfrac{v_3}{v_2},\ v_3\ \mapsto\ v_3, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1=-1.\end{cases}$$

By Theorem 3.1, the rationality problem reduces to the case of 2-dimensional monomial actions and hence $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,6,3}}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle}$ is rational over K. Indeed we get an explicit transcendental basis of $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,6,3}}$ over K by using Theorem 3.3.

7.3. The case ($4\mathrm{B}^-$) (ii): $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} \in G_{4,6,4}$.

We treat the cases of

$$G_{4,4,2} = \langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}, \lambda_3 \rangle, \quad G_{4,6,4} = \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}, \lambda_3 \rangle, \quad G_{4,7,2} = \langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}, \lambda_3, -I_3 \rangle$$

which have a normal subgroup $G_{3,1,4} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle$ where $\tau_3 = (\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}})^2$.

Although we treated the groups $G_{4,4,2}$ and $G_{4,7,2}$ in Subsection 7.1, we will give an another proof for these two groups in order to describe the difference between three groups $G_{4,4,2}$, $G_{4,6,4}$ and $G_{4,7,2}$.

The actions of $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$, $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$, λ_3 and $-I_3$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} &: x \mapsto \frac{a}{z},\ y \mapsto bxyz,\ z \mapsto \frac{c}{y}, & -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} &: x \mapsto a'z,\ y \mapsto \frac{b'}{xyz},\ z \mapsto c'y,\\ \lambda_3 &: x \mapsto dz,\ y \mapsto \frac{e}{xyz},\ z \mapsto fx, & -I_3 &: x \mapsto \frac{g}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{h}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{i}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

For $G_{4,4,2}$ and $G_{4,7,2}$, by replacing $(ay/c, dz)$ by (y,z) and the other coefficients, we may assume that $a=c$ and $d=f=1$ without loss of generality. For $G_{4,6,4}$, by replacing $(a'c'y, dz)$ by (y,z) and the other coefficients, we may assume that $a'c'=d=f=1$. By $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}^4 = I_3$, we see $a^2b^2=1$. From the relations of the generators of the G's as in (5), the problem may be reduced to the following case:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} &: x \mapsto \frac{a}{z},\ y \mapsto \frac{xyz}{\varepsilon_1 a},\ z \mapsto \frac{a}{y}, & -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} &: x \mapsto \varepsilon_2 z,\ y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_2 e}{xyz},\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_2 y,\\ \lambda_3 &: x \mapsto z,\ y \mapsto \frac{e}{xyz},\ z \mapsto x, & -I_3 &: x \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_2 a}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_2 a}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_2 a}{z} \end{aligned}$$

where $a, e \in K^\times$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$ with $e = \varepsilon_1 a^2$ for $G_{4,4,2}$ and $G_{4,7,2}$. Note that the action of $\tau_3 = (\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}})^2$ on $K(x,y,z)$ is given by

$$\tau_3 = (\pm\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}})^2 : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto x,\ z \mapsto \frac{e}{xyz}$$

where $e = \varepsilon_1 a^2 \in K^\times$ for $G_{4,4,2}$ and $G_{4,7,2}$. Now we put

$$w := \frac{e}{xyz} \left(= \frac{\varepsilon_1 a^2}{xyz} \text{ for } G_{4,4,2} \text{ and } G_{4,7,2} \right)$$

as in Lemma 3.8 (cf. also Subsection 5.6). Then $K(x,y,z) = K(x,y,z,w)$ and the actions of $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$, $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$, τ_3, λ_3 and $-I_3$ on $K(x,y,z,w)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} &: x \mapsto \frac{a}{z},\ y \mapsto \frac{a}{w},\ z \mapsto \frac{a}{y},\ w \mapsto \frac{a}{x},\\ -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} &: x \mapsto \varepsilon_2 z,\ y \mapsto \varepsilon_2 w,\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_2 y,\ w \mapsto \varepsilon_2 x,\\ \lambda_3 &: x \mapsto z,\ y \mapsto w,\ z \mapsto x,\ w \mapsto y,\\ \tau_3 &: x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto x,\ z \mapsto w,\ w \mapsto z,\\ -I_3 &: x \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_2 a}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_2 a}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_2 a}{z},\ w \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_2 a}{w}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.8, we have $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle} = K(x,y,z,w)^{\langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle} = K(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ where

$$v_1 := \frac{x+y-z-w}{xy-zw}, \quad v_2 := \frac{x-y-z+w}{xw-yz}, \quad v_3 := \frac{x-y+z-w}{xz-yw}$$

with $w = e/(xyz)$. Note that $G_{3,1,4} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle$ as we treated in Subsection 5.6. The actions of $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$, $-\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$ and $-I_3$ on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_3,\lambda_3\rangle} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} : v_1 &\mapsto \frac{-v_1+v_2+v_3}{av_2v_3},\ v_2 \mapsto \frac{v_1+v_2-v_3}{av_1v_2},\ v_3 \mapsto \frac{v_1-v_2+v_3}{av_1v_3}, \\ -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} : v_1 &\mapsto \varepsilon_2 v_1,\ v_2 \mapsto \varepsilon_2 v_3,\ v_3 \mapsto \varepsilon_2 v_2, \\ -I_3 : v_1 &\mapsto \varepsilon_2 \frac{-v_1+v_2+v_3}{av_2v_3},\ v_2 \mapsto \varepsilon_2 \frac{v_1-v_2+v_3}{av_1v_3},\ v_3 \mapsto \varepsilon_2 \frac{v_1+v_2-v_3}{av_1v_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,6,4}} = K(x,y,z)^{\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},\lambda_3\rangle} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle}$ is rational over K. We also see that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,4,2}} = K(x,y,z)^{\langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},\lambda_3\rangle}$ is rational over K by the following lemma:

Lemma 7.1. *We have* $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,4,2}} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle} = K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ *where*

$$t_1 := \frac{v_2-v_3}{v_1}, \qquad t_2 := \frac{av_1v_3(v_1+v_2-v_3-av_1v_2^2)}{v_1^2(1-a^2v_2^2v_3^2)-(v_2-v_3)^2}, \qquad t_3 := \frac{av_1v_2(v_1-v_2+v_3-av_1v_3^2)}{v_1^2(1-a^2v_2^2v_3^2)-(v_2-v_3)^2}.$$

Proof. We see $K(v_1,v_2,v_3) = K(t_1,v_2,v_3)$ and the action of $\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}$ on $K(t_1,v_2,v_3)$ is given by

$$\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}} : t_1 \mapsto t_1,\ v_2 \mapsto \frac{1+t_1}{av_2},\ v_3 \mapsto \frac{1-t_1}{av_3}.$$

By Theorem 3.3, we have

$$K(t_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle} = K\Big(t_1, \frac{v_3(v_2^2-A)}{v_2^2v_3^2-AB}, \frac{v_2(v_3^2-B)}{v_2^2v_3^2-AB}\Big) \quad \text{with} \quad (A,B) = \Big(\frac{1+t_1}{a}, \frac{1-t_1}{a}\Big).$$

Hence the assertion follows by direct calculation. □

For $G_{4,7,2} = \langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}, \lambda_3, -I_3\rangle$, the action of $-I_3$ on $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,4,2}} = K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ is given by

$$-I_3 : t_1 \mapsto -t_1,\ t_2 \mapsto \varepsilon_2 t_3,\ t_3 \mapsto \varepsilon_2 t_2.$$

Therefore we conclude that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,7,2}} = K(t_1,t_2,t_3)^{\langle -I_3\rangle}$ is rational over K. We can also check the rationality of $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,7,2}}$ by Lemma 5.1 in Subsection 5.6 because $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,7,2}} = (K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,3,4}})^{\langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle} = (K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_3,\lambda_3,-I_3\rangle})^{\langle \sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle}$.

Remark 7.2. For the case $(4B^-)$, i.e. two groups $G_{4,6,3} = \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}, -\lambda_3\rangle$ and $G_{4,6,4} = \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}, \lambda_3\rangle$, to get suitable generators of $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_3\rangle}$ over K is essential, because $G_{4,2,2} = \langle -\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}}\rangle \in \mathcal{N}$. Although we can show the rationality of $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,6,4}}$ by using $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_3\rangle} = K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ via Lemma 3.9, we choose a method as in this subsection in order to compare the result with some cases $G \supset G_{3,1,4} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3\rangle$ (cf. Subsection 5.6 and Section 14).

8. THE CASE (5A): $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}} \in G_{5,j,k}$

In this section, we consider the following eight groups $G = G_{5,j,k}$, $2 \le k \le 3$, which have a normal subgroup $\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} &G_{5,1,2} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_3, && G_{5,2,2} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, -I_3\rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_6, \\ &G_{5,3,2} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, -\alpha\rangle \cong \mathcal{S}_3, && G_{5,3,3} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, -\beta\rangle \cong \mathcal{S}_3, \\ &G_{5,4,2} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, \beta\rangle \cong \mathcal{S}_3, && G_{5,4,3} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, \alpha\rangle \cong \mathcal{S}_3, \\ &G_{5,5,2} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, \alpha, -I_3\rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_6, && G_{5,5,3} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, \beta, -I_3\rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_6. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we changed the generator $-\alpha$ (resp. $-\beta$) of $G_{5,5,2}$ (resp. $G_{5,5,3}$) by $\alpha = (-\alpha)(-I_3)$ (resp. $\beta = (-\beta)(-I_3)$). The actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}$, $-I_3$, α, $-\alpha$, β and $-\beta$ on $K(x, y, z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}} : x \mapsto ay,\ y \mapsto \frac{b}{xy},\ z \mapsto cz, && -I_3 : x \mapsto \frac{d}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{e}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{f}{z},\\ &\alpha : x \mapsto gy,\ y \mapsto hx,\ z \mapsto iz, && -\alpha : x \mapsto \frac{j}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{k}{x},\ z \mapsto \frac{l}{z},\\ &\beta : x \mapsto \frac{m}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{n}{x},\ z \mapsto oz, && -\beta : x \mapsto py,\ y \mapsto qx,\ z \mapsto \frac{r}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

We may assume that $a = 1$ by replacing ay by y and the other coefficients. By the equalities $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^3 = (-I_3)^2 = \alpha^2 = \beta^2 = I_3$, we have $c^3 = gh = i^2 = o^2 = pq = 1$, $m = n$, $j = k$.

By the relations of the generators of the G's as in (6), we have the following lemma:

Lemma 8.1. (i) *If $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, -I_3 \in G$ then $c = 1$, $b^2 = d^3$, $d = e \in K^{\times 2}$;*
(ii) *If $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, \alpha \in G$ then $c = 1$, $g = h = 1$;*
(iii) *If $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, -\alpha \in G$ then $b^2 = j^3$, hence $j \in K^{\times 2}$;*
(iv) *If $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, \beta \in G$ then $c = 1$, $b^2 = m^3$, hence $m \in K^{\times 2}$;*
(v) *If $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, -\beta \in G$ then $p = q = 1$.*

Thus we may reduce the monomial actions to the following form:

$$(9)\quad \begin{aligned} &\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,c)} := \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}} : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto \frac{b}{xy},\ z \mapsto cz, && -I_3 : x \mapsto \frac{1}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{1}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{f}{z},\\ &\alpha : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto x,\ z \mapsto \varepsilon z, && -\alpha : x \mapsto \frac{1}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{1}{x},\ z \mapsto \frac{l}{z},\\ &\beta : x \mapsto \frac{1}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{1}{x},\ z \mapsto \varepsilon z, && -\beta : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto x,\ z \mapsto \frac{r}{z} \end{aligned}$$

where $b, c, f, l, r \in K^\times$, $c^3 = 1$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. We have $c = 1$ except for the groups $G_{5,1,2}$, $G_{5,3,2}$ and $G_{5,3,3}$, and $b = 1$ except for the groups $G_{5,1,2}$, $G_{5,3,3}$ and $G_{5,4,3}$. For $-\alpha \in G_{5,5,2}$ and $-\beta \in G_{5,5,3}$, we have $l = \varepsilon f$ and $r = \varepsilon f$ since $-\alpha = \alpha(-I_3)$ and $-\beta = \beta(-I_3)$, respectively.

8.1. The cases of $G_{5,1,2}$, $G_{5,4,2}$, $G_{5,4,3}$.

We treat the cases of

$$G_{5,1,2} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,c)} \rangle, \quad G_{5,4,2} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,1)}, \beta \rangle, \quad G_{5,4,3} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,1)}, \alpha \rangle$$

where $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,c)}$ is given as in (9).

By applying Theorem 3.1 to $L = K(x, y)$ and $M = K$, the rationality problems may be reduced to the 2-dimensional case of $K(x, y)^{G_{5,1,2}}$, $K(x, y)^{G_{5,4,2}}$ and $K(x, y)^{G_{5,4,3}}$ under monomial actions. Hence $K(x, y, z)^{G_{5,1,2}}$, $K(x, y, z)^{G_{5,4,2}}$ and $K(x, y, z)^{G_{5,4,3}}$ are rational over K.

8.2. The cases of $G_{5,2,2}$, $G_{5,5,2}$, $G_{5,5,3}$.

We treat the cases of

$$G_{5,2,2} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,1)}, -I_3 \rangle, \quad G_{5,5,2} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,1)}, \alpha, -I_3 \rangle, \quad G_{5,5,3} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,1)}, \beta, -I_3 \rangle.$$

In these cases, the groups G have a non-trivial center which includes $\langle -I_3 \rangle$ respectively. We first consider the fixed field $K(x, y, z)^{G_{5,2,2}} = K(x, y, z)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,1)}, -I_3 \rangle}$.

By Lemma 3.6, we have $K(x, y, z)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,1)} \rangle} = K(\widetilde{u}(1), \widetilde{v}(1), z)$. We put

$$t_1 := \widetilde{u}(1), \quad t_2 := \widetilde{v}(1), \quad t_3 := z.$$

Then the actions of $-I_3$, α and β on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma_{3A}^{(1,1)}\rangle} = K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} -I_3 &: t_1 \mapsto \frac{t_2}{t_1^2-t_1t_2+t_2^2},\ t_2 \mapsto \frac{t_1}{t_1^2-t_1t_2+t_2^2},\ t_3 \mapsto \frac{f}{t_3},\\ \alpha &: t_1 \mapsto t_2 \mapsto t_1,\ t_3 \mapsto \varepsilon t_3,\\ \beta &: t_1 \mapsto \frac{t_1}{t_1^2-t_1t_2+t_2^2},\ t_2 \mapsto \frac{t_2}{t_1^2-t_1t_2+t_2^2},\ t_3 \mapsto \varepsilon t_3 \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. We put

$$u_1 := \frac{t_1-t_2}{t_1+t_2},\quad u_2 := \frac{2}{t_1+t_2},\quad u_3 := t_3.$$

Then we see $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma_{3A}^{(1,1)}\rangle} = K(t_1,t_2,t_3) = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ and the actions of $-I_3$, α and β on $K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} -I_3 &: u_1 \mapsto -u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto \frac{3u_1^2+1}{u_2},\ u_3 \mapsto \frac{f}{u_3},\\ \alpha &: u_1 \mapsto -u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto u_2,\ u_3 \mapsto \varepsilon u_3,\\ \beta &: u_1 \mapsto u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto \frac{3u_1^2+1}{u_2},\ u_3 \mapsto \varepsilon u_3. \end{aligned}$$

We get an explicit transcendental basis of $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,2,2}}$ over K as follows:

Lemma 8.2. *We have* $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,2,2}} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle -I_3\rangle} = K(r_1,r_2,r_3)$ *where*

$$r_1 = \frac{u_3^2+f}{u_3},\quad r_2 = \frac{u_1u_2}{3u_1^2-u_2^2+1},\quad r_3 = \frac{(3u_1^2+u_2^2+2u_2+1)(u_3^2-f)}{(3u_1^2-u_2^2+1)u_3}.$$

Proof. We put

$$w_1 := u_3+\frac{f}{u_3},\ w_2 := u_1\Big/\Big(u_3-\frac{f}{u_3}\Big),\ w_3 := u_2+\frac{3u_1^2+1}{u_2},\ w_4 := u_1\Big/\Big(u_2-\frac{3u_1^2+1}{u_2}\Big).$$

Then we have $K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle -I_3\rangle} = K(w_1,w_2,w_3,w_4)$ because $[K(u_1,u_2,u_3) : K(w_1,w_3,w_4)] = 4$ and $w_2 \notin K(w_1,w_3,w_4)$. Since $u_1^2 = (w_1^2-4f)w_2^2 = w_4^2(w_3^2-4)/(12w_4^2+1)$, putting $w_2' := w_4(w_3+2)/w_2$, $w_3' := w_4(w_3-2)/w_2$, we have $w_2'w_3' = (w_1^2-4f)(12w_4^2+1)$, so that $K(w_1,w_2,w_3,w_4) = K(w_1,w_2',w_3',w_4) = K(w_1,w_2',w_4)$. It can be checked by the definition that $r_1 = w_1$, $r_2 = -w_4$, $r_3 = -w_2'$. □

Remark 8.3. The rationality of $K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle -I_3\rangle}$ is a result of $R(a,b,c)$ in [Yam, Lemma 2].

In particular, $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,2,2}}$ is rational over K. The actions of α and β on $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,2,2}} = K(r_1,r_2,r_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &: r_1 \mapsto \varepsilon r_1,\ r_2 \mapsto -r_2,\ r_3 \mapsto \varepsilon r_3,\\ \beta &: r_1 \mapsto \varepsilon r_1,\ r_2 \mapsto -r_2,\ r_3 \mapsto -\varepsilon r_3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,5,2}} = K(r_1,r_2,r_3)^{\langle\alpha\rangle}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,5,3}} = K(r_1,r_2,r_3)^{\langle\beta\rangle}$ are rational over K.

8.3. **The cases of $G_{5,3,2}$, $G_{5,3,3}$.** In this subsection, we assume that $c = 1$. The cases of $G_{5,3,2}$ and $G_{5,3,3}$ with $c \neq 1$ will be discussed in Section 11.

We treat the cases of

$$G_{5,3,2} = \langle\sigma_{3A}^{(1,c)}, -\alpha\rangle,\quad G_{5,3,3} = \langle\sigma_{3A}^{(b,c)}, -\beta\rangle.$$

Recall that the actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,c)}$, $-\alpha$ and $-\beta$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given as

$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,c)} : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto \frac{b}{xy},\ z \mapsto cz,$$
$$-\alpha : x \mapsto \frac{1}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{1}{x},\ z \mapsto \frac{l}{z},\quad -\beta : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto x,\ z \mapsto \frac{r}{z}$$

where $b,c,l,r \in K^\times$ and $c^3 = 1$. We assume that $c = 1$ in this subsection.

By the same way as in the previous subsection, we get $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,1)} \rangle} = K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ where $t_1 = \widetilde{u}(b)$, $t_2 = \widetilde{v}(b)$, $t_3 = z$ and $\widetilde{u}(b)$, $\widetilde{v}(b)$ are given in Lemma 3.6, and we put

$$u_1 := \frac{t_1 - t_2}{t_1 + t_2},\quad u_2 := \frac{2}{t_1 + t_2},\quad u_3 := t_3. \tag{10}$$

Then the actions of $-\alpha$ and of $-\beta$ on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,1)} \rangle} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ are given by

$$-\alpha : u_1 \mapsto u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto \frac{3u_1^2 + 1}{u_2},\ u_3 \mapsto \frac{l}{u_3},$$
$$-\beta : u_1 \mapsto -u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto u_2,\ u_3 \mapsto \frac{r}{u_3}$$

where we adopt $b = 1$ in the case of $G_{5,3,2} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,1)}, -\alpha \rangle$.

Hence the problems reduce to the case of 2-dimensional monomial actions. It follows by Theorem 1.1 that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,3,3}} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle -\beta \rangle}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,3,2}} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle -\alpha \rangle}$are rational over K.

9. The case (5B): $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \in G_{5,j,1}$

In this section, we consider the following five groups $G = G_{5,j,1}$, $1 \le j \le 5$, which have a normal subgroup $\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle$:

$$G_{5,1,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_3,\qquad G_{5,2,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_6,$$
$$G_{5,3,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -\alpha \rangle \cong \mathcal{S}_3,\qquad G_{5,4,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \alpha \rangle \cong \mathcal{S}_3,\qquad G_{5,5,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \alpha, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_6.$$

Note that we changed the generator $-\alpha$ of $G_{5,5,1}$ by $\alpha = (-\alpha)(-I_3)$. The actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $-I_3$, α and $-\alpha$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} : x \mapsto ay,\ y \mapsto bz,\ z \mapsto cx,\qquad -I_3 : x \mapsto \frac{d}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{e}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{f}{z},$$
$$\alpha : x \mapsto gy,\ y \mapsto hx,\ z \mapsto iz,\qquad -\alpha : x \mapsto \frac{j}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{k}{x},\ z \mapsto \frac{l}{z}.$$

We may assume that $a = b = c = 1$ by replacing (ay, abz) by (y, z) and the other coefficients. By the equalities $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}^3 = \alpha^2 = (-\alpha)^2 = (-I_3)^2 = I_3$, we see $gh = i^2 = 1$ and $j = k$.

From the relations of the generators of the G's as in (6), we also see $d = e = f$, $g = h = i = \pm 1$, $j = k = l$. Hence we have

$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto z,\ z \mapsto x,\qquad -I_3 : x \mapsto \frac{d}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{d}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{d}{z},$$
$$\alpha : x \mapsto \varepsilon y,\ y \mapsto \varepsilon x,\ z \mapsto \varepsilon z,\qquad -\alpha : x \mapsto \frac{j}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{j}{x},\ z \mapsto \frac{j}{z}$$

where $d, j \in K^\times$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. For $G_{5,5,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \alpha, -I_3 \rangle$, we have $j = \varepsilon d$ because $-\alpha = \alpha(-I_3)$.

9.1. **The cases of $G_{5,1,1}$, $G_{5,3,1}$, $G_{5,4,1}$.**

We treat the cases of

$$G_{5,1,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle, \quad G_{5,3,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -\alpha \rangle, \quad G_{5,4,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \alpha \rangle.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.5 that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,1,1}} = K(x,y,z)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle} = K(s_1,u,v)$ is rational over K, where s_1, u, $v \in K(x,y,z)$ are as in Lemma 3.5.

The action of $G_{5,3,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -\alpha \rangle$ on $K(x,y,z)$ is the twisted action of $\mathcal{S}_3$ as in Theorem 3.11. Hence it follows from Theorem 3.11 that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,3,1}}$ is rational over K. We can also get an explicit transcendental basis of $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,3,1}}$ over K by Theorem 3.11.

The fixed field $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,4,1}} = K(s_1,u,v)^{\langle \alpha \rangle}$ is rational over K because the action of α on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle} = K(s_1,u,v)$ is given by

$$\alpha : s_1 \ \mapsto \ \varepsilon s_1, \ u \ \mapsto \ \varepsilon v, \ v \ \mapsto \ \varepsilon u.$$

9.2. **The cases of $G_{5,2,1}$, $G_{5,5,1}$.**

We treat the cases of

$$G_{5,2,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -I_3 \rangle, \quad G_{5,5,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \alpha, -I_3 \rangle.$$

Since the center of the groups $G_{5,2,1}$ and $G_{5,5,1}$ includes $\langle -I_3 \rangle$ respectively, we first consider the field $K(x,y,z)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle}$. By Theorem 3.13, we have $K(x,y,z)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = K(k_1,k_2,k_3)$ where

$$k_1 := \frac{xy+d}{x+y}, \quad k_2 := \frac{yz+d}{y+z}, \quad k_3 := \frac{xz+d}{x+z}$$

and the actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$ and of α on $K(k_1,k_2,k_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} &: k_1 \mapsto \ k_2 \ \mapsto \ k_3 \ \mapsto \ k_1, \\ \alpha &: k_1 \ \mapsto \ \varepsilon k_1, \ k_2 \ \mapsto \ \varepsilon k_3, \ k_3 \ \mapsto \ \varepsilon k_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,2,1}} = K(k_1,k_2,k_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle}$ is rational over K by Lemma 3.5. When $\varepsilon = 1$, the action of $\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \alpha \rangle$ on $K(k_1,k_2,k_3)$ is the permutation of $\mathcal{S}_3$ and hence $K(k_1,k_2,k_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \alpha \rangle}$ is rational over K. When $\varepsilon = -1$, it follows from Theorem 3.10 that $K(k_1,k_2,k_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \alpha \rangle}$ is rational over K. Therefore we conclude that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,5,1}} = K(k_1,k_2,k_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \alpha \rangle}$ is rational over K.

10. THE CASE OF $G_{6,j,k}$

We treat the following eight groups $G = G_{6,j,1}$, $1 \leq j \leq 7$, and $G = G_{6,6,2}$ of the 6th crystal system in dimension 3 which have a normal subgroup $\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}} \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} &G_{6,1,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, \tau_1 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_6, && G_{6,2,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, -\tau_1 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_6, \\ &G_{6,3,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, \tau_1, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{C}_6 \times \mathcal{C}_2, && \\ &G_{6,4,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, \tau_1, -\beta \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_6, && G_{6,5,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, \tau_1, \beta \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_6, \\ &G_{6,6,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, -\tau_1, \beta \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_6, && G_{6,6,2} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, -\tau_1, -\beta \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_6, \\ &G_{6,7,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, \tau_1, \beta, -I_3 \rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_6 \times \mathcal{C}_2. && \end{aligned}$$

Note that we changed the generator $-\beta$ of $G_{6,7,1}$ by $\beta = (-\beta)(-I_3)$. The actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}$, $-I_3$, τ_1, $-\tau_1$, β and $-\beta$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}} : x \ \mapsto \ ay, \ y \ \mapsto \ \frac{b}{xy}, \ z \ \mapsto \ cz, && -I_3 : x \ \mapsto \ \frac{d}{x}, \ y \ \mapsto \ \frac{e}{y}, \ z \ \mapsto \ \frac{f}{z}, \\ &\tau_1 : x \ \mapsto \ \frac{g}{x}, \ y \ \mapsto \ \frac{h}{y}, \ z \ \mapsto \ iz, && -\tau_1 : x \ \mapsto \ jx, \ y \ \mapsto \ ky, \ z \ \mapsto \ \frac{l}{z}, \\ &\beta : x \ \mapsto \ \frac{m}{y}, \ y \ \mapsto \ \frac{n}{x}, \ z \ \mapsto \ oz, && -\beta : x \ \mapsto \ py, \ y \ \mapsto \ qx, \ z \ \mapsto \ \frac{r}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

We may assume that $a = 1$ by replacing ay by y and the other coefficients. By the equalities $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^3 = (-I_3)^2 = \tau_1^2 = \beta^2 = I_3$, we have $c^3 = i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = o^2 = pq = 1$, $m = n$.

By the relations of the generators of the G's as in (7), we see the following lemma:

Lemma 10.1. (i) *If* $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, -I_3 \in G$ *then* $c = 1$, $b^2 = d^3$, $d = e \in K^{\times 2}$*;*
(ii) *If* $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, \tau_1 \in G$ *then* $b^2 = g^3$, $g = h \in K^{\times 2}$*;*
(iii) *If* $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, -\tau_1 \in G$ *then* $c = 1$, $j = k = 1$*;*
(iv) *If* $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, \beta \in G$ *then* $c = 1$, $b^2 = m^3$, $m = n \in K^{\times 2}$*;*
(v) *If* $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}, -\beta \in G$ *then* $p = q = 1$.

Thus, the monomial actions may be reduced to the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}} = \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,c)} &: x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto \frac{b}{xy},\ z \mapsto cz, & -I_3 &: x \mapsto \frac{1}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{1}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{f}{z},\\
\tau_1 &: x \mapsto \frac{1}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{1}{y},\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_1 z, & -\tau_1 &: x \mapsto x,\ y \mapsto y,\ z \mapsto \frac{l}{z},\\
\beta &: x \mapsto \frac{1}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{1}{x},\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_2 z, & -\beta &: x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto x,\ z \mapsto \frac{r}{z}
\end{aligned}$$

where $b, c, f, l, r \in K^{\times}$, $c^3 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$. We have $c = 1$ except for the groups $G_{6,1,1}$ and $G_{6,4,1}$, and $b = 1$ except for the groups $G_{6,2,1}$ and $G_{6,6,2}$. Furthermore, $l = \varepsilon_1 f$ for the groups $G_{6,3,1}$ and $G_{6,7,1}$, $r = \varepsilon_3 l$ where $\varepsilon_3 = \pm 1$ for the groups $G_{6,6,2}$ and $G_{6,7,1}$ with $\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2$ for $G_{6,7,1}$.

10.1. The cases of $G_{6,1,1}$, $G_{6,5,1}$.

We treat the cases of

$$G_{6,1,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,c)}, \tau_1 \rangle, \quad G_{6,5,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,1)}, \tau_1, \beta \rangle.$$

By applying Theorem 3.1 to $L = K(x, y)$ and $M = K$, the rationality problems may be reduced to the 2-dimensional cases of $L = K(x, y)$. Hence $K(x, y, z)^{G_{6,1,1}}$ and $K(x, y, z)^{G_{6,5,1}}$ are rational over K.

10.2. The cases of $G_{6,3,1}$, $G_{6,7,1}$.

We treat the cases of

$$G_{6,3,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,1)}, \tau_1, -I_3 \rangle, \quad G_{6,7,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,1)}, \tau_1, \beta, -I_3 \rangle.$$

In these cases, the groups G have non-trivial centers which include $\langle -I_3 \rangle$ respectively. Hence we first consider $K(x, y, z)^{G_{5,2,2}} = K(x, y, z)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,1)}, -I_3 \rangle}$.

As in the previous section, we put $t_1 := \widetilde{u}(1)$, $t_2 := \widetilde{v}(1)$, $t_3 := z$ where $\widetilde{u}(b)$, $\widetilde{v}(b)$ are given in Lemma 3.6 and also put $u_1 := (t_1 - t_2)/(t_1 + t_2)$, $u_2 := 2/(t_1 + t_2)$, $u_3 := t_3$.

Then, by Lemma 8.2, we have $K(x, y, z)^{G_{5,2,2}} = K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = K(r_1, r_2, r_3)$ where

$$r_1 = \frac{u_3^2 + f}{u_3}, \quad r_2 = \frac{u_1 u_2}{3u_1^2 - u_2^2 + 1}, \quad r_3 = \frac{(3u_1^2 + u_2^2 + 2u_2 + 1)(u_3^2 - f)}{(3u_1^2 - u_2^2 + 1)u_3}.$$

The actions of τ_1 and β on $K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = K(r_1, r_2, r_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_1 &: r_1 \mapsto \varepsilon_1 r_1,\ r_2 \mapsto r_2,\ r_3 \mapsto -\varepsilon_1 r_3,\\
\beta &: r_1 \mapsto \varepsilon_2 r_1,\ r_2 \mapsto -r_2,\ r_3 \mapsto -\varepsilon_2 r_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $K(x, y, z)^{G_{6,3,1}} = K(r_1, r_2, r_3)^{\langle \tau_1 \rangle}$ and $K(x, y, z)^{G_{6,7,1}} = K(r_1, r_2, r_3)^{\langle \tau_1, \beta \rangle}$ are rational over K.

10.3. **The cases of $G_{6,2,1}$, $G_{6,6,1}$, $G_{6,6,2}$.** We treat the cases of

$$\begin{aligned} &G_{6,2,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,1)},-\tau_1\rangle, \quad G_{6,6,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,1)},-\tau_1,\beta\rangle,\\ &G_{6,6,2}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,1)},-\tau_1,-\beta\rangle=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,1)},-\tau_1,\alpha\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,1)}$, $-\tau_1$, α, β on $K(x,y,z)$ are given as

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}=\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,1)}: x\mapsto y,\ y\mapsto \frac{b}{xy},\ z\mapsto z, \qquad -\tau_1: x\mapsto x,\ y\mapsto y,\ z\mapsto \frac{l}{z},\\ &\alpha=(-\tau_1)(-\beta): x\mapsto y,\ y\mapsto x,\ z\mapsto \varepsilon_3 z, \qquad \beta: x\mapsto \frac{1}{y},\ y\mapsto \frac{1}{x},\ z\mapsto \varepsilon_2 z. \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous section, we put $t_1:=\widetilde{u}(b)$, $t_2:=\widetilde{v}(b)$, $t_3:=z$ where $\widetilde{u}(b)$, $\widetilde{v}(b)$ are given in Lemma 3.6 and also put $u_1:=(t_1-t_2)/(t_1+t_2)$, $u_2:=2/(t_1+t_2)$, $u_3:=t_3$.

Then the actions of $-\tau_1$, β and α on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,1)}\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &-\tau_1: u_1\mapsto u_1,\ u_2\mapsto u_2,\ u_3\mapsto \frac{l}{u_3},\\ &\beta: u_1\mapsto u_1,\ u_2\mapsto \frac{3u_1^2+1}{u_2},\ u_3\mapsto \varepsilon_2 u_3, \quad \alpha: u_1\mapsto -u_1,\ u_2\mapsto u_2,\ u_3\mapsto \varepsilon_3 u_3 \end{aligned}$$

where we adopt $b=1$ in the case of $G_{6,6,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,1)},-\tau_1,\beta\rangle$. Therefore $K(x,y,z)^{G_{6,2,1}}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle-\tau_1\rangle}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ is rational over K where

$$v_1:=u_1,\quad v_2:=u_2,\quad v_3:=u_3+\frac{l}{u_3}.$$

The actions of β and α on $K(x,y,z)^{G_{6,2,1}}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ are given by

$$\beta: v_1\mapsto v_1,\ v_2\mapsto \frac{3v_1^2+1}{v_2},\ v_3\mapsto \varepsilon_2 v_3, \qquad \alpha: v_1\mapsto -v_1,\ v_2\mapsto v_2,\ v_3\mapsto \varepsilon_3 v_3.$$

Hence both $K(x,y,z)^{G_{6,6,1}}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle\beta\rangle}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{6,6,2}}=K(v_1,v_2,v_3)^{\langle\alpha\rangle}$ are rational over K.

10.4. **The case of $G_{6,4,1}$.** In this subsection, we assume that $c=1$. The case of $G_{6,4,1}$ with $c\neq 1$ will be discussed in Section 11.

We treat the cases of

$$G_{6,4,1}=\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,c)},\tau_1,-\beta\rangle.$$

Recall that the actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,c)}$, τ_1 and $-\beta$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given as

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,c)}: x\mapsto y,\ y\mapsto \frac{1}{xy},\ z\mapsto cz,\\ &\tau_1: x\mapsto \frac{1}{x},\ y\mapsto \frac{1}{y},\ z\mapsto \varepsilon_1 z, \quad -\beta: x\mapsto y,\ y\mapsto x,\ z\mapsto \frac{r}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that $c=1$ in this subsection.

As in the previous subsection, we have $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,1)}\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ and the actions of τ_1 and of $-\beta$ on $K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_1: u_1\mapsto -u_1,\ u_2\mapsto \frac{3u_1^2+1}{u_2},\ u_3\mapsto \varepsilon_1 u_3,\\ &-\beta: u_1\mapsto -u_1,\ u_2\mapsto u_2,\ u_3\mapsto \frac{r}{u_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 10.2. *We have* $K(x,y,z)^{G_{6,1,1}} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle\tau_1\rangle} = K(q_1,q_2,q_3)$ *where*

$$q_1 := u_2 + \tau_1(u_2) = \frac{3u_1^2+u_2^2+1}{u_2},$$

$$q_2 := \frac{u_2-\tau_1(u_2)}{u_1} = -\frac{3u_1^2-u_2^2+1}{u_1u_2}, \quad q_3 := \begin{cases} u_3, \text{ if } \varepsilon_1 = 1,\\ \dfrac{u_3}{u_1}, \text{ if } \varepsilon_1 = -1.\end{cases}$$

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 3.2 (I). Indeed we can check it directly as follows: First we see $K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle\tau_1\rangle} = K(u_1^2,q_1,q_2,q_3)$ because $[K(u_1,u_2,u_3) : K(u_1^2,q_1,q_3)] = 4$ and $q_2 \notin K(u_1^2,q_1,q_3)$. Since $u_1^2 = (q_1^2 - 4(3u_1^2+1))/q_2^2$, we have $u_1^2 \in K(q_1,q_2)$ so that $K(u_1^2,q_1,q_2,q_3) = K(q_1,q_2,q_3)$. □

The action of $-\beta$ on $K(q_1,q_2,q_3)$ is given by

$$-\beta \,:\, q_1 \mapsto q_1,\ q_2 \mapsto -q_2, \begin{cases} q_3 \mapsto \dfrac{r}{q_3}, \text{ if } \varepsilon_1 = 1,\\ q_3 \mapsto -\dfrac{r(q_2^2+12)}{(q_1^2-4)q_3}, \text{ if } \varepsilon_1 = -1.\end{cases}$$

When $\varepsilon_1 = 1$, by Theorem 3.2 (I), $K(q_1,q_2,q_3)^{\langle-\beta\rangle} = K(q_1, q_3+r/q_3, (q_3-r/q_3)/q_2)$ is rational over K. When $\varepsilon_1 = -1$, by Theorem 3.2 (I) again, $K(q_1,q_2,q_3)^{\langle-\beta\rangle}$ is rational over K. Thus we conclude that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{6,4,1}} = K(q_1,q_2,q_3)^{\langle-\beta\rangle}$ is rational over K.

11. THE CASE WHERE $c \neq 1$

There are 16 groups $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$ which contain $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}$ as in Section 8 and Section 10. The action of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}$ on $K(x,y,z)$ is given by

$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}} : x \ \mapsto\ y,\ y\ \mapsto\ \frac{b}{xy},\ z\ \mapsto\ cz$$

where $b, c \in K^\times$ and $c^3 = 1$. By results in Section 8 and Section 10, we have $c = 1$ except for $G = G_{5,1,2}$, $G_{5,3,2}$, $G_{5,3,3}$, $G_{6,1,1}$, $G_{6,4,1}$. Note that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,1,2}}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{6,1,1}}$ are rational over K by Theorem 3.1.

In this section, we consider the case $c \neq 1$ for $G = G_{5,3,2}$, $G_{5,3,3}$, $G_{6,4,1}$. Namely we assume that char $K \neq 2$ and K contains a primitive cube root of unity ω and $c = \omega$. We note that $\omega^2+\omega+1 = 0$ and $\sqrt{-3} \in K$.

From results in Section 8 and Section 10, we have

$$G_{5,3,2} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,\omega)}, -\alpha\rangle, \quad G_{5,3,3} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}, -\beta\rangle, \quad G_{6,4,1} = \langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(1,\omega)}, \tau_1, -\beta\rangle$$

where

$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}} = \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)} : x\ \mapsto\ y,\ y\ \mapsto\ \frac{b}{xy},\ z\ \mapsto\ \omega z, \qquad \tau_1 : x\ \mapsto\ \frac{1}{x},\ y\ \mapsto\ \frac{1}{y},\ z\ \mapsto\ \varepsilon z,$$

$$-\alpha : x\ \mapsto\ \frac{1}{y},\ y\ \mapsto\ \frac{1}{x},\ z\ \mapsto\ \frac{l}{z}, \qquad -\beta : x\ \mapsto\ y,\ y\ \mapsto\ x,\ z\ \mapsto\ \frac{r}{z}$$

with $b, l, r \in K^\times$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

We take the Lagrange resolvent

$$\Theta := x + \omega\cdot y + \omega^2\cdot\frac{b}{xy} = \frac{\omega^2 b + x^2y + \omega xy^2}{xy}$$

with respect to the $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}$-orbit of x then we have

$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}(\Theta) = \omega^2\Theta.$$

Thus Θz is an invariant under the action of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}$.

By results in Subsection 8.3, we have $K(x,y)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}\rangle} = K(u_1,u_2)$ where u_1, u_2 are given as in (10), and the actions of τ_1, $-\alpha$, $-\beta$ on $K(u_1,u_2)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau_1\,:\,u_1\ \mapsto -u_1,\ u_2\ \mapsto\ \frac{3u_1^2+1}{u_2},\\ &-\alpha\,:\,u_1\ \mapsto\ u_1,\ u_2\ \mapsto\ \frac{3u_1^2+1}{u_2},\quad -\beta\,:\,u_1\ \mapsto -u_1,\ u_2\ \mapsto\ u_2.\end{aligned}$$

Putting

$$t_3 := \Theta z = \frac{\omega^2 b + x^2y + \omega xy^2}{xy}z,$$

we have $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}\rangle} = K(u_1,u_2,t_3)$ and the actions of τ_1, $-\alpha$, $-\beta$ on $K(t_3)$ are as follows:

$$\tau_1\,:\,t_3\ \mapsto\ \varepsilon\frac{\tau_1(\Theta)}{\Theta}t_3,\quad -\alpha\,:\,t_3\ \mapsto\ \frac{l}{t_3}\mathrm{N}_{-\alpha}(\Theta),\quad -\beta\,:\,t_3\ \mapsto\ \frac{r}{t_3}\mathrm{N}_{-\beta}(\Theta)$$

where N means the norm operator, so that $\mathrm{N}_\tau(\Theta) = \tau(\Theta)\Theta$ when τ is of order 2.

We consider the case of $G_{5,3,2} = \langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}, -\alpha\rangle$ with $b=1$. Although Θ is not $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}$-invariant, the relations $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}(\Theta) = \omega^2\Theta$ and $[\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}, -\alpha] = \sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}$ imply that $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}\big((-\alpha)(\Theta)\big) = \omega(-\alpha)(\Theta)$. Hence

$$F := \frac{\Theta^2}{(-\alpha)(\Theta)} = \frac{(\omega^2 b + x^2y + \omega xy^2)^2}{xy(x+\omega y + \omega^2 bx^2y^2)}$$

is $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}$-invariant, so $F \in K(u_1,u_2)$ and $\mathrm{N}_{-\alpha}(F) = \mathrm{N}_{-\alpha}(\Theta)$. Putting $u_3 := t_3/F$, we have $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}\rangle} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ and

$$-\alpha\,:\,u_1\ \mapsto\ u_1,\ u_2\ \mapsto\ \frac{3u_1^2+1}{u_2},\ u_3\ \mapsto\ \frac{l}{u_3}.$$

Hence $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,3,2}} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle-\alpha\rangle}$ is rational over K (cf. Subsection 8.3).

For $G_{5,3,3} = \langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}, -\beta\rangle$, by replacing $-\alpha$ with $-\beta$, we can show that $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}\rangle} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3')$ and

$$-\beta\,:\,u_1\ \mapsto -u_1,\ u_2\ \mapsto\ u_2,\ u_3'\ \mapsto\ \frac{r}{u_3'}$$

where $u_3' = t_3/F'$ and $F' = \Theta^2/\big((-\beta)(\Theta)\big)$. Thus $K(x,y,z)^{G_{5,3,3}} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3')^{\langle-\beta\rangle}$ is rational over K (cf. Subsection 8.3).

For $G_{6,4,1} = \langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}, \tau_1, -\beta\rangle$ with $b=1$, we put

$$\begin{aligned}u_3'' &:= \Big(\frac{\tau_1(\Theta)}{\Theta}+1\Big)t_3 = \Big(\frac{\omega x + y + b\omega^2x^2y^2}{b\omega^2 + x^2y + \omega xy^2}+1\Big)t_3\\ &= \frac{(b\omega^2 + \omega x + y + x^2y + \omega xy^2 + b\omega^2x^2y^2)z}{xy},\end{aligned}$$

then we have $\tau_1 : u_3'' \mapsto \varepsilon u_3''$. Note that $\tau_1(\Theta)/\Theta$ is $\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}$-invariant, so that $\tau_1(\Theta)/\Theta \in K(u_1,u_2)$ and $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{A}}^{(b,\omega)}\rangle} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3'')$. By Lemma 10.2, we get

$$K(x,y,z)^{G_{6,1,1}} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3'')^{\langle\tau_1\rangle} = K(q_1,q_2,q_3)$$

where

$$q_1 := u_2 + \tau_1(u_2) = \frac{3u_1^2 + u_2^2 + 1}{u_2},$$

$$q_2 := \frac{u_2 - \tau_1(u_2)}{u_1} = -\frac{3u_1^2 - u_2^2 + 1}{u_1 u_2}, \quad q_3 := \begin{cases} u_3'', \text{ if } \varepsilon = 1, \\ \dfrac{u_3''}{u_1}, \text{ if } \varepsilon = -1. \end{cases}$$

The action of $-\beta$ on $K(q_1, q_2, q_3)$ is given by

$$-\beta \,:\, q_1 \,\mapsto\, q_1,\ q_2 \,\mapsto\, -q_2, \begin{cases} q_3 \,\mapsto\, \dfrac{r}{q_3}\mathrm{N}_{-\beta}\Big(\Theta + \tau_1(\Theta)\Big), \text{ if } \varepsilon = 1, \\ q_3 \,\mapsto\, -\dfrac{r(q_2^2+12)}{(q_1^2-4)q_3}\mathrm{N}_{-\beta}\Big(\Theta + \tau_1(\Theta)\Big), \text{ if } \varepsilon = -1. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$F'' := \frac{(\Theta + \tau_1(\Theta))^2}{(-\beta)(\Theta + \tau_1(\Theta))} = \frac{(b\omega^2 + \omega x + y + x^2y + \omega xy^2 + b\omega^2x^2y^2)^2}{xy(b\omega^2 + x + \omega y + \omega x^2 y + xy^2 + b\omega^2x^2y^2)}$$

is $G_{6,1,1}$-invariant, we have $F'' \in K(q_1, q_2)$ and $\mathrm{N}_{-\beta}(\Theta + \tau_1(\Theta)) = \mathrm{N}_{-\beta}(F'')$.

So putting $q_3' := q_3/F''$, we have $K(x,y,z)^{G_{6,1,1}} = K(q_1, q_2, q_3')$ and

$$-\beta \,:\, q_1 \,\mapsto\, q_1,\ q_2 \,\mapsto\, -q_2, \begin{cases} q_3' \,\mapsto\, \dfrac{r}{q_3'}, \text{ if } \varepsilon = 1, \\ q_3' \,\mapsto\, -\dfrac{r(q_2^2+12)}{(q_1^2-4)q_3'}, \text{ if } \varepsilon = -1. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 3.2 (I), $K(x,y,z)^{G_{6,4,1}} = K(q_1, q_2, q_3')^{\langle -\beta \rangle}$ is rational over K (cf. Subsection 10.4).

12. The case of $G_{7,j,1}$

In this section, we consider the following five groups $G = G_{7,j,1}$, $1 \leq j \leq 5$, which have a normal subgroup $\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{7,1,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle & &\cong \mathcal{A}_4 & &\cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{C}_3, \\
G_{7,2,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -I_3 \rangle & &\cong \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2 & &\cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{C}_3, \\
G_{7,3,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -\beta_1 \rangle & &\cong \mathcal{S}_4 & &\cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3, \\
G_{7,4,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \beta_1 \rangle & &\cong \mathcal{S}_4 & &\cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3, \\
G_{7,5,1} &= \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \beta_1, -I_3 \rangle & &\cong \mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2 & &\cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\tau_1 = \tau$ and $\beta_1 = \beta$ (cf. Sections 8, 9, 10).

The actions of τ_1, λ_1, $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $-\beta_1$, β_1 and $-I_3$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_1 : x \,\mapsto\, \frac{a}{x},\ y \,\mapsto\, \frac{b}{y},\ z \,\mapsto\, cz, & &\lambda_1 : x \,\mapsto\, \frac{d}{x},\ y \,\mapsto\, ey,\ z \,\mapsto\, \frac{f}{z}, \\
&\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} : x \,\mapsto\, gy,\ y \,\mapsto\, hz,\ z \,\mapsto\, ix, & &-\beta_1 : x \,\mapsto\, jy,\ y \,\mapsto\, kx,\ z \,\mapsto\, \frac{l}{z}, \\
&\beta_1 : x \,\mapsto\, \frac{m}{y},\ y \,\mapsto\, \frac{n}{x},\ z \,\mapsto\, oz, & &-I_3 : x \,\mapsto\, \frac{p}{x},\ y \,\mapsto\, \frac{q}{y},\ z \,\mapsto\, \frac{r}{z}.
\end{aligned}$$

We may assume that $g = h = i = 1$ by replacing (gy, ghz) by (y, z) and the other coefficients.

By the equalities $\tau_1^2 = \lambda_1^2 = \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}^3 = (-\beta_1)^2 = \beta_1^2 = (-I_3)^2 = I_3$, we have $c^2 = e^2 = jk = o^2 = 1$ and $m = n$.

By the relations of the generators of $G_{7,1,1}$ as in (8), the monomial action of $G_{7,1,1}$ is written as

$$\tau_1 : x \mapsto \frac{a}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1 a}{y},\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_1 z, \qquad \lambda_1 : x \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_1 a}{x},\ y \mapsto \varepsilon_1 y,\ z \mapsto \frac{a}{z}, \tag{11}$$
$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto z,\ z \mapsto x,$$

where $a \in K^\times$, $\varepsilon_1 = \pm 1$.

By the relations of $-\beta_1$, β_1, $-I_3$ with the generators of $G_{7,1,1}$, the monomial actions of $-\beta_1$, β_1, $-I_3$ are written as

$$-\beta_1 : x \mapsto jy,\ y \mapsto \frac{x}{j},\ z \mapsto \frac{ja}{z}, \qquad \beta_1 : x \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_3 a}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_3 a}{x},\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_3 z, \tag{12}$$
$$-I_3 : x \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_4 a}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_4 a}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_4 a}{z}$$

where

$$j = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_2, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 = 1, \\ \varepsilon_2\sqrt{-1}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 = -1 \end{cases}$$

and $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 = \pm 1$.

The action of β_1 is possible only when $\varepsilon_1 = 1$, so that the case $\varepsilon_1 = -1$ does not appear for $G = G_{7,4,1}$ and $G_{7,5,1}$, and also for $G_{7,3,1}$ if $\sqrt{-1} \notin K$. For the group $G_{7,5,1}$ with $\varepsilon_1 = 1$, we have $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4$. When $\varepsilon_1 = -1$, we may assume that $\varepsilon_2 = 1$ by replacing $-\sqrt{-1}$ by $\sqrt{-1}$.

12.1. **The case of $\varepsilon_1 = 1$.** We treat the case where $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ in this subsection. Although $G_{3,1,1} = \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{N}$, by Theorem 3.16, we have

$$K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle} = K(v_1, v_2, v_3)$$

where

$$v_1 := \frac{a(-x+y+z) - xyz}{a - xy - xz + yz},\quad v_2 := \frac{a(x-y+z) - xyz}{a - xy + xz - yz},\quad v_3 := \frac{a(x+y-z) - xyz}{a + xy - xz - yz}. \tag{13}$$

The actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $-\beta_1$, β_1 and $-I_3$ on $K(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ are given by

$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} : v_1 \mapsto v_2,\ v_2 \mapsto v_3,\ v_3 \mapsto v_1, \qquad -\beta_1 : v_1 \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_2 a}{v_2},\ v_2 \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_2 a}{v_1},\ v_3 \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_2 a}{v_3},$$
$$\beta_1 : v_1 \mapsto \varepsilon_3 v_2,\ v_2 \mapsto \varepsilon_3 v_1,\ v_3 \mapsto \varepsilon_3 v_3, \qquad -I_3 : v_1 \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_4 a}{v_1},\ v_2 \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_4 a}{v_2},\ v_3 \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_4 a}{v_3}.$$

Hence the groups $G_{7,j,1}$, $1 \le j \le 5$, act on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle}$ by monomial actions as $\mathcal{C}_3$, $\mathcal{C}_6$, $\mathcal{S}_3$, $\mathcal{S}_3$, $\mathcal{D}_6$ respectively. We already treated these cases as in Section 9. Therefore the fixed fields $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,j,1}}$, $1 \le j \le 5$, are rational over K.

We can also get explicit transcendental bases of the fixed fields over K by results of Section 9. For example, by Theorem 3.13, we see

$$K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, -I_3 \rangle} = K(v_1, v_2, v_3)^{\langle -I_3 \rangle} = K(k_1, k_2, k_3)$$

where

$$k_1 := \frac{2(v_2 v_3 + \varepsilon_4 a)}{v_2 + v_3},\quad k_2 := \frac{2(v_1 v_3 + \varepsilon_4 a)}{v_1 + v_3},\quad k_3 := \frac{2(v_1 v_2 + \varepsilon_4 a)}{v_1 + v_2}.$$

By the definition of v_1, v_2 and v_3, we see

$$\begin{cases} k_1 = \dfrac{x^2 + a}{x},\quad k_2 = \dfrac{y^2 + a}{y},\quad k_3 = \dfrac{z^2 + a}{z}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_4 = 1, \\ k_1 = \dfrac{(x^2 - a)(a^2 + ay^2 + az^2 - 4ayz + y^2 z^2)}{x(y^2 - a)(z^2 - a)},\quad k_2 = \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}(k_1),\quad k_3 = \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}^2(k_1), & \text{if } \varepsilon_4 = -1. \end{cases}$$

Because the action of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$ on $K(k_1,k_2,k_3)$ is given by

$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}: k_1 \ \mapsto\ k_2,\ k_2\ \mapsto\ k_3,\ k_3\ \mapsto\ k_1,$$

we can get an explicit transcendental basis of $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,2,1}} = K(k_1,k_2,k_3)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\rangle}$ over K by Lemma 3.5.

12.2. **The cases of $G_{7,1,1}$ and $G_{7,3,1}$ with $\varepsilon_1=-1$.** We treat the case where $\varepsilon_1=-1$. In this case, $G_{7,4,1}$ and $G_{7,5,1}$ do not appear and we first treat the cases of

$$G_{7,1,1}=\langle\tau_1,\lambda_1,\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\rangle,\quad G_{7,3,1}=\langle\tau_1,\lambda_1,\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},-\beta_1\rangle.$$

For $G_{7,2,1}$, see the next subsection. The actions of τ_1 ,λ_1, $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$ and $-\beta_1$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given as in (11) and (12). Note that $G_{7,3,1}$ appears only in the case where $K\ni\sqrt{-1}$. We will show that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}}$ is rational over K if $[K(\sqrt{a},\sqrt{-1}):K]\le 2$ and $K(\sqrt{-1})(x,y,z)^{G_{7,3,1}}$ is rational over $K(\sqrt{-1})$.

When $[K(\sqrt{a},\sqrt{-1}):K]=4$, we do not know whether $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}}$ is rational over K or not. Note that the group $G_{7,1,1}$ has a normal subgroup $\langle\tau_1,\lambda_1\rangle=G_{3,1,1}\in\mathcal{N}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{3,1,1}}$ is rational over K if and only if $[K(\sqrt{a},\sqrt{-1}):K]\le 2$ (see also Section 1). The group $G_{7,1,1}$ has no normal subgroup other than $G_{3,1,1}$, and hence the reduction of the problem to a factor group does not work for $G_{7,1,1}$ if $[K(\sqrt{a},\sqrt{-1}):K]=4$.

On the contrary, the group $G_{7,2,1}$ has a normal subgroup $G_{3,3,1}=\langle\tau_1,\lambda_1,-I_3\rangle$, and the monomial action of $G_{3,3,1}$ with $\varepsilon_1=-1$ is an affirmative case of $G_{3,3,1}\in\mathcal{N}$ (see the next subsection).

Lemma 12.1. *Let $L=K(\sqrt{a},\sqrt{-1})$. The fixed field $L(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_1,\lambda_1\rangle}$ is rational over L and an explicit transcendental basis of $L(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_1,\lambda_1\rangle}=L(w_1,w_2,w_3)$ over L is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1&=\frac{(a+\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}x+\sqrt{a}y-\sqrt{-1}xy)(a+\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}y-\sqrt{a}z+\sqrt{-1}yz)}{(a-\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}x-\sqrt{a}y-\sqrt{-1}xy)(-\sqrt{-1}a+\sqrt{a}y-\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}z-yz)},\\
w_2&=\frac{(a-\sqrt{a}x-\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}z-\sqrt{-1}xz)(a+\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}y-\sqrt{a}z+\sqrt{-1}yz)}{(a-\sqrt{a}x+\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}z+\sqrt{-1}xz)(a+\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}y+\sqrt{a}z-\sqrt{-1}yz)},\\
w_3&=\frac{(a+\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}x+\sqrt{a}y-\sqrt{-1}xy)(a-\sqrt{a}x-\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}z-\sqrt{-1}xz)}{(-\sqrt{-1}a-\sqrt{a}x+\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}y-xy)(a-\sqrt{a}x+\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}z+\sqrt{-1}xz)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Put

$$X:=\frac{x+\sqrt{a}}{x-\sqrt{a}},\quad Y:=\frac{y+\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}}{y-\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}},\quad Z:=\frac{z}{\sqrt{a}}.$$

Then $L(x,y,z)=L(X,Y,Z)$ and the actions of τ_1 and λ_1 on $L(X,Y,Z)$ are given by

$$\tau_1\ :\ X\ \mapsto\ -X,\ Y\mapsto\ -Y,\ Z\mapsto\ -Z,\quad \lambda_1\ :\ X\ \mapsto\ -\frac{1}{X},\ Y\mapsto\ \frac{1}{Y},\ Z\mapsto\ \frac{1}{Z}.$$

Hence we get $L(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_1\rangle}=L(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ where

$$u_1:=\sqrt{-1}XY,\quad u_2:=YZ,\quad u_3:=\sqrt{-1}XZ$$

and the action of λ_1 on $L(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ is given by

$$\lambda_1\ :\ u_1\ \mapsto\ \frac{1}{u_1},\ u_2\mapsto\ \frac{1}{u_2},\ u_3\mapsto\ \frac{1}{u_3}.$$

Put

$$v_1:=\frac{u_1+1}{u_1-1},\quad v_2:=\frac{u_2+1}{u_2-1},\quad v_3:=\frac{u_3+1}{u_3-1}.$$

Then the action of λ_1 on $L(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_1\rangle}=L(u_1,u_2,u_3)=L(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ is given by

$$\lambda_1\ :\ v_1\ \mapsto\ -v_1,\ v_2\mapsto\ -v_2,\ v_3\mapsto\ -v_3.$$

Hence we get $L(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_1,\lambda_1\rangle}=L(w_1,w_2,w_3)$ where

$$w_1:=v_1v_2,\quad w_2:=v_2v_3,\quad w_3:=v_3v_1.$$

We can evaluate the w_i's in terms of x,y,z by the definitions above. $\square$

Now we take

$$L:=K(\sqrt{a},\sqrt{-1})$$

and $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)=\langle\rho_a,\rho_{-1}\rangle$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_a\ &:\ \sqrt{a}\mapsto-\sqrt{a},\ \sqrt{-1}\mapsto\sqrt{-1},\\ \rho_{-1}\ &:\ \sqrt{a}\mapsto\sqrt{a},\ \sqrt{-1}\mapsto-\sqrt{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Put $\rho_{-a}=\rho_a\circ\rho_{-1}$. We extend the actions of $G_{7,1,1}$ and $G_{7,3,1}$ to $L(x,y,z)$ with trivial actions on L. The actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $-\beta_1$, ρ_a, ρ_{-1} and ρ_{-a} on $L(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_1,\lambda_1\rangle}=L(w_1,w_2,w_3)$ are given by

(14)

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}:w_1&\mapsto\frac{w_2-w_1w_3}{w_2(w_1-1)(w_3-1)},\ w_2\mapsto\frac{w_3-w_1w_2}{w_3(w_1-1)(w_2-1)},\ w_3\mapsto\frac{w_1-w_2w_3}{w_1(w_2-1)(w_3-1)},\\
-\beta_1:w_1&\mapsto\frac{1}{w_3},\ w_2\mapsto\frac{1}{w_2},\ w_3\mapsto\frac{1}{w_1},\\
\rho_a:w_1&\mapsto-\frac{w_1-w_2}{w_1(w_3-1)},\ w_2\mapsto-\frac{w_3(w_1-1)(w_1-w_2)}{w_1(w_3-1)(w_2-w_3)},\ w_3\mapsto\frac{w_2(w_1-1)}{w_1(w_2-w_3)},\\
\rho_{-1}:w_1&\mapsto\frac{w_2(w_3-1)(w_1-w_3)}{w_3(w_2-1)(w_1-w_2)},\ w_2\mapsto\frac{w_1(w_3-1)}{w_3(w_1-w_2)},\ w_3\mapsto\frac{w_1-w_3}{w_3(w_2-1)},\\
\rho_{-a}:w_1&\mapsto-\frac{w_3(w_2-1)}{w_2(w_1-w_3)},\ w_2\mapsto-\frac{w_2-w_3}{w_2(w_1-1)},\ w_3\mapsto\frac{w_1(w_2-1)(w_2-w_3)}{w_2(w_1-1)(w_1-w_3)}
\end{aligned}$$

(we omit the display of the actions on L).

Lemma 12.2. *The following three conditions are equivalent:*
(i) $K(\sqrt{-1})(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}}=L(w_1,w_2,w_3)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},\rho_a\rangle}$ *is rational over* $K(\sqrt{-1})=L^{\langle\rho_a\rangle}$*;*
(ii) $K(\sqrt{a})(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}}=L(w_1,w_2,w_3)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},\rho_{-1}\rangle}$ *is rational over* $K(\sqrt{a})=L^{\langle\rho_{-1}\rangle}$*;*
(iii) $K(\sqrt{-a})(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}}=L(w_1,w_2,w_3)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},\rho_{-a}\rangle}$ *is rational over* $K(\sqrt{-a})=L^{\langle\rho_{-a}\rangle}$*.*

Proof. We take the L-automorphism

$$\eta:w_1\mapsto w_2\mapsto w_3\mapsto w_1$$

on $L(w_1,w_2,w_3)$. Then the assertion follows from the equalities

$$\eta^{-1}\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\eta=\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},\quad \eta^{-1}\rho_a\eta=\rho_{-1},\quad \eta^{-1}\rho_{-1}\eta=\rho_{-a},\quad \eta^{-1}\rho_{-a}\eta=\rho_a$$

as K-automorphisms. $\square$

Hence we should consider only ρ_a instead of ρ_{-1}, ρ_{-a}. In order to linearlize the action of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, we take the $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$-orbit of w_1 as

$$q_1:=w_1,\quad q_2:=\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}(w_1)=\frac{w_2-w_1w_3}{w_2(w_1-1)(w_3-1)},\quad q_3:=\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}^2(w_1)=\frac{(w_1-1)(w_2-1)}{w_1w_2-w_3}.$$

Then we see

$$[L(w_1,w_2,w_3):L(q_1,q_2,q_3)]=2$$

because $L(w_1,w_2,w_3)=L(q_1,q_2,q_3)(w_3)$ and

$$f(w_3):=q_2q_3w_3^2-(q_1-q_2-q_3+q_1q_2+q_2q_3-q_1q_3)w_3+q_1q_2-q_2+1=0.$$

We take the square root of the discriminant of the quadratic polynomial $f(w_3)$:

$$\begin{aligned} q_4 &:= \sqrt{(q_1 - q_2 - q_3 + q_1q_2 + q_2q_3 - q_1q_3)^2 - 4q_2q_3(q_1q_2 - q_2 + 1)} \\ &= \frac{w_1^2w_2 - w_1w_2^2 - w_1w_3 + w_2^2w_3 + w_1w_3^2 - w_1w_2w_3^2}{w_2(w_3 - 1)(w_1w_2 - w_3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 12.3. *Let $L = K(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{a})$. The fixed field $L(x, y, z)^{G_{3,1,1}}$ is given by*

$$L(x, y, z)^{G_{3,1,1}} = L(w_1, w_2, w_3) = L(q_1, q_2, q_3)(q_4)$$

where q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4 satisfy the equality

$$q_4^2 = (q_1 - q_2 - q_3 + q_1q_2 + q_2q_3 - q_1q_3)^2 - 4q_2q_3(q_1q_2 - q_2 + 1). \tag{15}$$

We note that q_4 is $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$-invariant. The actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $-\beta_1$ and ρ_a on $L(x, y, z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1 \rangle} = L(q_1, \dots, q_4)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} :\ & q_1 \ \mapsto\ q_2,\ q_2 \ \mapsto\ q_3,\ q_3 \ \mapsto\ q_1,\ q_4 \ \mapsto\ q_4, \\
-\beta_1 :\ & q_1 \ \mapsto\ \frac{q_1q_2 + q_2q_3 - q_3q_1 + q_1 - q_2 - q_3 - q_4}{2(q_1q_2 - q_2 + 1)}, \\
& q_2 \ \mapsto\ \frac{q_1q_2 - q_2q_3 + q_3q_1 - q_1 - q_23 + q_3 - q_4}{2(q_3q_1 - q_1 + 1)}, \\
& q_3 \ \mapsto\ \frac{-q_1q_2 + q_2q_3 + q_3q_1 - q_1 + q_2 - q_3 - q_4}{2(q_2q_3 - q_3 + 1)}, \\
& q_4 \ \mapsto\ \frac{C_q + (1 - q_1 - q_2 - q_3 + q_1q_2 + q_1q_3 + q_2q_3 - 2q_1q_2q_3)q_4}{2(q_1q_2 - q_2 + 1)(q_3q_1 - q_1 + 1)(q_2q_3 - q_3 + 1)}, \\
\rho_a :\ & \sqrt{a} \ \mapsto\ -\sqrt{a}, \\
& q_1 \ \mapsto\ -\frac{q_1q_2^2 - q_3q_1^2 + q_1^2 - 2q_1q_2 - q_2q_3q_1 + q_2q_3 - q_1 + q_2 - q_3 - q_4q_1 + q_4}{2q_1(q_3q_1 - q_1 + 1)}, \\
& q_2 \ \mapsto\ \frac{q_1q_2^2 - q_3q_2^2 - q_2^2 + q_1q_3q_2 + 2q_3q_2 - q_1q_3 + q_1 + q_2 - q_3 + q_4q_2 - q_4}{2q_2(q_1q_2 - q_2 + 1)}, \\
& q_3 \ \mapsto\ \frac{-q_1q_3^2 + q_2q_3^2 - q_3^2 + 2q_1q_3 + q_1q_2q_3 - q_1q_2 - q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4q_3 - q_4}{2q_3(q_2q_3 - q_3 + 1)}, \\
& q_4 \ \mapsto\ \frac{(q_1q_2q_3 + 1)(-q_1q_2 + 2q_2q_3q_1 - q_2q_3 - q_3q_1 + q_1 + q_2 + q_3 - q_4 - 2)}{2(q_1q_2 - q_2 + 1)(q_3q_1 - q_1 + 1)(q_2q_3 - q_3 + 1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_q = &- q_1 + q_1^2 - q_2 + q_1q_2 + q_2^2 - 2q_1q_2^2 + q_1^2q_2^2 - q_3 + q_1q_3 - 2q_1^2q_3 \\ &+ q_2q_3 - 4q_1q_2q_3 + 2q_1^2q_2q_3 + 2q_1q_2^2q_3 - 2q_1^2q_2^2q_3 + q_3^2 + q_1^2q_3^2 \\ &- 2q_2q_3^2 + 2q_1q_2q_3^2 - 2q_1^2q_2q_3^2 + q_2^2q_3^2 - 2q_1q_2^2q_3^2 + 2q_1^2q_2^2q_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 12.4. *Let $L = K(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{-1})$. Then we have*

$$L(x, y, z)^{G_{7,1,1}} = L(w_1, w_2, w_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle} = L(q_1, \dots, q_4)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle} = L(s, u, v)$$

where $s = q_4 + s_1(1 - u + v)$ and s_1, u, v are given by

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= s_1(q_1, q_2, q_3) = q_1 + q_2 + q_3, \\ u &= u(q_1, q_2, q_3) = \frac{q_1q_2^2 + q_2q_3^2 + q_3q_1^2 - 3q_1q_2q_3}{q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 - q_1q_2 - q_2q_3 - q_3q_1}, \\ v &= v(q_1, q_2, q_3) = \frac{q_1^2q_2 + q_2^2q_3 + q_3^2q_1 - 3q_1q_2q_3}{q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 - q_1q_2 - q_2q_3 - q_3q_1}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{a} \in K$ *then* $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}}$ *is rational over* K.

Proof. We see $L(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}} = L(q_1,q_2,q_3,q_4)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\rangle}$ and the action of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$ on $L(q_1,q_2,q_3,q_4)$ is given by $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} : q_1 \mapsto q_2 \mapsto q_3 \mapsto q_1$, $q_4 \mapsto q_4$. By Lemma 3.5, we get

$$L(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}} = L(s_1,u,v,q_4).$$

The relation (15) becomes

$$q_4^2 = s_1^2 - 4s_2 + 2s_1s_2 + s_2^2 - 4s_3 - 4s_1s_3 - 4(q_1q_2^2 + q_2q_3^2 + q_3q_1^2)$$

where $s_1 = q_1$, $s_2 = q_1q_2 + q_2q_3 + q_3q_1$, $s_3 = q_1q_2q_3$ are the elementary symmetric functions in q_1, q_2, q_3. We also see

$$\begin{aligned}
&s_2 = s_1(u+v) - 3(u^2 - uv + v^2),\\
&s_3 = s_1uv - (u^3 + v^3),\\
&q_1q_2^2 + q_2q_3^2 + q_3q_1^2 = s_1^2u - 3s_1u^2 + 3(2u-v)(u^2 - uv + v^2)
\end{aligned}$$

(see, for example, [HK10, Theorem 2.2]). Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
q_4^2 = {}& s_1^2(1-u+v)^2 - 2s_1(2u - 3u^2 + u^3 + 2v - uv + 3v^2 + v^3)\\
&+ (u^2 - uv + v^2)(12 - 20u + 9u^2 + 16v - 9uv + 9v^2).
\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

Hence we put

$$s := q_4 + s_1(1-u+v),\ t := q_4 - s_1(1-u+v), \quad \left(s_1 = \frac{t-s}{2(1-u+v)},\ q_4 = \frac{s+t}{2}\right).$$

Then $L(s_1,u,v,q_4) = L(s,t,u,v)$ and the relation (17) becomes linear in both s and t. Thus we get $L(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}} = L(s,t,u,v) = L(s,u,v)$. ☐

We evaluate the actions of $-\beta_1$ and ρ_a on $L(s,u,v)$ as follows: First we see

$$\begin{aligned}
q_1 = {}& \frac{q_3v - u^2 + uv - v^2}{q_3 - u}, q_2 = \frac{q_3u - u^2 + uv - v^2}{q_3 - v},\\
q_4 = {}& \Big(q_3^3(-1+u-v) + q_3^2s + q_3(-su + 3u^2 - 3u^3 - sv - 3uv + 6u^2v + 3v^2\\
&- 6uv^2 + 3v^3) - u^3 + u^4 + suv - u^3v - v^3 + uv^3 - v^4\Big)\Big/\Big((q_3-u)(q_3-u)\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

Then $L(q_1,\ldots,q_4) = L(s,u,v)(q_3)$ is a cyclic cubic extension over $L(s,u,v)$. The minimal polynomial of q_3 over $L(s,u,v)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&2(-s + 2u + su - 3u^2 + u^3 + 2v - sv - uv + 3v^2 + v^3)q_3^3\\
&+ (s^2 - 12u^2 + 20u^3 - 9u^4 + 12uv - 36u^2v + 18u^3v - 12v^2 + 36uv^2 - 27u^2v^2\\
&\quad - 16v^3 + 18uv^3 - 9v^4)q_3^2\\
&+ (-s^2u + 6su^2 - 6su^3 - 2u^4 + 3u^5 - s^2v - 6suv + 12su^2v + 4u^3v - 3u^4v\\
&\quad + 6sv^2 - 12suv^2 - 6u^2v^2 + 3u^3v^2 + 6sv^3 + 4uv^3 + 3u^2v^3 - 2v^4 - 3uv^4 + 3v^5)q_3\\
&+ (su - 2u^2 + u^3 + 2uv - 3u^2v - 2v^2 + 3uv^2 - 2v^3)(-2u^2 + 2u^3 + sv + 2uv\\
&\quad - 3u^2v - 2v^2 + 3uv^2 - v^3)
\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

with square discriminant $(u-v)^2f(s,u,v)^2$ where $f(s,u,v) \in L[s,u,v]$ is a polynomial of degree 4, 8, 8 with respect to s, u, v respectively.

By using (16), (18) and (19), we can evaluate the actions of $-\beta_1$ and ρ_a on $L(s,u,v)$. Indeed the action of $-\beta_1$ on $L(s,u,v)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}-\beta_1 : s &\mapsto \frac{3U^2-6U(u+v)+s(s+6u-6v-4)}{D},\\ u &\mapsto \frac{3U^2-2U(4u-2v-3)+s^2-2s-4sv+4v}{D},\\ v &\mapsto \frac{2(U(u-2v-3)+su-s+2u)}{D}\end{aligned}$$

where $D=3U^2-6U(2u-v-3)+s^2-2s-6sv-12u+12v+4$ and $U=u^2-uv+v^2$. However the action of ρ_a on $L(s,u,v)$ becomes more complicated.

We normalize the action of $-\beta_1$ as follows: First we see that (i) the denominators of $-\beta_1(u)$ and $-\beta_1(v)$ coincide, and (ii) the numerator of $-\beta_1(v)$ is linear in s. We also see

$$-\beta_1(u)=\frac{2(U(2u-v-6)+sv+6u-4v-2)}{D}+1.$$

Put

$$r_1 := \frac{u-1}{v}.$$

Then both of the numerator and the denominator of

$$r_2 := -\beta_1(r_1)=\frac{U(2u-v-6)+sv+6u-4v-2}{U(u-2v-3)+su-s+2u}$$

becomes linear in s. Therefore we see that

$$L(s,u,v)=L(r_1,r_2,v).$$

The action of $-\beta_1$ on $L(r_1,r_2,v)$ is given by

$$-\beta_1 : r_1 \mapsto r_2,\ r_2 \mapsto r_1,\ v \mapsto \frac{r_1r_2-1}{(r_1^2-r_1+1)(r_2^2-r_2+1)v}.$$

We also put

$$r_3 := v(r_1^2-r_1+1),$$

then we get

$$L(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}}=L(s,u,v)=L(r_1,r_2,r_3).$$

The action of $-\beta_1$ on $L(r_1,r_2,r_3)$ is given by

$$-\beta_1 : r_1 \mapsto r_2,\ r_2 \mapsto r_1,\ r_3 \mapsto \frac{r_1r_2-1}{r_3}.$$

We also see that the action of ρ_a is given by

$$\rho_a : r_1 \mapsto \frac{R_1}{R_2},\ r_2 \mapsto \frac{R_3}{R_4},\ r_3 \mapsto \frac{(r_1r_2-1)R_5}{r_3R_2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}R_1&=r_1+r_2-2r_1r_2-r_1^2r_2-r_1r_2^2+2r_1^2r_2^2-2r_2r_3+2r_1r_2^2r_3+r_2r_3^2,\\ R_2&=1+r_1-3r_1r_2-r_1^2r_2+2r_1^2r_2^2+r_3-2r_2r_3-r_1r_2r_3+2r_1r_2^2r_3-r_3^2+r_2r_3^2,\\ R_3&=-r_1+r_1^2r_2-2r_1r_3+2r_1^2r_2r_3-r_1r_3^2-r_2r_3^2+2r_1r_2r_3^2,\\ R_4&=1-r_1-r_1r_2+r_1^2r_2+r_3-2r_1r_3-r_1r_2r_3+2r_1^2r_2r_3-r_3^2-r_2r_3^2+2r_1r_2r_3^2,\\ R_5&=-1+r_1r_2-r_3+r_1r_3+r_2r_3+r_3^2.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 12.5. *We have* $L(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}} = L(r_1,r_2,r_3) = L(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ *where*

$$t_1 = \frac{R_1}{r_1R_5},\ t_2 = \frac{R_3}{r_2R_5},\ t_3 = \frac{r_2 - r_1r_2^2 - r_1r_3 - r_2r_3 + r_1r_2r_3 - R_3}{r_2R_5}.$$

Proof. The assertion can be checked directly as

$$(r_1,r_2,r_3) = \Big(\frac{t_3T_1}{T_2}, \frac{T_1}{t_3T_3}, \frac{t_3T_4}{T_2}\Big)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &= -1 - t_2 - t_1t_2 - t_1t_2^2 - 3t_3 - 3t_1t_2t_3 - t_3^2 - t_1t_3^2,\\
T_2 &= 1 + 2t_1t_2 + t_1^2t_2^2 + 3t_3 + t_1t_3 - t_2t_3 + 3t_1t_2t_3 + t_1^2t_2t_3 - t_1t_2^2t_3 + t_3^2 + 2t_1t_3^2 - t_1t_2t_3^2,\\
T_3 &= 1 + 2t_2 - t_1t_2 + 3t_3 - t_1t_3 + t_2t_3 + t_3^2,\\
T_4 &= t_1 + t_2 + t_1^2t_2 + t_1t_2^2 + 2t_1t_3 + 2t_1t_2t_3 + t_1t_3^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The actions of $-\beta_1$ and ρ_a on $L(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}} = L(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
-\beta_1 &: t_1 \ \mapsto\ t_2,\ t_2 \ \mapsto\ t_1,\ t_3 \ \mapsto\ \frac{t_1t_2+1}{t_3},\\
\rho_a &: \sqrt{a} \ \mapsto\ -\sqrt{a},\ t_1 \ \mapsto\ \frac{1}{t_2},\ t_2 \ \mapsto\ \frac{1}{t_1},\ t_3 \ \mapsto\ \frac{t_1t_2+1}{t_1t_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

We take

$$u_1 := \frac{t_1t_2-1}{t_1t_2+1},\ u_2 := \frac{t_1t_2+1}{t_1t_3},\ u_3 := t_3.$$

Then $L(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}} = L(t_1,t_2,t_3) = L(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ and the actions of $-\beta_1$ and ρ_a on $L(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}}$ $= L(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
-\beta_1 &: u_1 \ \mapsto\ u_1,\ u_2 \ \mapsto\ \frac{2}{(u_1+1)u_2},\ u_3 \ \mapsto\ -\frac{2}{(u_1-1)u_3},\\
\rho_a &: \sqrt{a} \ \mapsto\ -\sqrt{a},\ u_1 \ \mapsto\ -u_1,\ u_2 \ \mapsto\ u_3,\ u_3 \ \mapsto\ u_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$K(\sqrt{-1})(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}} = L(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle\rho_a\rangle} = K(\sqrt{-1})(u_1\sqrt{a}, u_2+u_3, (u_2-u_3)\sqrt{a})$$

is rational over $K(\sqrt{-1})$. By Lemma 12.2, we conclude that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,1}}$ is rational over K if $[K(\sqrt{a},\sqrt{-1}) : K] \le 2$.

By Theorem 3.3, we have

$$L(x,y,z)^{G_{7,3,1}} = L(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle -\beta_1\rangle} = L(m_1,m_2,m_3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
m_1 = u_1,\ m_3 &= \frac{u_2 - (\frac{2}{u_1+1})/u_2}{u_2u_3 - (\frac{2}{u_1+1})(\frac{-2}{u_1-1})/(u_2u_3)} = \frac{(u_1-1)(-2+u_2^2+u_1u_2^2)u_3}{4-u_2^2u_3^2+u_1^2u_2^2u_3^2},\\
m_2 &= \frac{u_3 - (\frac{-2}{u_1-1})/u_3}{u_2u_3 - (\frac{2}{u_1+1})(\frac{-2}{u_1-1})/(u_2u_3)} = \frac{(u_1+1)(2-u_3^2+u_1u_3^2)u_2}{4-u_2^2u_3^2+u_1^2u_2^2u_3^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The action of ρ_a on $L(m_1,m_2,m_3)$ is given by

$$\rho_a : \sqrt{a} \ \mapsto\ -\sqrt{a},\ m_1 \ \mapsto\ -m_1,\ m_2 \ \mapsto\ m_3,\ m_3 \ \mapsto\ m_2.$$

Hence we get

$$K(\sqrt{-1})(x,y,z)^{G_{7,3,1}} = L(m_1,m_2,m_3)^{\langle\rho_a\rangle} = K(\sqrt{-1})(m_1\sqrt{a}, m_2+m_3, (m_2-m_3)\sqrt{a})$$

is rational over $K(\sqrt{-1})$.

12.3. **The case of $G_{7,2,1}$ with $\varepsilon_1 = -1$.** We consider the case of

$$G_{7,2,1} = \langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -I_3 \rangle$$

with $\varepsilon_1 = -1$. The actions of τ_1, λ_1, $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$ and $-I_3$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given as in (11) and (12). Define

$$\begin{aligned} -I_3^{(+1)} &: x \mapsto \frac{a}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{a}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{a}{z}, \\ -I_3^{(-1)} &: x \mapsto -\frac{a}{x},\ y \mapsto -\frac{a}{y},\ z \mapsto -\frac{a}{z} \end{aligned}$$

and take $\rho \in \mathrm{Aut}_K K(x,y,z)$ as

$$\rho := (-I_3^{(+1)})(-I_3^{(-1)}) \ :\ x \mapsto -x,\ y \mapsto -y,\ z \mapsto -z.$$

Then we get the following lemma and we will use (ii) in the next section.

Lemma 12.6. *Let $\rho = (-I_3^{(+1)})(-I_3^{(-1)}) \in \mathrm{Aut}_K K(x,y,z)$ as above. Then we have*
(i) $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, -I_3^{(\pm1)} \rangle} = K(p_1^\pm, p_2^\pm, p_3^\pm)$,
(ii) $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \rho \rangle} = K(p_1^+/p_1^-, p_2^+/p_2^-, p_3^+/p_3^-)$, *where*

$$\begin{aligned} p_1^+ &:= \frac{(x^2-a)(y^2+a)}{x(y^2-a)}, & p_2^+ &:= \frac{(y^2-a)(z^2+a)}{y(z^2-a)}, & p_3^+ &:= \frac{(z^2-a)(x^2+a)}{z(x^2-a)}, \\ p_1^- &:= \frac{(x^2-a)(y^2+a)}{y(x^2+a)}, & p_2^- &:= \frac{(y^2-a)(z^2+a)}{z(y^2+a)}, & p_3^- &:= \frac{(z^2-a)(x^2+a)}{x(z^2+a)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Here we show only the case $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, -I_3^{(+1)} \rangle}$ and omit the cases $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, -I_3^{(-1)} \rangle}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, \rho \rangle}$ because one can prove them by a similar way. From the definition, we have $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, -I_3^{(+1)} \rangle} \supset K(p_1^+, p_2^+, p_3^+)$. Write $(p_1, p_2, p_3) := (p_1^+, p_2^+, p_3^+)$. Put $t_1 = (x - \frac{a}{x})^2$, $t_2 = (y - \frac{a}{y})^2$, $t_3 = (z - \frac{a}{z})^2$, then we have $[K(x,y,z) : K(t_1,t_2,t_3)] = 4^3 = 64$. On the other hand, we have

$$p_1^2 = \frac{t_1(t_2+4a)}{t_2}, \quad p_2^2 = \frac{t_2(t_3+4a)}{t_3}, \quad p_3^2 = \frac{t_3(t_1+4a)}{t_1},$$

from which we see $K(p_1^2, p_2^2, p_3^2) = K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$. Since $[K(p_1,p_2,p_3) : K(p_1^2,p_2^2,p_3^2)] = 8$, we get $[K(x,y,z) : K(p_1,p_2,p_3)] = 64/8 = 8$, and the assertion follows. □

The action of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$ on $K(p_1^\pm, p_2^\pm, p_3^\pm)$ is given by $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} : p_1^\pm \mapsto p_2^\pm \mapsto p_3^\pm \mapsto p_1^\pm$. Hence we conclude that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,2,1}} = K(p_1^\pm, p_2^\pm, p_3^\pm)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle}$ is rational over K.

Remark 12.7. We may use Lemma 12.1 to obtain another proof of Lemma 12.6 (i). It follows from Lemma 12.1 that

$$K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, -I_3^{(\pm1)} \rangle} = L(w_1,w_2,w_3)^{\langle \rho_a, \rho_{-1}, -I_3^{(\pm1)} \rangle} = K(p_1^\pm, p_2^\pm, p_3^\pm).$$

Note that the actions of ρ_a and ρ_{-1} on $L(w_1,w_2,w_3)$ are given as in (14) and the action of $-I_3^{(\pm1)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} -I_3^{(+1)} &: w_1 \mapsto -\frac{w_3(w_2-1)}{w_2(w_1-w_3)},\ w_2 \mapsto -\frac{w_2-w_3}{w_2(w_1-1)},\ w_3 \mapsto \frac{w_1(w_2-1)(w_2-w_3)}{w_2(w_1-1)(w_1-w_3)}, \\ -I_3^{(-1)} &: w_1 \mapsto \frac{w_2(w_3-1)(w_1-w_3)}{w_3(w_2-1)(w_1-w_2)},\ w_2 \mapsto \frac{w_1(w_3-1)}{w_3(w_1-w_2)},\ w_3 \mapsto \frac{w_1-w_3}{(w_2-1)w_3}. \end{aligned}$$

We also may get the transcendental basis $p_1^{\pm}, p_2^{\pm}, p_3^{\pm}$ of $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1, -I_3^{(\pm 1)} \rangle}$ via w_1, w_2, w_3:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1^+ &= \frac{2\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}(w_3 - w_1w_2)(w_2 - w_1w_3)(w_1 - w_2w_3)}{(w_1w_2 - w_1w_3 - w_2w_3 + w_1w_2w_3)(w_1w_2 + w_1w_3 - w_2w_3 - w_1w_2w_3)},\\
p_2^+ &= \frac{2\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}(w_1w_2 - w_1w_3 + w_2w_3 - w_1w_2w_3)(w_1w_2 + w_1w_3 - w_2w_3 - w_1w_2w_3)}{w_1w_2w_3(1 + w_1 - w_2 - w_3)(1 - w_1 + w_2 - w_3)},\\
p_3^+ &= \frac{2\sqrt{a}\sqrt{-1}w_1w_2w_3(1 - w_1 - w_2 + w_3)(1 - w_1 + w_2 - w_3)}{(w_3 - w_1w_2)(w_2 - w_1w_3)(w_1 - w_2w_3)},\\
p_1^- &= \frac{2\sqrt{a}(w_3 - w_1w_2)(w_2 - w_1w_3)(w_1 - w_2w_3)}{w_1w_2w_3(1 + w_1 - w_2 - w_3)(1 - w_1 - w_2 + w_3)},\\
p_2^- &= -\frac{2\sqrt{a}(w_1w_2 - w_1w_3 + w_2w_3 - w_1w_2w_3)(w_1w_2 + w_1w_3 - w_2w_3 - w_1w_2w_3)}{(w_3 - w_1w_2)(w_2 - w_1w_3)(w_1 - w_2w_3)},\\
p_3^- &= -\frac{2\sqrt{a}w_1w_2w_3(1 + w_1 - w_2 - w_3)(1 - w_1 - w_2 + w_3)}{(w_1w_2 - w_1w_3 + w_2w_3 - w_1w_2w_3)(w_1w_2 - w_1w_3 - w_2w_3 + w_1w_2w_3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

13. The case of $G_{7,j,2}$

In this section, we consider the following five groups $G = G_{7,j,2}$, $1 \leq j \leq 5$, which have a normal subgroup $\langle \tau_2, \lambda_2 \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{7,1,2} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle & &\cong \mathcal{A}_4 & &\cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{C}_3,\\
G_{7,2,2} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -I_3 \rangle & &\cong \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2 & &\cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{C}_3,\\
G_{7,3,2} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -\beta_2 \rangle & &\cong \mathcal{S}_4 & &\cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3,\\
G_{7,4,2} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \beta_2 \rangle & &\cong \mathcal{S}_4 & &\cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3,\\
G_{7,5,2} &= \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \beta_2, -I_3 \rangle & &\cong \mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2 & &\cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3.
\end{aligned}$$

The actions of τ_2, λ_2, $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $-\beta_2$, β_2 and $-I_3$ on $K(x,y,z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_2 &: x \mapsto \frac{ay}{z},\ y \mapsto \frac{bx}{z},\ z \mapsto \frac{c}{z}, & \lambda_2 &: x \mapsto \frac{dz}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{e}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{fx}{y},\\
\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} &: x \mapsto gy,\ y \mapsto hz,\ z \mapsto ix, & -\beta_2 &: x \mapsto \frac{jz}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{kz}{y},\ z \mapsto lz,\\
\beta_2 &: x \mapsto \frac{mx}{z},\ y \mapsto \frac{ny}{z},\ z \mapsto \frac{o}{z}, & -I_3 &: x \mapsto \frac{p}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{q}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{r}{z}.
\end{aligned}$$

We may assume that $g = h = i = 1$ by replacing (gy, ghz) by (y, z) and the other coefficients. By the equalities $\tau_2^2 = \lambda_2^2 = \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}^3 = (-\beta_2)^2 = \beta_2^2 = (-I_3)^2 = I_3$, we have $ab = c$, $df = e$, $l = 1$ and $m^2 = n^2 = o$.

By the relations of the generators of $G_{7,1,2}$ as in (8), we have $a = f$, $b = d = \varepsilon a$, $c = e$ with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

By the relations of $-\beta_2$, β_2, $-I_3$ with the generators of $G_{7,1,2}$, we have $j = m = \varepsilon k = a$, $nm = c$, $p = q = r = a^2$ so that the problem reduces to the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_2 &: x \mapsto \frac{ay}{z},\ y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon ax}{z},\ z \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon a^2}{z}, & \lambda_2 &: x \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon az}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon a^2}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{ax}{y},\\
\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} &: x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto z,\ z \mapsto x, & -\beta_2 &: x \mapsto \frac{az}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon az}{y},\ z \mapsto z,\\
\beta_2 &: x \mapsto \frac{ax}{z},\ y \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon ay}{z},\ z \mapsto \frac{a^2}{z}, & -I_3 &: x \mapsto \frac{a^2}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{a^2}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{a^2}{z}
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $a \in K^{\times}$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

13.1. **The case of $\varepsilon = 1$.** We treat the case where $\varepsilon = 1$ in this subsection. If we replace $(x/a, y/a, z/a)$ by (x, y, z) then the actions of τ_2, λ_2, $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $-\beta_2$, β_2 and $-I_3$ on $K(x, y, z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2 &: x \mapsto \frac{y}{z},\ y \mapsto \frac{x}{z},\ z \mapsto \frac{1}{z}, & \lambda_2 &: x \mapsto \frac{z}{y},\ y \mapsto \frac{1}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{x}{y},\\ \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} &: x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto z,\ z \mapsto x, & -\beta_2 &: x \mapsto \frac{z}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{z}{y},\ z \mapsto z,\\ \beta_2 &: x \mapsto \frac{x}{z},\ y \mapsto \frac{y}{z},\ z \mapsto \frac{1}{z}, & -I_3 &: x \mapsto \frac{1}{x},\ y \mapsto \frac{1}{y},\ z \mapsto \frac{1}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

Because the actions of $G_{7,j,2}$, $1 \leq j \leq 5$, on $K(x, y, z)$ are 3-dimensional purely monomial, it follows from Theorem 1.2 that all of the fixed fields $K(x, y, z)^{G_{7,j,2}}$, $1 \leq j \leq 5$, are rational over K.

Indeed, using Theorem 3.16, we get explicit transcendental bases of the fixed fields over K as follows: Put

$$X := \frac{x+1}{y+z}, \quad Y := \frac{y+1}{x+z}, \quad Z := \frac{z+1}{x+y}.$$

Then $K(x, y, z) = K(X, Y, Z)$ because for any $a \in K^\times$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(x,y,z) &= K(x+y, y+z, z+x) = K(x+y+z, y+z, z+x)\\ &= K\Big(\frac{x+y+z}{x+y+z+a}, \frac{y+z}{x+y+z+a}, \frac{z+x}{x+y+z+a}\Big)\\ &= K\Big(\frac{x+y}{x+y+z+a}, \frac{y+z}{x+y+z+a}, \frac{z+x}{x+y+z+a}\Big)\\ &= K\Big(\frac{x+a}{y+z}, \frac{y+a}{x+z}, \frac{z+a}{x+y}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The actions of τ_2, λ_2 on $K(X, Y, Z)$ are given by

$$\tau_2 : X \mapsto \frac{1}{X},\ Y \mapsto \frac{1}{Y},\ Z \mapsto Z, \quad \lambda_2 : X \mapsto \frac{1}{X},\ Y \mapsto Y,\ Z \mapsto \frac{1}{Z}.$$

We note that the action of $\langle \tau_2, \lambda_2 \rangle$ on $K(X, Y, Z)$ is an affirmative case of $G_{3,1,1} \in \mathcal{N}$ (cf. Theorem 3.16). By Theorem 3.16, we have $K(x, y, z)^{\langle \tau_2, \lambda_2 \rangle} = K(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &:= \frac{2(-X+Y+Z-XYZ)}{1-XY-XZ+YZ} = \frac{x^2-y^2-z^2+1}{x-yz},\\ v_2 &:= \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}(v_1) = \frac{-x^2+y^2-z^2+1}{y-xz}, \quad v_3 := \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}^2(v_1) = \frac{-x^2-y^2+z^2+1}{z-xy}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we take

$$u_1 := \frac{v_1+v_2}{v_3-2}, \quad u_2 := \frac{v_2+v_3}{v_1-2}, \quad u_3 := \frac{v_1+v_3}{v_2-2}.$$

Then we see $K(u_1, u_2, u_3) = K(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ (cf. (21)). The generators u_1, u_2, u_3 are given in terms of x, y and z explicitly as follows:

Lemma 13.1. *We have* $K(x, y, z)^{\langle \tau_2, \lambda_2 \rangle} = K(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ *where*

$$u_1 = \frac{(x+y)(z+1)(z-xy)}{(x-yz)(y-xz)}, \quad u_2 = \frac{(y+z)(x+1)(x-yz)}{(y-xz)(z-xy)}, \quad u_3 = \frac{(x+z)(y+1)(y-xz)}{(x-yz)(z-xy)}.$$

The actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $-\beta_2$, β_2 and $-I_3$ on $K(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} &: u_1 \mapsto u_2,\ u_2 \mapsto u_3,\ u_3 \mapsto u_1, & -\beta_2 &: u_1 \mapsto -u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto -u_3,\ u_3 \mapsto -u_2,\\ \beta_2 &: u_1 \mapsto u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto u_3,\ u_3 \mapsto u_2, & -I_3 &: u_1 \mapsto -u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto -u_2,\ u_3 \mapsto -u_3. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

From this, we can easily get explicit transcendental basis of $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,j,2}}$, $1\le j\le 5$, over K for $\varepsilon=1$.

Remark 13.2. Hoshi-Rikuna [HR08] solved the rationality problem of the group $G_{7,3,2}=\langle\tau_2,\lambda_2,\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},-\beta_2\rangle$ with $\varepsilon=1$ which is the remaining case of purely monomial actions in Hajja-Kang's paper [HK94]. Indeed the fact that $G_{7,3,2}\cong\mathcal{S}_4$ acts on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_2,\lambda_2\rangle}$ via the twisted $\mathcal{S}_3$-action as in Theorem 3.10 was given in [HR08, page 1827].

13.2. **The case of $\varepsilon=-1$.** We treat the case where $\varepsilon=-1$ in this subsection. We consider a method to solve the rationality problem of $G_{7,j,2}$ over K by applying results of $G_{7,j,1}$ as in Section 12. We also give an another proof to the case $\varepsilon=1$, although we treated it in the previous subsection.

We assume that the action of $G_{7,j,1}$ on $K(y_1,y_2,y_3):=K(x,y,z)$ is given as in (11) and (12). For $1\le j\le 5$, the subgroups $G_{7,j,1}$ and $G_{7,j,2}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Q})$ are conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Q})$ but not in $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$. Indeed we have

$$P^{-1}G_{7,j,1}P=G_{7,j,2},\quad(1\le j\le 5)\tag{23}$$

where

$$P=\begin{bmatrix}0&1&1\\1&0&1\\1&1&0\end{bmatrix},\quad P^{-1}=\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix}-1&1&1\\1&-1&1\\1&1&-1\end{bmatrix}.$$

We put

$$x_j:=\prod_{i=1}^{3}y_i^{p_{i,j}},\quad(j=1,2,3)\quad\text{where}\quad P=[p_{i,j}]_{1\le i,j\le 3}.$$

We assume that $G_{7,j,2}$ acts on $K(x_1,x_2,x_3):=K(x,y,z)$ as in (20). Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 13.3. *Let $G_{7,j,1}$ (resp. $G_{7,j,2}$) act on $K(y_1,y_2,y_3)$ (resp. $K(x_1,x_2,x_3)$) by monomial actions as in* (11) *and* (12) *(resp.* (20)*). Let ρ be a K-automorphism on $K(y_1,y_2,y_3)$ defined by*

$$\rho\ :\ y_1\ \mapsto\ -y_1,\ y_2\ \mapsto\ -y_2,\ y_3\ \mapsto\ -y_3.$$

Then we have
(i) *$K(y_1,y_2,y_3)^{\langle\rho\rangle}=K(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ and $[K(y_1,y_2,y_3):K(x_1,x_2,x_3)]=\det(P)=2$;*
(ii) *under the assumption $\varepsilon_1=1$ for $G_{7,j,1}$ and $\varepsilon=1$ for $G_{7,j,2}$, the action of $G_{7,j,1}$ on $K(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ coincides with the action of $G_{7,j,2}$ and $K(x_1,x_2,x_3)^{G_{7,j,2}}=K(y_1,y_2,y_3)^{\langle G_{7,j,1},\rho\rangle}$ for $1\le j\le 5$;*
(iii) *the action of $G_{7,1,1}$ with $\varepsilon_1=-1$ on $K(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ coincides with the action of $G_{7,1,2}$ with $\varepsilon=-1$ and $K(x_1,x_2,x_3)^{\langle\tau_2,\lambda_2\rangle}=K(y_1,y_2,y_3)^{\langle\tau_1,\lambda_1,\rho\rangle}$.*

Proof. By the definition, we have $(x_1,x_2,x_3)=(y_2y_3,y_1y_3,y_1y_2)$. Then (i) is evident.
From (23), the first assertion (ii) follows if the actions of $G_{7,j,1}$ and $G_{7,j,2}$ are purely monomial. For the other cases, we can check the assertion by direct calculation.
Because we have $\rho\sigma=\sigma\rho$ for any $\sigma\in G_{7,j,1}$, we see $K(y_1,y_2,y_3)^{\langle G_{7,j,1},\rho\rangle}=(K(y_1,y_2,y_3)^{\langle\rho\rangle})^{G_{7,j,1}}=K(x_1,x_2,x_3)^{G_{7,j,2}}$. □

By Theorem 13.3, we should study the fixed field $K(y_1,y_2,y_3)^{\langle\tau_1,\lambda_1,\rho\rangle}$. Using results in the previous section, we obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 13.4. *We have*

$$K(y_1,y_2,y_3)^{\langle\tau_1,\lambda_1,\rho\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} u_1 = \dfrac{(a(-y_1+y_2+y_3)-y_1y_2y_3)(a(y_1-y_2+y_3)-y_1y_2y_3)}{(a-y_1y_2-y_1y_3+y_2y_3)(a-y_1y_2+y_1y_3-y_2y_3)}, \text{ if } \varepsilon_1 = 1, \\ u_1 = \dfrac{y_2(y_1^2+a)}{y_1(y_2^2-a)}, \text{ if } \varepsilon_1 = -1, \end{cases}$$
$$u_2 = \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}(u_1), \quad u_3 = \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}^2(u_1)$$

and ε_1 *is given as in* (11).

Proof. When $\varepsilon_1 = 1$, we see $K(y_1,y_2,y_3)^{\langle\tau_1,\lambda_1\rangle} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ where v_1, v_2, v_3 are given by (13) in Subsection 12.1. The action of ρ on $K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ is given by $\rho : v_i \mapsto -v_i$, $(i = 1,2,3)$ and hence $K(y_1,y_2,y_3)^{\langle\tau_1,\lambda_1,\rho\rangle} = K(v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_1) = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$.

When $\varepsilon_1 = -1$, the assertion follows from Lemma 12.6 (ii). ☐

Lemma 13.5. *We have*

$$K(x_1,x_2,x_3)^{\langle\tau_2,\lambda_2\rangle} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} u_1 = \dfrac{(a(x_1x_2+x_1x_3-x_2x_3)-x_1x_2x_3)(a(x_1x_2-x_1x_3+x_2x_3)-x_1x_2x_3)}{x_1x_2x_3(a+x_1-x_2-x_3)(a-x_1+x_2-x_3)}, \text{ if } \varepsilon = 1, \\ u_1 = \dfrac{x_2x_3+ax_1}{x_1x_3-ax_2}, \text{ if } \varepsilon = -1, \end{cases}$$
$$u_2 = \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}(u_1), \quad u_3 = \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}^2(u_1)$$

and ε *is given as in* (20).

Proof. By Theorem 13.3 and Lemma 13.4, we get $K(x_1,x_2,x_3)^{\langle\tau_2,\lambda_2\rangle} = K(y_1,y_2,y_3)^{\langle\tau_1,\lambda_1,\rho\rangle} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$. By the definition $(x_1,x_2,x_3) = (y_2y_3, y_1y_3, y_1y_2)$, the u_1 in Lemma 13.4 is expressed in terms of x_1, x_2, x_3 as given in Lemma 13.5. ☐

When $\varepsilon = 1$, the actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $-\beta_2$, β_2 and $-I_3$ on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_2,\lambda_2\rangle} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} &: u_1 \mapsto u_2,\ u_2 \mapsto u_3,\ u_3 \mapsto u_1, & -\beta_2 &: u_1 \mapsto \frac{a^2}{u_1},\ u_2 \mapsto \frac{a^2}{u_3},\ u_3 \mapsto \frac{a^2}{u_2}, \\ \beta_2 &: u_1 \mapsto u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto u_3,\ u_3 \mapsto u_2, & -I_3 &: u_1 \mapsto \frac{a^2}{u_1},\ u_2 \mapsto \frac{a^2}{u_2},\ u_3 \mapsto \frac{a^2}{u_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if we put

$$v_1 := \frac{u_1+a}{u_1-a}, \quad v_2 := \frac{u_2+a}{u_2-a}, \quad v_3 := \frac{u_3+a}{u_3-a}$$

then $K(u_1,u_2,u_3) = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ and the actions of $G_{7,j,2}$, $1 \le j \le 5$, on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_2,\lambda_2\rangle} = K(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ are given as the same as in (22). Then we can confirm that the fixed fields $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,j,2}}$, $1 \le j \le 5$, are rational over K. Note that

$$v_1 = \frac{(xy+az)(xz-ay)(yz-ax)}{(xy-az)(a^2xy+ax^2z-4axyz+ay^2z+xyz^2)}$$

(cf. Lemma 13.1). Though the transcendental basis of $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_2,\lambda_2\rangle}$ over K obtained here is different from the one obtained in Lemma 13.1, the purely monomial actions of $G_{7,j,2}$ act in a same way for both of them.

When $\varepsilon = -1$, the actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $-\beta_2$, β_2 and $-I_3$ on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_2, \lambda_2\rangle} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ are given by

$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} : u_1 \mapsto u_2,\ u_2 \mapsto u_3,\ u_3 \mapsto u_1, \qquad -\beta_2 : u_1 \mapsto \frac{-1}{u_2},\ u_2 \mapsto \frac{-1}{u_1},\ u_3 \mapsto \frac{-1}{u_3},$$
$$\beta_2 : u_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{u_2},\ u_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{u_1},\ u_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{u_3}, \qquad -I_3 : u_1 \mapsto -u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto -u_2,\ u_3 \mapsto -u_3.$$

By Lemma 3.5, $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,2}} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\rangle}$ is rational over K. The groups $G_{7,3,2}$ and $G_{7,4,2}$ act on $K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ by the twisted $\mathcal{S}_3$-action as in Theorem 3.11. Hence $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,3,2}} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -\beta_2\rangle}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,4,2}} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \beta_2\rangle}$ are rational over K (see Theorem 3.11 or Section 9). By a result of Section 9, we can also get explicit transcendental bases of the fixed fields over K. For $G_{7,2,2} = \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -I_3\rangle$ and $G_{7,5,2} = \langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \beta_2, -I_3\rangle$, we see

$$K(x,y,z)^{\langle \tau_2, \lambda_2, -I_3\rangle} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle -I_3\rangle} = K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$$

where

$$t_1 := u_2u_3, \quad t_2 := u_3u_1, \quad t_3 := u_1u_2.$$

The actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$ and β_2 on $K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ are given by

$$\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} : t_1 \mapsto t_2,\ t_2 \mapsto t_3,\ t_3 \mapsto t_1, \qquad \beta_2 : t_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{t_2},\ t_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{t_1},\ t_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{t_3}.$$

We now see $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,2,2}} = K(t_1,t_2,t_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\rangle}$ is rational over K by Lemma 3.5. The group $G_{7,5,2}$ acts on $K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ by the twisted $\mathcal{S}_3$-action. Thus it follows from Theorem 3.10 that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,5,2}} = K(t_1,t_2,t_3)^{\langle \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \beta_2\rangle}$ is rational over K.

Remark 13.6. Conversely, the monomial action of $G_{7,1,2}$ on $K(y_1,y_2,y_3)$ leads to the monomial action of $G_{7,1,1}$ on $K(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ by putting $(x_1,x_2,x_3) := (y_2y_3/y_1, y_1y_3/y_2, y_1y_2/y_3)$. However both of $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ for $G_{7,1,2}$ lead to the purely monomial action of $\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1\rangle$, and non-purely monomial action of $\langle \tau_1, \lambda_1\rangle$ does not appear by this method. In many cases, the method used in this subsection is useful to investigate purely monomial actions, but not so useful for non-purely monomial actions.

Example 13.7. We give an application of the result in this section to linear Noether's problem over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see Corollary 1.9). The groups $H := \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$ and $G := \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$ have a monomial representation ρ in $\mathrm{GL}(4,\mathbb{Q})$. Indeed we have

$$\rho(H) = \langle A, B, C\rangle, \quad \rho(G) = \langle A, B, C, D\rangle$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The linear actions of H and of G on $\mathbb{Q}(V) = \mathbb{Q}(w_1,w_2,w_3,w_4)$ are given by

$$A : w_1 \mapsto -w_2 \mapsto -w_1 \mapsto w_2 \mapsto w_1,\ w_3 \mapsto -w_4 \mapsto -w_3 \mapsto w_4 \mapsto w_3,$$
$$B : w_1 \mapsto -w_3 \mapsto -w_1 \mapsto w_3 \mapsto w_1,\ w_2 \mapsto w_4 \mapsto -w_2 \mapsto -w_4 \mapsto w_2,$$
$$C : w_1 \mapsto -w_2 \mapsto w_3 \mapsto w_1,\ w_4 \mapsto w_4, \quad D : w_1 \mapsto w_1,\ w_2 \mapsto -w_2,\ w_3 \leftrightarrow w_4.$$

Then the induced linear actions of H and of G on $\mathbb{Q}(V)_0 = \mathbb{Q}(x,y,z)$ with $x = w_1/w_4$, $y = -w_2/w_4$, $z = w_3/w_4$ coincide with the monomial actions of $G_{7,1,2}$ and of $G_{7,4,2}$ with $\varepsilon = -1$ and $a = 1$ as in (20) respectively. Hence we get another proof of the results of Rikuna [Rik] and Plans [Pla07] which claim that $\mathbb{Q}(V)_0^H$, $\mathbb{Q}(V)^H$, $\mathbb{Q}(V)_0^G$ and $\mathbb{Q}(V)^G$ are rational over $\mathbb{Q}$. Indeed Plans showed that the action of G on $\mathbb{Q}(V)_0^{\langle A,B\rangle}$ is the twisted action of $\mathcal{S}_3$ as in Theorem 3.10.

14. THE CASE OF $G_{7,j,3}$

In this section, we treat the following five groups $G = G_{7,j,3}$, $1 \le j \le 5$, which have a normal subgroup $\langle \tau_3, \lambda_3 \rangle$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} G_{7,1,3} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} \rangle & \cong \mathcal{A}_4 & \cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{C}_3, \\ G_{7,2,3} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -I_3 \rangle & \cong \mathcal{A}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2 & \cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{C}_3, \\ G_{7,3,3} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, -\beta_3 \rangle & \cong \mathcal{S}_4 & \cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3, \\ G_{7,4,3} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \beta_3 \rangle & \cong \mathcal{S}_4 & \cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3, \\ G_{7,5,3} = \langle \tau_3, \lambda_3, \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}, \beta_3, -I_3 \rangle & \cong \mathcal{S}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_2 & \cong (\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \rtimes \mathcal{S}_3. \end{array}$$

The actions of τ_3, λ_3, $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $-\beta_3$, β_3 and $-I_3$ on $K(x, y, z)$ are given by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \tau_3 : x \mapsto ay,\ y \mapsto bx,\ z \mapsto \dfrac{c}{xyz}, & \lambda_3 : x \mapsto dz,\ y \mapsto \dfrac{e}{xyz},\ z \mapsto fx, \\ \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} : x \mapsto gy,\ y \mapsto hz,\ z \mapsto ix, & -\beta_3 : x \mapsto \dfrac{j}{x},\ y \mapsto \dfrac{k}{y},\ z \mapsto lxyz, \\ \beta_3 : x \mapsto mx,\ y \mapsto ny,\ z \mapsto \dfrac{o}{xyz}, & -I_3 : x \mapsto \dfrac{p}{x},\ y \mapsto \dfrac{q}{y},\ z \mapsto \dfrac{r}{z}. \end{array}$$

We may assume that $g = h = i = 1$ by replacing (gy, ghz) by (y, z) and the other coefficients. By the equalities $\tau_3^2 = \lambda_3^2 = \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}^3 = (-\beta_3)^2 = \beta_3^2 = (-I_3)^2 = I_3$, we see $ab = df = jkl^2 = m^2 = n^2 = 1$.

By the relations of the generators of $G_{7,1,3}$ as in (8), we have $a = f = 1$, $c = e$.

By the relations of $-\beta_3$, β_3 and $-I_3$ with the generators of $G_{7,1,3}$, we have $j = k = lc$, $mn = 1$, $mo = c$, $p = q = r$, $c^2 = p^4$. So that the problem reduces to the following cases:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \tau_3 : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto x,\ z \mapsto \dfrac{c}{xyz}, & \lambda_3 : x \mapsto z,\ y \mapsto \dfrac{c}{xyz},\ z \mapsto x, \\ \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto z,\ z \mapsto x, & -\beta_3 : x \mapsto \dfrac{j}{x},\ y \mapsto \dfrac{j}{y},\ z \mapsto \dfrac{\varepsilon_1 xyz}{j}, \\ \beta_3 : x \mapsto \varepsilon_2 x,\ y \mapsto \varepsilon_2 y,\ z \mapsto \dfrac{\varepsilon_2 c}{xyz}, & -I_3 : x \mapsto \dfrac{r}{x},\ y \mapsto \dfrac{r}{y},\ z \mapsto \dfrac{r}{z} \end{array}$$

where $c, j, r \in K^\times$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$. We have $c = \varepsilon_1 j^2$ for $G = G_{7,3,3}$ and $G_{7,5,3}$, $c = \varepsilon_3 r^2$ for $G = G_{7,2,3}$ and $G_{7,5,3}$, and $j = \varepsilon_2 r$, $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_3$ for $G = G_{7,5,3}$. Put

$$w := \frac{c}{xyz}.$$

Then $K(x, y, z) = K(x, y, z, w)$ with $xyzw = c$, and the actions of τ_3, λ_3, $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $-\beta_3$, β_3 and $-I_3$ on $K(x, y, z, w)$ are:

$$\begin{array}{l} \tau_3 : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto x,\ z \mapsto w,\ w \mapsto z, \\ \lambda_3 : x \mapsto z,\ y \mapsto w,\ z \mapsto x,\ w \mapsto y, \\ \sigma_{3\mathrm{B}} : x \mapsto y,\ y \mapsto z,\ z \mapsto x,\ w \mapsto w, \\ -\beta_3 : x \mapsto \dfrac{j}{x},\ y \mapsto \dfrac{j}{y},\ z \mapsto \dfrac{j}{w},\ w \mapsto \dfrac{j}{z}, \\ \beta_3 : x \mapsto \varepsilon_2 x,\ y \mapsto \varepsilon_2 y,\ z \mapsto \varepsilon_2 w,\ w \mapsto \varepsilon_2 z, \\ -I_3 : x \mapsto \dfrac{r}{x},\ y \mapsto \dfrac{r}{y},\ z \mapsto \dfrac{r}{z},\ w \mapsto \dfrac{r}{w}. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 3.8, we have $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3\rangle}=K(x,y,z,w)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ where

$$u_1\ :=\ \frac{x+y-z-w}{xy-zw},\quad u_2\ :=\ \frac{x-y-z+w}{xw-yz},\quad u_3\ :=\ \frac{x-y+z-w}{xz-yw}$$

with $w=c/(xyz)$. The actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$, $-\beta_3$, β_3 and $-I_3$ on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}: u_1\ &\mapsto\ u_2,\ u_2\ \mapsto\ u_3,\ u_3\ \mapsto\ u_1,\\
-\beta_3: u_1\ &\mapsto\ \frac{-u_1+u_2+u_3}{j\,u_2u_3},\ u_2\ \mapsto\ \frac{u_1+u_2-u_3}{j\,u_1u_2},\ u_3\ \mapsto\ \frac{u_1-u_2+u_3}{j\,u_1u_3},\\
\beta_3: u_1\ &\mapsto\ \varepsilon_2u_1,\ u_2\ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_2u_3,\ u_3\ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_2u_2,\\
-I_3: u_1\ &\mapsto\ \frac{-u_1+u_2+u_3}{r\,u_2u_3},\ u_2\ \mapsto\ \frac{u_1-u_2+u_3}{r\,u_1u_3},\ u_3\ \mapsto\ \frac{u_1+u_2-u_3}{r\,u_1u_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

14.1. The cases of $G_{7,1,3}$ and $G_{7,4,3}$.

We consider the cases of

$$G_{7,1,3}=\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3,\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\rangle,\quad G_{7,4,3}=\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3,\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},\beta_3\rangle.$$

On the field $K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$, $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$ acts as a cyclic permutation, and $\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},\beta_3\rangle$ acts as a natural or twisted S_3-action according to $\varepsilon_2=1$ or -1. So $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,1,3}}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\rangle}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,4,3}}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},\beta_3\rangle}$ are rational over K (For twisted S_3-action, see Theorem 3.10.).

14.2. The cases of $G_{7,2,3}$ and $G_{7,5,3}$.

We treat the cases of

$$G_{7,2,3}=\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3,\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},-I_3\rangle,\quad G_{7,5,3}=\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3,\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},\beta_3,-I_3\rangle.$$

In these cases, we first consider the fixed field $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3,-I_3\rangle}$ since $\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3,-I_3\rangle$ is a normal subgroup of $G_{7,2,3}$ and of $G_{7,5,3}$ respectively. By Lemma 5.1, we have

$$K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3,-I_3\rangle}=K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
t_1 := u_1+(-I_3)(u_1) &= \frac{-u_1+u_2+u_3+r\,u_1u_2u_3}{r\,u_2u_3}\\
&= \frac{r(x+y-z-w)+xy(z+w)-zw(x+y)}{r(xy-zw)},\\
t_2 := u_2+(-I_3)(u_2),\quad & t_3 := u_3+(-I_3)(u_3)\ \text{ with }\ w=c/(xyz).
\end{aligned}$$

The actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$ and β_3 on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3,-I_3\rangle}=K(t_1,t_2,t_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}: t_1\ &\mapsto\ t_2,\ t_2\ \mapsto\ t_3,\ t_3\ \mapsto\ t_1,\\
\beta_3: t_1\ &\mapsto\ \varepsilon_2t_1,\ t_2\ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_2t_3,\ t_3\ \mapsto\ \varepsilon_2t_2.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same reason as in Subsection 14.1, $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,2,3}}=K(t_1,t_2,t_3)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}\rangle}$ and $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,5,3}}=K(t_1,t_2,t_3)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},\beta_3\rangle}$ are rational over K.

14.3. The case of $G_{7,3,3}$.

We treat the case of

$$G_{7,3,3}=\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3,\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},-\beta_3\rangle.$$

The actions of $\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}$ and $-\beta_3$ on $K(x,y,z)^{\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3\rangle}=K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}}: u_1\ &\mapsto\ u_2,\ u_2\ \mapsto\ u_3,\ u_3\ \mapsto\ u_1,\\
-\beta_3: u_1\ &\mapsto\ \frac{-u_1+u_2+u_3}{j\,u_2u_3},\ u_2\ \mapsto\ \frac{u_1+u_2-u_3}{j\,u_1u_2},\ u_3\ \mapsto\ \frac{u_1-u_2+u_3}{j\,u_1u_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

The action of $G_{7,3,3}$ on $K(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ through $G_{7,3,3}/\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3\rangle = \langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},-\beta_3\rangle \cong \mathcal{S}_3$ coincides with the action as in Theorem 3.12. By Theorem 3.12, we conclude that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{7,3,3}} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle\sigma_{3\mathrm{B}},-\beta_3\rangle}$ is rational over K.

Note that $\langle\tau_3,\lambda_3,-\beta_3\rangle = \langle\sigma_{4\mathrm{B}},\lambda_3\rangle = G_{4,4,2}$ and we already showed that $K(x,y,z)^{G_{4,4,2}} = K(u_1,u_2,u_3)^{\langle-\beta_3\rangle}$ is rational over K as in Lemma 7.1.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Professor Ming-chang Kang for giving them valuable and useful comments and for informing them about the recent paper [KP10] by Kang and Prokhorov which gives affirmative solutions to the same rationality problem of 2-groups over quadratically closed field. They also thank the referee for suggesting many improvements and corrections.

References

[AHK00] H. Ahmad, M. Hajja, M. Kang, *Rationality of some projective linear actions*, J. Algebra **228** (2000) 643–658.

[BBNWZ78] H. Brown, R. Bülow, J. Neubüser, H. Wondratschek, H. Zassenhaus. *Crystallographic Groups of Four-Dimensional Space*, John Wiley, New York, 1978.

[CS07] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, *The rationality problem for fields of invariants under linear algebraic groups (with special regards to the Brauer group)*, Algebraic groups and homogeneous spaces, 113–186, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2007.

[EM73] S. Endo, T. Miyata, *Invariants of finite abelian groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **25** (1973) 7–26.

[GAP07] The GAP Group, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4.10; 2007. (http://www.gap-system.org)

[Haj83] M. Hajja, *A note on monomial automorphisms*, J. Algebra **85** (1983) 243–250.

[Haj87] M. Hajja, *Rationality of finite groups of monomial automorphisms of* $k(x,y)$, J. Algebra **109** (1987) 46–51.

[HK92] M. Hajja, M. Kang, *Finite group actions on rational function fields*, J. Algebra **149** (1992) 139–154.

[HK94] M. Hajja, M. Kang, *Three-dimensional purely monomial group actions*, J. Algebra **170** (1994) 805–860.

[HK97] M. Hajja, M. Kang, *Twisted actions of symmetric groups*, J. Algebra **188** (1997) 626–647.

[HHR08] K. Hashimoto, A. Hoshi, Y. Rikuna, *Noether's problem and* $\mathbb{Q}$*-generic polynomials for the normalizer of the 8-cycle in* S_8 *and its subgroups*, Math. Comp. **77** (2008) 1153–1183.

[HK10] A. Hoshi, M. Kang, *Twisted symmetric group actions*, Pacific J. Math. **248** (2010) 285–304.

[HR08] A. Hoshi, Y. Rikuna, *Rationality problem of three-dimensional purely monomial group actions: the last case*, Math. Comp. **77** (2008) 1823–1829.

[JLY02] C. Jensen, A. Ledet and N. Yui, *Generic polynomials, constructive aspects of the inverse Galois problem*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge, 2002.

[Kan04] M. Kang, *Rationality problem of* GL_4 *group actions*, Adv. Math. **181** (2004) 321–352.

[Kan05] M. Kang, *Some group actions on* $K(x_1,x_2,x_3)$, Israel J. Math. **146** (2005) 77–92.

[KP10] M. Kang, Y. G. Prokhorov, *Rationality of three-dimensional quotients by monomial actions*, J. Algebra **324** (2010) 2166–2197.

[KZ] M. Kang, J. Zhou, *The rationality problem for finite subgroups of* $GL_4(\mathbb{Q})$, preprint. arXiv:1006.1156v1

[Kem96] G. Kemper, *A constructive approach to Noether's problem*, Manuscripta Math. **90** (1996) 343–363.

[Kit10] H. Kitayama, *Noether's problem for four- and five- dimensional linear actions*, J. Algebra **324** (2010) 591–597.

[KY09] H. Kitayama, A. Yamasaki, *The rationality problem for four-dimensional linear actions*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **49** (2009) 359–380.

[Kun55] H. Kuniyoshi, *On a problem of Chevalley*, Nagoya Math. J. **8** (1955) 65–67.

[Len74] H. W. Lenstra, Jr. *Rational functions invariant under a finite abelian group*, Invent. Math. **25** (1974) 299–325.

[Mas55] K. Masuda, *On a problem of Chevalley*, Nagoya Math. J. **8** (1955) 59–63.

[Miy71] T. Miyata, *Invariants of certain groups I*, Nagoya Math. J. **41** (1971) 69–73.

[Pla07] B. Plans, *Noether's problem for* $\mathrm{GL}(2,3)$, Manuscripta Math. **124** (2007) 481–487.

[Pro10] Y. G. Prokhorov, *Fields of invariants of finite linear groups*, Cohomological and geometric approaches to rationality problems, 245–273, Progr. Math., 282, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2010.

[Rik] Y. Rikuna, *The existence of a generic polynomial for* SL(2, 3) *over* $\mathbb{Q}$, preprint. Available from `http://www.mmm.muroran-it.ac.jp/~yuji/MuNT/2004/papers/04030602rikuna.pdf`

[Sal82] D. J. Saltman, *Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory*, Adv. in Math. **43** (1982) 250–283.

[Sal00] D. J. Saltman, *A nonrational field, answering a question of Hajja*, Algebra and number theory, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 208, 263–271, Dekker, New York, 2000.

[Swa83] R. G. Swan, *Noether's problem in Galois theory*, Emmy Noether in Bryn Mawr (Bryn Mawr, Pa., 1982), 21–40, Springer, New York-Berlin, 1983.

[Vos73] V. E. Voskresenskiĭ, *Fields of invariants of abelian groups*, (Russian) Uspehi Mat. Nauk **28** (1973) 77–102. English translation: Russian Math. Survey **28** (1973) 79–105.

[Yam10] A. Yamasaki, *Some cases of four dimensional linear Noether's problem*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **62** (2010) 1273–1288.

[Yam] A. Yamasaki, *Negative solutions to three-dimensional monomial Noether problem*, preprint. arXiv:0909.0586v2 [math.NT]

Department of Mathematics, Rikkyo University, 3–34–1 Nishi-Ikebukuro Toshima-ku, Tokyo, 171–8501, Japan

Department of Mathematics, Graduate school of Science, Osaka University, Machikaneyama 1-1, Toyonaka, Osaka, 560–0043, Japan

Department of Mathematics, Graduate school of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606–8502, Japan